

Dimensional Analysis and Allowed QFT Couplings

In $\hbar = c = 1$ units, all quantities are measured in units of energy to some power. For example $[m] = [p^\mu] = E^{+1}$ while $[x^\mu] = E^{-1}$ where $[m]$ stands for the *dimensionality* of the mass rather than the mass itself, and ditto for the $[p^\mu]$, $[x^\mu]$, *etc.* The action

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$$

is dimensionless (in $\hbar \neq 1$ units, $[S] = \hbar$), so the Lagrangian of a 4D field theory has dimensionality $[\mathcal{L}] = E^{+4}$.

Canonical dimensions of quantum fields follow from the free-field Lagrangians. A scalar field $\Phi(x)$ has

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2, \quad (1)$$

so $[\mathcal{L}] = E^{+4}$, $[m^2] = E^{+2}$, and $[\partial_\mu] = E^{+1}$ imply $[\Phi] = E^{+1}$. Likewise, the EM field has

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}}^{\text{EM}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \implies [F_{\mu\nu}] = E^{+2}, \quad (2)$$

and since $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, the $A_\nu(x)$ field has dimension

$$[A_\nu] = [F_{\mu\nu}] / [\partial_\mu] = E^{+1}. \quad (3)$$

The massive vector fields also have $[A_\nu] = E^{+1}$ so that both terms in

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_\nu A^\nu \quad (4)$$

have dimensions $[F^2] = [m^2 A^2] = E^{+4}$.

In fact, all *bosonic* fields in 4D spacetime have canonical dimensions E^{+1} because their kinetic terms are quadratic in $\partial_\mu(\text{field})$. On the other hand, fermionic fields line the Dirac field $\Psi(x)$ with free Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\Psi \quad (5)$$

have kinetic terms with two fields but only one ∂_μ . Consequently, $[\mathcal{L}] = E^{+4}$ implies $[\bar{\Psi}\Psi] = E^{+3}$ and hence $[\Psi] = [\bar{\Psi}] = E^{+3/2}$. Similarly, all other types of fermionic fields in 4D have canonical dimension $E^{+3/2}$.

In QFTs in other spacetime dimensions $d \neq 4$, the bosonic fields such as scalars and vectors have canonical dimension

$$[\Phi] = [A_\nu] = E^{+(d-2)/2} \quad (6)$$

while the fermionic fields have canonical dimension

$$[\Psi] = E^{+(d-1)/2}. \quad (7)$$

In perturbation theory, dimensionality of coupling parameters such as λ in $\lambda\Phi^4$ theory or e in QED follows from the field's canonical dimensions. For example, in a 4D scalar theory with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial^\mu\Phi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\Phi^2 - \sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{C_n}{n!} \Phi^n, \quad (8)$$

the coupling C_n of the Φ^n term has dimensionality

$$[C_n] = [\mathcal{L}] / [\Phi]^n = E^{4-n}. \quad (9)$$

In particular, the cubic coupling C_3 has positive energy dimension E^{+1} , the quartic coupling $\lambda = C_4$ is dimensionless, while all the higher-power couplings have negative energy dimensions E^{negative} .

Now consider a theory with a single coupling g of dimensionality $[g] = E^\Delta$. The perturbation theory in g amounts to a power series expansion

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{momenta}, g) = \sum_N \left(\frac{g}{\mathcal{E}^\Delta} \right)^N \times F_N(\text{momenta}) \quad (10)$$

where \mathcal{E} is the overall energy scale of the process in question and all the F_N functions of momenta have the same dimensionality. The power series (10) is asymptotic rather than convergent, so it makes sense only when the expansion parameter is small,

$$\frac{g}{\mathcal{E}^\Delta} \ll 1. \quad (11)$$

For a dimensionless coupling g , this condition is simply $g \ll 1$, but for $\Delta \neq 0$, the situation is more complicated.

For couplings of positive dimensionality $\Delta > 0$, the expansion parameter (11) is always small for high-energy processes with $\mathcal{E} \gg g^{1/\Delta}$. But for low energies $\mathcal{E} \lesssim g^{1/\Delta}$ the expansion parameter becomes large and the perturbation theory breaks down. This is a major problem for theories with $\Delta > 0$ and *massless particles*. However, if all the particles are massive, then all processes have energies $\mathcal{E} \gtrsim M_{\text{lightest}}$, and this makes couplings with $\Delta > 0$ OK as long as

$$g \ll M_{\text{lightest}}^\Delta. \quad (12)$$

Couplings of negative dimensionality $\Delta < 0$ have an opposite problem: The expansion parameter (11) is small at low energies but becomes large at high energies $\mathcal{E} \gtrsim g^{-1/\Delta}$. Beyond the maximal energy

$$E^{\text{max}} \sim g^{-1/\Delta}, \quad (13)$$

the perturbation theory breaks down and we may no longer compute the S-matrix elements \mathcal{M} using any finite number of Feynman diagrams.

