

NTERNATIONAL

C ONFERENCE ON

PLASMA

PHYSICS

Lausanne - Switzerland

June 27 - July 3, 1984

P.203

PROCEEDINGS CONTRIBUTED PAPERS

Volume 1

Editoria M.O. 740 M.L. Sawley DRPP-EPFL 21 av. des Bains
OH: 1007 Edusanne / Switzerland

DENVAR DE RECHERCHES EN PRIVADUE DES PLASMAS EDDAE POLYFECHNIQUE PEDERALE DE LAUSANNE



GENERALIZED POISSON BRACKETS AND NONLINEAR LIAPUNOV STABILITY - APPLICATION TO REDUCED MHD

R.D. Hazeltine, D.D. Holm, b J.E. Marsden, c and P.J. Morrison d, d

a) IFS and Dept. of Physics, UT-Austin b) Los Alamos National Laboratory c) Dept. of Mathematics, UC-Berkeley d) On leave September 1983 to June 1984 at c).

May 1984

GENERALIZED POISSON BRACKETS AND NONLINEAR LIAPUNOV STABILITY - APPLICATION TO REDUCED MHD

R.D. Hazeltine, a D.D. Holm, b J.E. Marsden, c and P.J. Morrison a,d

Abstract

A method is presented for obtaining Liapunov functionals (LF) and proving nonlineaer stability. The method uses the generalized Poisson bracket (GPB) formulation of Hamiltonian dynamics. As an illustration, certain stationary solutions of ideal reduced MHD (RMHD) are shown to be nonlinearly stable. This includes Grad-Shafranov and Alfvén solutions.

a) Institute for Fusion Studies and Dept. of Physics, RLM 11.222, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA.

b) Center for Nonlinear Studies and Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.

C) Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

d)On leave September 1983 to June 1984 at c).

1. Introduction

To establish stability, the LF $method^{1-3}$ relies on the existence of conserved quantities that are used to bound the growth of perturbations from equilibrium. This method has been used to show linearlized stability of plasma and fluid equilibria.4-6 Here we present an algorithm for proving nonlinear stability based on the LF method using the GPB, or noncanonical Hamiltonian formalism. One finds there are often Casimir functionals that Poisson commute with all functionals and these enable one to obtain variational principles for equilibria of various Hamiltonian theories. These equilibria can then be tested for linear Liapunov stability and, in many fluid and plasma examples, 2,3,7-9 nonlinear stability (stability to finite perturbations) has been proven. For RMHD, which is a system used for tokamak modeling, 10 we find explicit criteria for nonlinear stability of Grad-Shafranov equilibria and equilibria with poloidal flow, including nonlinear Alfvén waves. Elsewhere, stability of more realistic tokamak systems is treated.9

GPB Formalism

The GPB formalism uses a conserved functional H (Hamiltonian) together with a Poisson bracket operator on pairs of functionals to represent field equations in the form

$$\frac{\partial \psi^{i}}{\partial t} (x,t) = \{\psi^{i},H\} \qquad i = 1,...,N , \qquad (1)$$

where the ψ^i denote the field components, and the GPB, { , } is (i) bilinear, (ii) antisymmetric, (iii) satisfies the Jacobi identity, the GPB yields the requisite constants. The stability analysis presented here uses the energy and the Casimirs, but we note that additional constants such as momentum may also be utilized when additional symmetries are present.

B. Equilibria are obtained from a variational principle that employs the Hamiltonian and the Casimirs. Evidently from Eq. (1) equilibria occur for $\psi^{\hat{\mathbf{I}}}$ such that $\{\psi^{\hat{\mathbf{I}}},H\}=0$. If we let C denote a linear combination of the Casimirs, then $\{\psi^{\hat{\mathbf{I}}},I\}=0$, where $I\equiv H\!+\!C$. Equilibria occur when the first variation of I vanishes; i.e.,

$$DI[\bar{\psi}] \cdot \delta \bar{\psi} = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta \psi^{\hat{i}}} \delta \psi^{\hat{i}} d\tau = 0 . \tag{2}$$

Usually Casimirs involve free functions; so a whole class of equilibria is often obtained by this step.

C. Linear stability, i.e., stability to infinitesimal perturbations $\delta \psi$ about ψ_e , can be shown by taking the second variation of Eq. (2). This yields a quadratic form in $\delta \psi^i$. Definiteness of this form implies stability of the linearized equations, but does not guarantee stability to finite perturbations for dynamics governed by partial differential equations. A further condition, sometimes called strong positivity, is required. This amounts to a convexity estimate, which we treat in the next step.

