Science with High-Power Lasers and Pulsed Power Workshop, Santa Fe, July 28, 2009

## **Wakefield Acceleration with Texas Petawatt Laser**



self-guided

energetic

 $n_e \approx 4.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ channel-guided

#### **Conventional RF acceleration is limited by material breakdown**



**Stanford Linear Accelerator Center** 

 $30 \ GeV \Rightarrow 3 \ km \ (SLAC)$  $500 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow 50 \text{ km} (ILC)$ 

e<sup>—</sup> Bunch Cloud

## LASER-PLASMA ACCELERATORS: overcome 3 problems simultaneously

Tajima & Dawson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 267 (1979)



 $(1) E_{\perp} \rightarrow E_{z}$ 

### (2) fully damaged

(3) supports large internal electrostatic fields



## Electron & Positron Acceleration by <u>Underdense</u> Plasma Waves



## 2004: "Bubbles" burst on the scene

Mangles, *Nature* **431**,535 (2004) --- RAL (UK) Geddes, *Nature* **431**, 538 (2004) --- LOA (France) Faure, *Nature* **431**, 541 (2004) --- LBNL (USA)



## 1 GeV quasi-monoenergetic beams have been achieved only with the help of a PLASMA CHANNEL

Leemans et al., Nature Physics 2, 636 (2006) (LBNL-Oxford collaboration)



- PW laser pulses
- staging

beam divergence: 1.6 mrad energy spread: 5% charge per bunch: ~ 0.1 nC accelerator length: **3 cm** 

## The achievement of quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma accelerated e<sup>-</sup> up to 1 GeV opens a multitude of applications



Reed, "Efficient initiation of photonuclear reactions using quasi-monoenergetic electron beams from laser wakefield acceleration," *J. Appl. Phys.* **102**, 073103 (2007)

#### Radiotherapy with tunable, high-energy electrons

DeRosiers, "150-250 MeV electron beams in radiation therapy," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **45**, 1781 (2000) Glinec, "Radiotherapy with quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma accelerators," *Med. Phys.* **33**, 155 (2006)

## How far can laser-plasma acceleration go?

Wei Lu, "Generating multi-GeV electron bunches using single stage laser wakefield acceleration in a 3D nonlinear regime," Phys. Rev. Special Topics -Accelerators & Beams 10, 061301 (2007)

