

1. First, a few exercises concerning the plane-wave solutions $e^{-ipx}u(p, s)$ and $e^{+ipx}v(p, x)$ of the Dirac equation.

(a) Show that

$$\sum_{s=1,2} u_a(p, s)\bar{u}_b(p, s) = (\not{p} + m)_{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{s=1,2} v_a(p, s)\bar{v}_b(p, s) = (\not{p} - m)_{ab}. \quad (1)$$

(b) Prove the Gordon identity

$$\bar{u}(p', s')\gamma^\mu u(p, s) = \frac{(p' + p)^\mu}{2m} \bar{u}(p', s')u(p, s) + \frac{i(p' - p)_\nu}{m} \bar{u}(p', s')S^{\mu\nu}u(p, s). \quad (2)$$

Hint: First, use Dirac equations for the u and the \bar{u}' to show that

$$2m\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu u = \bar{u}'(\not{p}'\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \not{p})u.$$

(c) Generalize the Gordon identity to $\bar{u}'\gamma^\mu v$, $\bar{v}'\gamma^\mu u$ and $\bar{v}'\gamma^\mu v$.

2. The second problem concerns finite representations of the Lorentz symmetry, or rather $\text{Spin}(3, 1) \cong SL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Consider the Lorentz generators $\hat{J}^{\mu\nu}$: In 3-index notations, the $\hat{J}^{ij} = \epsilon^{ij\ell}\hat{J}^\ell$ generate ordinary rotations while the $\hat{J}^{0i} = -\hat{J}^{i0} = \hat{K}^i$ generate the Lorentz boosts. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{J}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{K}}). \quad (3)$$

(a) Show that the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+$ and the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-$ commute with each other and that each satisfies the commutations relations of an angular momentum, $[\hat{J}_\pm^k, \hat{J}_\pm^\ell] = i\epsilon^{k\ell m}\hat{J}_\pm^m$.

The ‘‘angular momentum’’ $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+$ is non-hermitian and hence its finite irreducible representations are non-unitary analytic continuations of the spin- j representations of a hermitian $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$. The same is true for the $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_- = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_+^\dagger$. Thus altogether, the finite irreducible representations of the Lorentz algebra are specified by two integer or half-integer ‘spins’ j_+ and j_- .

The simplest non-trivial representations of the Lorentz algebra are $(j_+ = \frac{1}{2}, j_- = 0)$ — the left-handed Weyl spinor where $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ acts as $\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ as $-\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, and $(j_+ = 0, j_- = \frac{1}{2})$ — the right-handed Weyl spinor where $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ also acts as $\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ but $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$ acts as $+\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Together, the two Weyl spinors comprise the Dirac spinor. From the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ point of view, the left-handed Weyl spinor is the doublet representation $\mathbf{2}$ which defines the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ group while the right-handed Weyl spinor is the conjugate doublet $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$. As discussed in class, the Weyl spinors transform according to

$$\psi_\alpha^L \mapsto M_\alpha^\beta \psi_\beta^L \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_2 \psi^R)_{\dot{\alpha}} \mapsto M_{\dot{\alpha}}^{*\dot{\beta}} (\sigma_2 \psi^R)_{\dot{\beta}} \quad (4)$$

where $M \equiv M_L$ and $\sigma_2 M^* \sigma_2 = M_R$.

A generic (j_+, j_-) representation of the Lorentz algebra becomes in the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ terms a tensor $\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{(2j_+)}, \dot{\gamma}_1 \dots \dot{\gamma}_{(2j_-)}}$, totally symmetric in its $2j_+$ un-dotted indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{(2j_+)}$ and separately totally symmetric in its $2j_-$ dotted indices $\dot{\gamma}_1, \dots, \dot{\gamma}_{(2j_-)}$; it transforms according to

$$\Phi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{(2j_+)}, \dot{\gamma}_1 \dots \dot{\gamma}_{(2j_-)}} \mapsto M_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \dots M_{\alpha_{(2j_+)}}^{\beta_{(2j_+)}} M_{\dot{\gamma}_1}^{*\dot{\delta}_1} \dots U_{\dot{\gamma}_{(2j_-)}}^{*\dot{\delta}_{(2j_-)}} \Phi_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{(2j_+)}, \dot{\delta}_1 \dots \dot{\delta}_{(2j_-)}} \quad (5)$$