D. Showing <u>nonlinear stability</u> requires constructing a norm for the solution space of the system. This will be accomplished if one can find quadratic forms Q_1 and Q_2 that satisfy the following for all finite $\Delta \vec{\psi}$:

$$Q_1[\Delta \dot{\psi}] < H[\dot{\psi}_e + \Delta \dot{\psi}] - H[\dot{\psi}_e] - DH[\dot{\psi}_e] \cdot \Delta \dot{\psi}$$
 (3a)

$$Q_{2}[\Delta \dot{\psi}] \leq C[\dot{\psi}_{e} + \Delta \dot{\psi}] - C[\dot{\psi}_{e}] - DC[\dot{\psi}_{e}] \cdot \Delta \dot{\psi}$$
 (3b)

and

$$\|\Delta\psi\|^2 := Q_1[\Delta\psi] + Q_2[\Delta\psi] > 0 \text{ for } \Delta\psi \neq 0$$
. (3c)

Finding the conditions for the equilibria to satisfy Eqs. (3a) and (3b) is typically not difficult, but the positivity condition Eq. (3c) can require some ingenuity. To see why this construction gives stability, note that I in step c is a constant of motion; so

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta \dot{\psi}\|^2 &< \mathrm{I}[\dot{\psi}(t)] - \mathrm{I}[\dot{\psi}_e] - \mathrm{DI}[\dot{\psi}_e] \cdot \Delta \dot{\psi}(t) \\ &= \mathrm{I}[\dot{\psi}(t=0)] - \mathrm{I}[\dot{\psi}_e] \\ &:= \hat{\mathrm{I}}[\Delta \dot{\psi}_0] , \end{split} \tag{4}$$

where $\Delta \psi(t=0) \equiv \Delta \psi_0$. Thus, the norm of the perturbation, $\|\Delta \psi\|^2$, is bounded by a constant for all time. Suppose this constant is small when $\|\Delta \psi\|$ is small. (This is proven easily by putting quadratic upper bounds on the quantity $I[\Delta \psi]$ in Eq. (4).) Then, the equilibrium ψ_e is nonlinearly Liapunov stable as defined above.

4. RMHD

Assuming helical symmetry, the equations of RMHD are

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = [\psi, \phi] , \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = [\psi, J] - [\phi, U] , \qquad (5)$$

where $\psi(r,\theta,t)$ is the helical flux, $U(r,\theta,t)$ is the scalar vorticity, $[f,g]=r^{-1}(f_rg_\theta-f_\theta g_r)$, $J=\nabla^2\psi$ and $U=\nabla^2\phi$. This system conserves energy, $H=\frac{1}{2}\int (|\underline{\nabla}\phi|^2+|\underline{\nabla}\psi|^2)d\tau$, where $d\tau=rdrd\theta$. The GPB for Eq. (5) is the Lie-Poisson bracket associated to the semidirect-product Lie group of canonical transformations acting on functions on IR^2 ; I^2 , I^2 , hence its Casimirs are known to be $C_1=\int F(\psi)d\tau$ and $C_2=\int UG(\psi)d\tau$, where F and G are arbitrary smooth functions of ψ . Varying the functional $I=H+C_1+C_2$ yields

$$DI \cdot (\delta \phi, \delta \psi) = \int [\delta \phi(-\nabla^2 \phi + \nabla^2 G) + \delta \psi(-\nabla^2 \psi + UG_{\psi} + F_{\psi})] d\tau , \qquad (6)$$

from which we obtain the equilibrium conditions $\phi = G(\psi)$ and $\nabla^2 \psi - (\nabla^2 G) G_{\psi} - F_{\psi} = 0$. Two special cases are of interest: (i) $G \equiv 0$, which yields the RMHD Grad-Shafranov equation $\nabla^2 \psi = F_{\psi}$, and (ii) $G(\psi) = \psi$, which implies F = constant and $\phi = \psi$. In the latter case, the specific form of ϕ is not further constrained. The case $\phi = \psi$ corresponds to flow at the poloidal Alfvén speed and can be interpreted as nonlinear Alfvén waves in the wave frame. We shall investigate nonlinear stability of a class that includes both (i) and (ii).

Taking the second variation of I and rearranging terms yields

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{I} \bullet (\delta \phi, \delta \psi)^2 &= \int \left(|\underline{\nabla} \delta \phi - \underline{\nabla} (G_\psi \delta \psi)|^2 + |\underline{\nabla} \delta \psi|^2 \left(1 - G_\psi^2 \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. (\delta \psi)^2 [G_{\psi \psi} \nabla^2 G + F_{\psi \psi} + G_\psi \underline{\nabla} \bullet (G_{\psi \psi} \underline{\nabla} \psi)] \right) \! \mathrm{d} \, \tau \ . \end{split}$$

This quantity is positive definite if $|G_{\psi}| < 1$ and $G_{\psi\psi}\nabla^2G + F_{\psi\psi} + G_{\psi}\nabla \cdot (G_{\psi\psi}\nabla\psi) > 0$. In case (i) the latter condition becomes $F_{\psi\psi} > 0$, which is a severe restriction (monotonicity) on the helical current; while in case (ii) we obtain $D^2I \cdot (\delta \varphi, \delta \psi)^2 = \int |\nabla \delta \varphi - \nabla \delta \psi|^2 d\tau$. In this Alfvén wave case, we see that φ and ψ can each grow arbitrarily large; however their difference is bounded in time. This is consistent with the kink mode instability that RMHD is known to possess. 14