#### 3D computer simulations increasingly guide development of future experiments

Laser Power [PW]	Pulse Duration [fs]	Plasma Density [cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	Spot Size [µm]	Int. Length [m]	e- charge [nC]	Energy Gain [GeV]	comments	
0.04	30	1.5x10 <sup>18</sup>	14	0.011	0.25	0.95	channel-guided, self-injected Leemans (2006)	
1.0	80	5x10 <sup>17</sup>	34	0.08	1.3	5.7	self-guided, self-injected	Texas Petawatt
2.0	100	3x10 <sup>17</sup>	47	0.18	1.8	10.2	self-guided, self-injected	
2.0	310	10 <sup>16</sup>	140	16.3	1.8	100	11	
20	1000	10 <sup>15</sup>	450	500	5.7	1000	cha at n	nnel-guiding $p_e \leq 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
	Laser Power [PW] 0.04 1.0 2.0 2.0 20	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]0.04301.0802.0100201000	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm³]0.04301.5x10181.0805x10172.01003x10172.031010162010001015	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm <sup>-3</sup> ]Spot Size [µm]0.04301.5x1018141.0805x1017342.01003x1017472010001016140	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm $^{-3}$ ]Spot Size [µm]Int. Length [m] $0.04$ 30 $1.5x10^{18}$ 14 $0.011$ $1.0$ 80 $5x10^{17}$ 34 $0.08$ $2.0$ 100 $3x10^{17}$ 47 $0.18$ $20$ 1000 $10^{15}$ 450 $500$	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm $^{-3}$ ]Spot Size [µm]Int. Length [m]e- charge [nC] $0.04$ 30 $1.5x10^{18}$ 140.0110.25 $1.0$ $80$ $5x10^{17}$ $34$ $0.08$ $1.3$ $2.0$ 100 $3x10^{17}$ $47$ $0.18$ $1.8$ $2.0$ $310$ $10^{16}$ $140$ $16.3$ $1.8$ $20$ $1000$ $10^{15}$ $450$ $500$ $5.7$	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm^3]Spot Size [µm]Int. Length [m]e- charge [nC]Energy Gain [GeV] $0.04$ 30 $1.5x10^{18}$ 14 $0.011$ $0.25$ $0.95$ $1.0$ $80$ $5x10^{17}$ $34$ $0.08$ $1.3$ $5.7$ $2.0$ $100$ $3x10^{17}$ $47$ $0.18$ $1.8$ $10.2$ $2.0$ $310$ $10^{16}$ $140$ $16.3$ $1.8$ $100$ $20$ $1000$ $10^{15}$ $450$ $500$ $5.7$ $1000$	Laser Power [PW]Pulse Duration [fs]Plasma Density [cm^3]Spot Size [µm]Int. Length [m]e- charge [nC]Energy Gain [GeV]comments0.04430 $1.5x10^{18}$ 14 $0.011$ $0.25$ $0.95$ $\frac{channel-guided,self-injectedLeemans (2006)1.0805x10^{17}340.081.35.7\frac{self-guided,self-injectedLeemans (2006)2.01003x10^{17}470.181.810.2\frac{self-guided,self-injectedLeemans (2006)2.0100010^{16}14016.31.8100\frac{self-guided,self-injected}20100010^{15}4505005.71000$

Table entries feature:

1. stable plasma structure

2. L<sub>dephasing</sub> = L<sub>pump depletion</sub>
3. balance between energy extraction & beam quality

IN STEP TWO. "

One school of thought maintains that the "bubble" regime is scalable all the way to the energy frontier

## **Simulation Tools and Strategy**

S. Kalmykov, S. A. Yi, V. Khudik, G. Shvets

<b>WAKE</b> P. Mora and T. M. Antonsen, Jr., Phys. Plasmas 4, 217 (1997)	<b>Virtual Laser Plasma Lab (VLPL)</b> A.Pukhov, J.Plasma Physics <b>61</b> ,425(1999)		
<ul> <li>Fully relativistic PIC code, "moving window"</li> <li>Quasi-paraxial solver for radiation beam propagation</li> </ul>	• Fully electromagnetic explicit relativistic 3D PIC code; moving window		
• Quasi-static electron response to cycle- averaged ponderomotive force excludes electron self-injection; enormously speeds- up particle pushing	• Maxwell solver with no numerical GVD in propagation direction preserves accuracy over cm-long propagation distance in rarefied plasma		
<ul> <li>2D planar or 3D axi-symmetric geometry</li> <li>Fully 3D test particle tracking code (approximate model of self-injection)</li> </ul>	Parallelized (MPI, domain decomposition)		

Quick parameter scans & optimization of laser and plasma wake dynamics

Brute force tool: self-consistent model of electron self-injection

## Simulations of LWFA with the Texas PW laser

S. Kalmykov, S. A. Yi *et al.*, "Laser wakefield electron acceleration on the Texas Petawatt facility: towards GeV electron energy in a single self-guided stage," to appear in *High Energy Density Physics* (2009).

#### **Questions we aim to answer:**

• Can TPW pulse <u>self-guide</u> over multiple  $Z_R \approx 2 \text{ cm}$  in a near-resonantly-driven plasma ( $1 < \omega_{pe} \tau_{laser} < 2\pi$ ) w/o catastrophic filamentation or hyper-sensitivity to hot spots?

$$\tau_{\text{laser}} = 150 \text{ fs} \implies 0.14 < n_e < 5.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$
$$\implies 1.2 \text{ PW} > P_{\text{crit}} > .03 \text{ PW} \implies P_{\text{crit}} << P_{\text{laser}}$$

n.b. All self-guided LWFAs to date have been limited to few mm propagation

 Will the self-guided TPW pulse form a plasma bubble that <u>captures</u> and accelerates surrounding plasma electrons <u>quasi-mono-energetically</u> to <u>multiple GeV</u>?