The vector representation of the Lorentz group has $j_+ = j_- = \frac{1}{2}$. To cast the action of the Lorentz group in $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ terms (5), we define

$$X_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} = X_\mu \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}^\mu = X_0 \delta_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} - \mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (6)$$

or in 2×2 matrix notations, $X_\mu \sigma^\mu = X_0 - \mathbf{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ where σ^0 is the unit matrix while σ^1, σ^2 and σ^3 are the Pauli matrices. In the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ terms, we have

$$X'_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} = M_\alpha^\beta M_{\dot{\gamma}}^{*\dot{\delta}} X_{\beta\dot{\delta}} \quad i.e., \quad X'_\mu \sigma^\mu = M(X_\mu \sigma^\mu) M^\dagger. \quad (7)$$

(b) Show that for any $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ matrix M , eq. (7) defines an orthochronous Lorentz transform $X'_\mu = L_\mu^\nu(M) X_\nu$. (Hint: prove and use $\det(X_\mu \sigma^\mu) = X^2 \equiv X_\mu X^\mu$).

* For extra challenge, show that L is proper, *i.e.* $\det(L) = +1$.

(c) Verify the group law, $L(M_2M_1) = L(M_2)L(M_1)$.

(d) Verify explicitly that for $M = \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\theta \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, $L(M)$ is a rotation by angle θ around axis \mathbf{n} while for $M = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}r \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, $L(M)$ is a boost of rapidity r ($\beta = \tanh r$, $\gamma = \cosh r$) in the direction \mathbf{n} .

In general, any (j_+, j_-) multiplet of the $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with integer net spin $j_+ + j_-$ is equivalent to some kind of a Lorentz tensor. (Here, we include the scalar and the vector among the tensors.) For example, the $(1, 1)$ multiplet is equivalent to a symmetric, traceless 2-index tensor $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$, $T^\mu{}_\mu = 0$. For $j_+ \neq j_-$ the representation is complex, but one can make a real tensor by combining two multiplets with opposite j_+ and j_- , for example the $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ multiplets are together equivalent to an antisymmetric 2-index tensor $F^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\nu\mu}$.

(e) Verify the above examples.

Hint: For any angular momentum $(j = \frac{1}{2}) \otimes (j = \frac{1}{2}) = (j = 1) \oplus (j = 0)$.

The $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ multiplets with half-integer $j_+ + j_-$ are equivalent to Lorentz spinors or spin-tensors which carry one Weyl index as well as 0, 1 or more 4-vector indices and transform according to

$$\psi_\alpha^{\mu, \dots, \nu} \mapsto M_\alpha^\beta(L) L^\mu{}_\kappa \dots L^\nu{}_\lambda \psi_\beta^{\kappa, \dots, \lambda} \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu, \dots, \nu} \mapsto M_{\dot{\alpha}}^{*\dot{\beta}}(L) L^\mu{}_\kappa \dots L^\nu{}_\lambda \psi_{\dot{\beta}}^{\kappa, \dots, \lambda}. \quad (8)$$

(f) Show that the $(1, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ multiplets are together equivalent to the Rarita-Schwinger spin-vector Ψ_a^μ which has one Dirac index a and one 4-vector index μ and satisfies a Lorentz-covariant constraint $\gamma_\mu \Psi^\mu = 0$.

3. Finally, consider the relation between Lorentz transformations of the fields and of the particles. In mechanics (classical or quantum), one must distinguish between two opposite kinds of rotations, namely coordinate-frame rotations of bodies and body-frame rotations of coordinate systems. For the Lorentz transformations of fields and particles, there is a similar distinction between the particle-frame and field-frame Lorentz transforms.