Worse, in Feynman diagrams with loops one must worry not only about energies of the incoming and outgoing particles but also about momenta q^μ of the internal lines. Basically, an L -loop diagram contributing to N^{th} term in the expansion (10) produces something like

$$g^N \times \int d^{4L} q \mathcal{F}_N(q, p, k, m) \quad \text{where} \quad [\mathcal{F}_N] = E^{-N\Delta - 4L + C}, \quad C = \text{const.} \quad (14)$$

For very large loop momenta $q \gg p, k, m$, dimensionality implies $\mathcal{F}_N \propto q^{-N\Delta - 4L + C}$, so for $-N\Delta + C \geq 0$, the integral (14) diverges as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, for $\Delta < 0$ higher orders of perturbation theory have worse divergences of increasing degrees $-N\Delta + C \geq 0$. Therefore, *field theories with $\Delta < 0$ couplings do not work as complete theories*.

However, theories with $\Delta < 0$ may be used as approximate *effective theories* (without the divergent loop graphs) for low-energy processes, $\mathcal{E} \lesssim \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda < g^{-1/\Delta}$. For example, Fermi theory of weak interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = 2\sqrt{2}G_f \times J_\mu^+ J^{\mu-} \quad \text{where} \quad J_\mu^\pm = \sum_{\text{appropriate fermions}} \bar{\Psi} \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \gamma_\mu \Psi \quad (15)$$

has coupling G_F of dimension $[G_G] = E^{-2}$; its value is $G_F \approx 1.17 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. This is a good effective theory for low-energy weak interactions, but it cannot be used for energies

$\mathcal{E} \gtrsim 1/\sqrt{G_F} \sim 300$ GeV, not even theoretically. In real life, Fermi theory works well for $\mathcal{E} \ll M_W \sim 80$ GeV, but for higher energies one should use the complete $SU(2) \times U(1)$ electroweak theory including W^\pm and Z^0 particles, *etc.*

Similar to the Fermi theory, most effective theories with $\Delta < 0$ couplings are low-energy limits of more complicated theories with extra heavy particles of masses $M \lesssim g^{-1/\Delta}$ but no $\Delta < 0$ couplings.

In QFTs which are valid for all energies, all coupling must have zero or positive energy dimensions. In 4D, a coupling involving b bosonic fields (scalar or vector), f fermionic fields, and δ derivatives ∂_μ has dimensionality

$$\Delta = 4 - b - \frac{3}{2}f - \delta. \quad (16)$$

Thus, only the boson³ couplings have $\Delta > 0$ while the $\Delta = 0$ couplings comprise boson⁴, boson \times fermion², and boson² \times ∂ boson. All other coupling types have $\Delta < 0$ and are not allowed (except in effective theories).

Here is the complete list of the allowed couplings in 4D.

1. Scalar couplings

$$-\frac{\mu}{3!}\Phi^3 \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\lambda}{4!}\Phi^4. \quad (17)$$

Note: the higher powers Φ^5 , Φ^6 , *etc.*, are not allowed because the couplings would have $\Delta < 0$.

2. Gauge couplings of vectors to charged scalars

$$-iqA^\mu (\Phi^* \partial_\mu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi^*) + q^2 \Phi^* \Phi A_\mu A^\mu \subset D_\mu \Phi^* D^\mu \Phi. \quad (18)$$

3. Non-abelian gauge couplings between the vector fields

$$-gf^{abc}(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a)A^{\mu b}A^{\nu c} - \frac{g^2}{4}f^{abc}f^{ade}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu d}A^{\nu e} \subset -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a}. \quad (19)$$

4. Gauge couplings of vectors to charged fermions,

$$-qA^\mu \times \bar{\Psi} \gamma_\mu \Psi \subset \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma_\mu D^\mu) \Psi. \quad (20)$$

If the fermions are massless and chiral, we may also have

$$-qA_\mu \times \bar{\Psi} \frac{1 \pm \gamma^5}{2} \gamma_\mu \Psi, \quad (21)$$

or in Weyl fermion language

$$-qA_\mu \times \chi^\dagger \bar{\sigma}_\mu \chi.$$

5. Yukawa couplings of scalars to fermions,

$$-g\Phi \times \bar{\Psi}\Psi \quad \text{or} \quad -ig\Phi \times \bar{\Psi}\gamma^5\Psi. \quad (22)$$

If parity is conserved, in the first term Φ should be a true scalar, and in the second term a pseudo-scalar.