*n.b.* All self-injected LWFAs to date have operated in denser plasma ( $n_e > 5 \ 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ )

### WAKE shows the laser pulse self-guides stably for low 10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> uniform plasma density





## VLPL confirms self-injection for $n_e \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

Laser parameters for the plane of nonlinear focus are taken from WAKE run; self-injection is observed



## No self-injection, however, for $n_e \approx 1 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ...

Laser focusing and resulting bubble evolution are too steady  $\rightarrow$  no self-injection in homogeneous plasmas.

... unless we insert a dense slab at the plasma entrance



## Hot spots: good news and bad news

#### WAKE (3D axi-symmetric)

**good news**: crappy laser pulse propagates almost as stably as perfect Gaussian

#### VLPL (2D planar)

**bad news**: as transient structures in laser

pulse evolve rapidly, the bubble traps too



Moral: hot spots facilitate self-injection, but maybe too much!

**TEXAS PW LWFA: THE MOVIE** 



## Petawatt LWFA hardware



### Differentially-pumped gas cell: uniform, optically accessible He plasma at $10^{17} < n_e < 5 \times 10^{17}$ cm<sup>-3</sup>



## **CALORIMETER:** coarse, inexpensive GeV e<sup>-</sup> energy measurement





simulation by S. Kalmykov, G. Shvets

## **GRAND CHALLENGES**

- Perform comparative multi-GeV LWFA experiments at UT (TPW) and SNL (Z-PW).
- Figure out how to guide PW pulses over > 10 m at  $n_e < 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  key to > 10 GeV LWFA
- Convert existing GeV-class LWFAs into table-top fs FELs that complement LCLS

• Figure out how use 10 GeV+ LWFA electrons to access astrophysics of GRBs, Pulsar W

## SUMMARY



• The Texas PW laser can realize the full potential of "simple" bubble-regime LWFA:

- up to 7.0 GeV electrons with  $\sim 10\%$  energy spread achievable
- robust self-guided propagation thru  $\sim 10~\text{cm}$  plasma (1  $< n_e < 5 \cdot 10^{17}~\text{cm}^{-3})$
- self-injection for  $\begin{cases} n_e \ge 2.5 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ (uniform plasma, Gaussian pulse)} \\ \text{lower } n_e \text{ (nonuniform plasma, non-Gaussian pulse)}^* \end{cases}$

\* hot spots pose danger of over-loading wake

- 1st generation experiments, scheduled during Fall 2009, can spur future science & funding - coarse, low-cost calorimetry of GeV electrons - multiple supporting diagnostics of
  - FD holographic snapshots of bubble

e-beam, laser propagation, etc.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

### Simulations

- Serguei Kalmykov
- Austin Yi
- V. Khudik
- G. Shvets
- E. Lefebvre
- A. Pukhov

### LWFA Experiments

- Steve Reed
- Xiaoming Wang
- Watson Henderson
- Dong Peng
- Dongsu Du
- Stefan Bedacht

#### ... and many others

US DOE grants DE-FG02-04ER41321 DE-FG02-07ER54945 DE-FG03-96ER40954

### Texas PW Laser

- Erhard Gaul
- Mike Martinez
- Gilliss Dyer
- Aaron Bernstein
- Todd Ditmire

# END

### **DESIGN CRITERIA:**

- maximize  $E_{electron}/E_{laser}$
- minimize  $\Delta E_{\text{electron}}$  , angular spread
- exploit unique TPW features -- 150 fs, 200 J
- **simplify** -- no pre-formed guiding structure, minimize # laser pulses

## 1995ff.: The "jet-age"\* of laser-plasma accelerators

**Characteristics of the jet-age:** 

- Driven by wide availability of TW-scale laser systems
- Simply focus TW laser pulse into a gas jet
- Self-injection of electrons
- Copious yield: up to 10<sup>10</sup> e<sup>-</sup>/shot, up to 100 MeV
- Highly collimated e<sup>-</sup> beams
- Suddenly, laser-plasma acceleration had become easy!