Resonant kink modes ("internal kinks") can occur when $\nabla \psi$ vanishes at some radius, r_s , and when $\nabla J(r_s)$ does not vanish. In case (i),

$$\nabla J = F_{\psi\psi} \nabla \psi$$
,

so that $F_{\psi\psi}$ has a pole at r_s . To allow for such singularity, 15 one must reinterpret statements concerning $F_{\psi\psi}$ as referring to its canonical regularization, in the sense of Gel'fand. 16

For nonlinear stability it is necessary to show convexity. Since H is already quadratic, we let $Q_1 = H$. If we let \hat{C} be the right-hand side of Eq. (3b), then for RMHD we obtain

$$\begin{split} \hat{C} &= \int \left[U_{\mathbf{e}} \left(F(\psi_{\mathbf{e}} + \Delta \psi) - F(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}) - F'(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}) \Delta \psi \right) \right. \\ &+ \Delta U \left(F(\psi_{\mathbf{e}} + \Delta \psi) - F(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}) \right) + G(\psi_{\mathbf{e}} + \Delta \psi) - G(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}) - G'(\psi_{\mathbf{e}}) \Delta \psi \right] d\tau \; . \end{split}$$

If we assume the functions F and G satisfy $F_{\psi} > q$, $2F_{\psi\psi} > p$ and $2G_{\psi\psi} > s$ for constants q,p,s, then $\hat{C} > Q_2$, where

$$Q_2 \equiv \int [pU_e(\Delta\psi)^2 + q\Delta U\Delta\psi + s(\Delta\psi)^2]d\tau$$
.

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left[|\underline{\nabla} (\Delta \phi) - \underline{q} \underline{\nabla} (\Delta \psi)|^2 + (1 - q^2) |\underline{\nabla} \Delta \psi|^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. (p \mathbf{U}_e + \mathbf{s}) (\Delta \psi)^2 \right] d\tau \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Q_1+Q_2>0$ when (i) $|q|\leqslant 1$ and (ii) $pU_e+s>0$. Liapunov stability is established upon regarding $(Q_1+Q_2)^{1/2}$ as a norm and further requiring $F_{\psi}\leqslant Q$, $2F_{\psi\psi}\leqslant P$ and $2G_{\psi\psi}\leqslant S$ for constants Q,P,S, in order for $\hat{\mathbb{I}}[\Delta\psi_e,\Delta\psi_o]$, which equals $\hat{\mathbb{I}}[\Delta\tilde{\psi}_o]$ in Eq. (4), to have a quadratic upper bound.

In case (ii) for Alfvén waves, the second variation analysis for linearized stability is equivalent to the convexity analysis for nonlinear stability, since I is quadratic. For Grad-Shafranov equilibria we obtain nonlinear stability, provided F_{ψ} (negative of the helical current) is a decreasing function of ψ (with a bound on its slope, so that $\hat{\mathbb{I}}[\Delta \phi_0, \delta \psi_0]$ has a quadratic upper bound).

References

- J. LaSalle and S. Lefschetz, Stability by Liapunov's Direct Method (Academic Press, New York, 1961).
- V.I. Arnold, Ann. Math. Soc. Transl. 79, 267 (1969).
- D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein, Phys. Lett. 98A, 15 (1983).
- W. Newcomb, Appendix of I. Bernstein, Phys. Rev. <u>109</u>, 1249 (1958);
 M.D. Kruskal and C.R. Oberman, Phys. Fluids <u>1</u>, 275 (1958).
- T.K. Fowler, J. Math. Phys. 4, 559 (1963).
- M. Rosenbluth, Advanced Plasma Theory (Academic Press, New York, 1964) p. 136.
- H.D.I. Abarbanel, D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, and T. Ratiu, Richardson number criteria for nonlinear stability of shear flows (submitted to J. Fluid Mechanics, 1984).
- D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein, Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria (in preparation, 1984).
- 9. R.D. Hazeltine, D.D. Holm, and P.J. Morrison (work in progress).
- 10. H.R. Strauss, Phys. Fluids 19, 134 (1976).
- J.E. Marsden, et al., Proceedings of IUTAM-ISIMM, Turin, 289 (1982).
- 12. P.J. Morrison and R.D. Hazeltine, Phys. Fluids 27(4) 886 (1984).
- J.E. Marsden and P.J. Morrison, Comtemporary Mathematics 28, Ann. Math. Soc. (1984).
- 14. H.R. Strauss, Nucl. Fusion (to appear, 1984).
- 15. P.J. Morrison and R.D. Hazeltine (in preparation).
- I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, Vol. 1 (Academic Press, New York, 1964) p. 61.