- 1. Consider coherent states of a harmonic oscillator.
  - (a) Show that for any complex number  $\alpha$ ,

$$|\alpha\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\alpha \hat{a}^{\dagger} - \alpha^{*} \hat{a}) |0\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^{2}/2} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^{\dagger}} |0\rangle \text{ and } \hat{a} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle.$$
 (1)

- (b) Calculate the uncertainties  $\Delta q$  and  $\Delta p$  for a coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  and verify their minimality:  $\Delta q \Delta p = \frac{1}{2}\hbar$ . Also, verify  $\delta n = \sqrt{\bar{n}}$  where  $\bar{n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \hat{n} \rangle = |\alpha|^2$ . Hint: use  $\hat{a} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle$  and  $\langle \alpha | \hat{a}^{\dagger} = \alpha^* \langle \alpha |$ .
- (c) Show that for any initial coherent state  $|\alpha_0\rangle$ ,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle \equiv e^{-i\omega t/2} \left| \alpha = \alpha_0 e^{-i\omega t} \right\rangle \tag{2}$$

satisfies the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

(d) The coherent states are not quite orthogonal to each other. Calculate their overlap.

Now consider coherent states of multi-oscillator systems and hence quantum fields. In particular, let us focus on creation and annihilation fields  $\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})$  for non-relativistic spinless bosons.

(e) Generalize (a) and construct coherent states  $|\Phi\rangle$  which satisfy

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle \tag{3}$$

for any given classical complex field  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ .

(f) Show that for any such coherent state,  $\Delta N = \sqrt{N}$  where

$$\bar{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \Phi | \, \hat{N} \, | \Phi \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \, | \Phi(\mathbf{x}) |^2. \tag{4}$$

(g) Let

$$\hat{H} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi} + v(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi} \right)$$

and show that for any classical field configuration  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  that satisfies the classical

field equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x})\right) \Phi(\mathbf{x},t),$$

the time-dependent coherent state  $|\Phi\rangle$  satisfies the true Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Phi\rangle = \hat{H} |\Phi\rangle.$$
 (5)

- (h) Finally, show that the quantum overlap  $|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_2 \rangle|^2$  between two different coherent states is exponentially small for any *macroscopic* difference  $\delta \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \Phi_2(\mathbf{x})$  between the two field configurations.
- 2. Consider a complex relativistic field  $\Phi(x)$  with a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial^{\mu} \Phi^* \partial_{\mu} \Phi - m^2 \Phi^* \Phi - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\Phi^* \Phi)^2.$$
(6)

This Lagrangian has a symmetry  $\Phi(x) \mapsto e^{i\theta} \Phi(x)$ . According to Noether theorem (which we shall study later in class), this symmetry gives rise to a conserved current

$$J^{\mu} = i\Phi^*\partial^{\mu}\Phi - i(\partial^{\mu}\Phi^*)\Phi.$$
(7)

(a) Write down classical field equations for  $\Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi^*(x)$  (treat them as independent fields!) and verify that indeed  $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$ .

Canonical quantization of the complex field yields non-hermitian quantum fields  $\hat{\Phi}(x) \neq \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(x)$  and  $\hat{\Pi}(x) \neq \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger}(x)$  and the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left( \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Pi} + \nabla \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Phi} + m^2 \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \, \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi} \right). \tag{8}$$

(b) Derive the Hamiltonian (8) and write down the equal-time commutation relations between the quantum fields  $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{\Pi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ .

Because of the non-hermiticity of the quantum fields  $\hat{\Phi}(x) \neq \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(x)$  and  $\hat{\Pi}(x) \neq \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger}(x)$ , their respective plane-wave modes  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$ ,  $\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$  are completely independent of each other *i.e.*,  $\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \neq \hat{\Phi}_{-\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \neq \hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}}$ . Let us therefore define:

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}} + i\hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}}, \qquad \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} - i\hat{\Pi}_{-\mathbf{p}}}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}}, \qquad (9)$$
$$\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}\hat{\Phi}_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} + i\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}}, \qquad \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}\hat{\Phi}_{-\mathbf{p}} - i\hat{\Pi}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}}},$$

where

$$E_{\mathbf{p}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}. \tag{10}$$

- (c) Verify the bosonic commutation relations (at equal times) between the annihilation operators  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}$  and the corresponding creation operators  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$  and  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$ .
- (d) Now, let us turn off the interactions (*i.e.*, set  $\lambda = 0$ ). Show that the Hamiltonian of free charged fields is

$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left( \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Pi} + \nabla \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Phi} + m^2 \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \hat{\Phi} \right) 
= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\mathbf{p}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \text{const.}$$
(11)

(e) Next, consider the electric charge operator  $\hat{Q} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \hat{J}_0(\mathbf{x})$ . Show that for the system at hand

$$\hat{Q} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left( \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}^{\dagger}, \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger} \} - \frac{i}{2} \{ \hat{\Pi}, \hat{\Phi} \} \right) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} - \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \right).$$
(12)

Actually, the classical formula (7) for the current  $J_{\mu}(x)$  determines eq. (12) only up to ordering of the non-commuting operators  $\hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$  (and likewise of the  $\hat{\Pi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ and  $\hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ ). The anti-commutators in eq. (12) provide a solution to this ordering ambiguity, but any other ordering would be just as legitimate. The net effect of changing operator ordering in  $\hat{J}_0$  amounts to changing the total charge  $\hat{Q}$  by an infinite constant (prove this!). The specific ordering in eq. (12) provides for the neutrality of the vacuum state.

Finally, consider the stress-energy tensor for the complex field  $\Phi(x)$ . Classically, Noether theorem gives

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}\Phi^{*}\partial^{\nu}\Phi + \partial^{\mu}\Phi\partial^{\nu}\Phi^{*} - g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}.$$
 (13)

Quantization of this formula is straightforward (modulo ordering ambiguity); for example,  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \hat{T}^{00}$  is precisely the integrand on the right hand side of eq. (8).

(f) Consider the total mechanical momentum operator of the fields  $\hat{P}^{i}_{\text{mech}} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \, \hat{T}^{0i}(\mathbf{x})$ and show that in terms of creation and annihilation operators

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{mech}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathbf{p} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \right)$$
(14)

Physically, eqs. (14), (11) and (12) show that a complex field  $\Phi(x)$  describes both a particle and its antiparticle; they have exactly the same rest mass m but exactly opposite charges  $\pm 1$ .