We are setting up a prototype Frequency Domain Tomography experiment based on nonlinear index modulation in glass



*multiple angle probe/reference pulse pairs* 

As pump self-focuses and broadens temporally by GVD, the  $n_2I_{pu}$  "bubble" changes shape.

## Since 2004, quasi-monoenergetic electrons have been observed in laboratories around the world



Laser: 37 TW, 35 fs, 24 µm spot

Jet:  $n_0 \sim 7 \times 10^{18}$  cm-3, L  $\sim 3$  mm

Electron energy: 237 MeV ± 5%

Hsieh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 095001 (2006) Hidding, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 105004 (2006) Miura, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 251501 (2005) Hosokai, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 036407 (2006) Kneip, Phys. Rev. Lett.**103**, 035002 (2009)

.... and many more .....

#### Unpublished data from Umstadter (U. Nebraska-Lincoln):

	•				
~		200	1 En		
Paramet	er	Angular position (mrad)	Divergence (mrad)	Energy (MeV)	Energy spread (MeV)
Mean		0	5.3	344	38.4
Standar deviatio	Standard 1.1 deviation		1.7 35		4.8

In follow-up data, impressive shot-to-shot stability has been achieved (empirically)

## The achievement of quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma accelerated e<sup>-</sup> up to 1 GeV opens a multitude of applications



Reed, "Efficient initiation of photonuclear reactions using quasi-monoenergetic electron beams from laser wakefield acceleration," *J. Appl. Phys.* **102**, 073103 (2007)

#### Radiotherapy with tunable, high-energy electrons

DeRosiers, "150-250 MeV electron beams in radiation therapy," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **45**, 1781 (2000) Glinec, "Radiotherapy with quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma accelerators," *Med. Phys.* **33**, 155 (2006)

#### Electron acceleration from underdense plasma with the Vulcan Petawatt laser

#### S. R. Nagel, S. P. D. Mangles, S. Kneip, C. Bellei, L. Willingale, A. E. Dangor and Z. Najmudin

The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2BW, UK

#### R. J. Clarke, R. Heathcote and K. L. Lancaster

Central Laser Facility, STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, UK

#### Laser Parameters:

E = 85 J  $\tau_p$  = 760 ± 100 fs  $Z_R \approx$  90 µm, I  $\approx$  10<sup>20</sup> W/cm<sup>2</sup> @ f/5

Plasma Parameters: supersonic He gas jet  $0.4 < n_e < 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  $\Rightarrow 30 \text{ fs} > \pi/\omega_p > 10 \text{ fs}$ 

interesting x-ray results: Kneip et al., PRL **100**, 105006 (2008)

#### A. Gopal and M. Tatarakis

Technological Educational Institute of Crete, Chania, Crete, Greece

#### A. Maksimchuk, S. A. Reed and K. Krushelnick

University of Michigan, 1006 Gerstacker, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA



Figure 2. Electron spectra for density scan with f/5.

results of Kneip et al. (2009)

# Jet Age: FAR-OFF-RESONANT LWFA in dense plasma yielded copious MeV electrons



Nakajima, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4428 (1995)

 Umstadter, Science 273, 472 (1996).
 Clayton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 100 (1998)

 Ting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5377 (1996)
 Dewa, NIMPRA 410, 357 (1998)

 LeBlanc, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5381 (1996)
 Dewa of the second se



"accelerator-quality" beams in all respects except energy spread



## Frequency-Domain "Streak Camera" Records Evolution of Plasma Bubble



- Oblique probe measures bubble evolution
- Collinear probe records longitudinallyaveraged bubble structure