For example, consider a real (hermitian) scalar quantum field

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left[e^{-ipx} \hat{a}(p) + e^{+ipx} \hat{a}^\dagger(p) \right]_{p^0 \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}}} \quad (9)$$

(where $\hat{a}(p)$ stands for the $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}(t = 0)$ and ditto for the $\hat{a}^\dagger(p)$). A field-frame Lorentz

transform L acts on this field according to

$$\hat{\Phi}'(x') \equiv \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) \hat{\Phi}(x') \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) = \hat{\Phi}(x = L^{-1}x') \quad (10)$$

while the corresponding particle-frame transform acts precisely in reverse:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) \hat{\Phi}(x) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) = \hat{\Phi}(Lx). \quad (11)$$

In both cases $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\alpha\beta}\hat{J}^{\alpha\beta}\right)$ is a unitary operator representing the Lorentz transform L in the Fock space of the quantum field theory.

(a) Show that (11) implies

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) \hat{a}(p) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) = \hat{a}(Lp), \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) \hat{a}^\dagger(p) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) = \hat{a}^\dagger(Lp), \quad (12)$$

and therefore

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) |p\rangle = |Lp\rangle, \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) |p_1, p_2\rangle = |Lp_1, Lp_2\rangle, \quad \text{etc., etc.} \quad (13)$$

thus *particle-frame* Lorentz transform.

Now consider a generic Lorentz multiplet of quantum fields $\hat{\phi}_A(x)$ which transform into each other according to

$$\hat{\phi}'_A(x') \equiv \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) \hat{\phi}_A(x') \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) = \sum_B M_A^B(L) \hat{\phi}_B(x = L^{-1}x') \quad (14)$$

in the field frame, or

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) \hat{\phi}_A(x) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger(L) = \sum_B M_A^B(L^{-1}) \hat{\phi}_B(Lx) \quad (15)$$

in the particle frame. In both frames, the matrices $M_A^B(L)$ form a finite but non-unitary representation of the Lorentz group while the Fock-space operators $\mathcal{D}(L)$ form a unitary but infinite representation.

- (b) Verify that formula (15) is consistent with the same group law for both the field-multiplet and the Fock-space representations, $M_A^C(L_1 L_2) = \sum_B M_A^B(L_1) M_B^C(L_2)$ while $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L_2 L_1) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L_2) \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L_1)$.

A free (complex) quantum field comprises particle and antiparticle creation and annihilation operators according to

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_A(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \left[e^{-ipx} f_A(p, s) \hat{a}(p, s) + e^{+ipx} h_A(p, s) \hat{b}^\dagger(p, s) \right]_{p^0 \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}}} \\ \hat{\phi}_A^\dagger(x) &= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \left[e^{-ipx} h_A^*(p, s) \hat{b}(p, s) + e^{+ipx} f_A^*(p, s) \hat{a}^\dagger(p, s) \right]_{p^0 \equiv E_{\mathbf{p}}}\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

where $e^{-ipx} f_A(p, s)$ and $e^{+ipx} h_A(p, s)$ are independent plane-wave solutions of the free field equation for the ϕ_A , whatever that might be. For the real (*i.e.*, non-hermitian) fields, there are similar formulae where $h_A(p, s) = f_A^*(p, s)$, $\hat{b}(p, s) = \hat{a}(p, s)$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(p, s) = \hat{a}^\dagger(p, s)$, *i.e.*, the particles are their own antiparticles.

- (c) A particle-frame Lorentz transform should act on particle or antiparticle quantum numbers according to

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(L) |p, \pm, s\rangle = \sum_{s'} C_{s, s'}(L, p) |Lp, \pm, s'\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Show that eqs. (15) and (17) are consistent with each other if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}f_A(Lp, s') &= \sum_B \sum_s M_A^B(L) C_{s, s'}^*(L, p) f_B(p, s), \\ h_A(Lp, s') &= \sum_b \sum_s M_A^B(L) C_{s, s'}(L, p) h_B(p, s).\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$