

**Problem 1(a):**

First, let's verify eq. (3) for a state  $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$ , with wave-function

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{T\sqrt{D}} \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \quad (\text{S.1})$$

where  $(\ )$  surrounding the indices  $(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N)$  denote total symmetrization, *i.e.* summing over all  $N!$  permutations,  $T$  is the number of trivial permutations (of indices which happen to coincide), and  $D$  is the number of distinct permutations (of indices which do not coincide). For this state,

$$\hat{a}_\alpha |\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle = \sqrt{n_\alpha + 1} |\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N, \alpha\rangle, \quad (\text{S.2})$$

which has wave-function

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{\sqrt{n_\alpha + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}). \quad (\text{S.3})$$

Here we sum over  $N + 1$  permutations of indices  $(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N \alpha)$ , which may be done in  $N + 1$  blocks of  $N!$ , namely first permute the  $\gamma$ 's among themselves, and then put  $\alpha$  anywhere in that list,

$$\phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \cancel{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)} \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}). \quad (\text{S.4})$$

But the symmetrization over  $\gamma$ 's here is exactly as in eq. (S.1), except for the relevant coordinates being  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1})$  instead of  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ . Therefore,

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{\sqrt{n_\alpha + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times T\sqrt{D} \times \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N), \quad (\text{S.5})$$

exactly as in eq. (3), except maybe the overall coefficient. To check this coefficient, we use eqs. (2). Given occupation numbers  $n_\beta$  of the original state  $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$ , the new state

$|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N, \alpha\rangle$  has  $n'_\beta = n_\beta + \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{T'}{T} &= \prod_{\beta} n'_{\beta}! / \prod_{\beta} n_{\beta}! = \frac{(n_{\alpha} + 1)!}{n_{\alpha}!} = n_{\alpha} + 1, \\ \frac{T'\sqrt{D'}}{T\sqrt{D}} &= \sqrt{\frac{T' \times (N+1)!}{T \times N!}} = \sqrt{(n_{\alpha} + 1)(N+1)}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{n_{\alpha} + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times T\sqrt{D} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{S.6}$$

Thus, the coefficient in eq. (S.5) is also exactly as in eq. (3).

At this point, we have proved eq. (3) for states  $|N, \Psi\rangle$  that happen to be  $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$  for some  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ . To prove it for all  $N$ -boson states  $|N, \psi\rangle$  we now use *linearity*: the operator  $\hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$  is linear, and eq. (3) is manifestly linear with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$ , so if it holds for any set of states, it also holds for all their linear combinations. But states  $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$  make up a complete basis of the  $N$ -boson Hilbert space, so any  $|N, \psi\rangle$  is a linear combination of such states. Therefore, eq. (3) must hold for any  $N$ -boson wave function  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ . *Q.E.D.*

Problem 1(b):

The operator  $\hat{a}_{\alpha}$  is the hermitian conjugate of the operator  $\hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ , so for any two states  $|N, \psi\rangle$  and  $\langle N-1, \tilde{\psi}|$ ,

$$\langle N-1, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_{\alpha} | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \psi | \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} | N-1, \tilde{\psi} \rangle^*.\tag{S.7}$$

In wave-function terms, this means

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) &= \\ = \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times [\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)]^*\end{aligned}\tag{S.8}$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  is the wave function of the state  $|N, \tilde{\psi}'\rangle = \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} |N-1, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$ . Applying eq. (3)

of part (a) to this wave-function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\
& \text{by permutational symmetry} \tag{S.9} \\
& = \frac{N}{\sqrt{N}} \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \\
& = \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \sqrt{N} \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned}$$

This formula holds true for any totally symmetric wave-function  $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1})$ , and this is possible only when

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N), \tag{4}$$

or rather when the totally symmetric part of the left hand side here equals to the totally symmetric part of the right hand side. But for bosonic wave functions  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  both sides must be already totally symmetric in  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1})$  as they are, so eq. (4) must apply exactly as written. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 1(c):

Let  $A_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle$ . Since states  $|\alpha\rangle$  make a complete basis of the 1-particle Hilbert space, for any 1-particle states  $\langle \tilde{\psi} |$  and  $|\psi\rangle$

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_1 | \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \langle \tilde{\psi} | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int d^3\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{\psi}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \times \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}). \tag{S.10}$$

This is undergraduate-level QM.

In the  $N$ -particle Hilbert space we have a similar formula for the matrix elements of the  $\hat{A}_1$  acting on particle  $\#i$ , the only modification being integrals over the coordinates of the other

particles,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_1(i^{\text{th}}) | N, \psi \rangle &= \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_i} \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \left( \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_i \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \\
&\quad \times \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.11}$$

For symmetric wave-functions of identical bosons, we get the same matrix element regardless of which particle  $\#i$  we are acting on with the operator  $\hat{A}_1$ , hence for the *net*  $A$  operator (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle &= N \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \\
&\quad \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\
&\quad \times \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.12}$$

Now consider matrix elements of the Fock-space operator (6). According to eq. (4) of part (b), state  $|N-1, \psi''\rangle = \hat{a}_\beta |N, \psi\rangle$  has wave-function

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N). \tag{S.13}$$

Likewise, state  $|N-1, \tilde{\psi}''\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$  has wave-function

$$\tilde{\psi}''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N), \tag{S.14}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta | N, \psi \rangle &= \langle N-1, \tilde{\psi}'' | | N-1, \psi'' \rangle \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}''^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.15}$$

Comparing this formula to the integrals in eq. (S.12), we see that

$$\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle. \tag{S.16}$$

*Q.E.D.*

Problem 1(d):

This works similarly to part (c), except for more integrals 😞. Let

$$B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = (\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |) \hat{B}_2 (| \gamma \rangle \otimes | \delta \rangle) \tag{S.17}$$

be matrix elements of a two-body operator  $\hat{B}_2$  between *un-symmetrized* two-particle states. Then for generic two-particle states  $\langle \tilde{\psi} |$  and  $|\psi\rangle$  — symmetric or not — we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2 | \psi \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \langle \tilde{\psi} | (| \alpha \rangle \otimes | \beta \rangle) \times (\langle \gamma | \otimes \langle \delta |) | \psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1 d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2 \tilde{\psi}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.18}$$

Similarly, in the Hilbert space of  $N > 2$  particles — identical bosons or not — operators  $\hat{B}_2$

acting on particles  $\#i$  and  $\#j$  has matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2(i^{\text{th}}, j^{\text{th}}) | N, \psi \rangle &= \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_i} \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_j} \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \\
&\quad \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_i d^3 \mathbf{x}_j \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_j) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)
\end{aligned} \tag{S.19}$$

For identical bosons — and hence totally symmetric wave-functions  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  — such matrix elements do not depend on the choice of particles on which  $\hat{B}_2$  acts, so we may just as well let  $i = N - 1$  and  $j = N$ . Consequently, the *net*  $\hat{B}$  operator (7) has matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle &= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2(N-1, N) | N, \psi \rangle \\
&= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{S.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} &= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-2} \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1} d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N)
\end{aligned}$$

Now let's compare this to the Fock space formalism. Applying eq. (4) of part (b) *twice*, we find that the  $(N-2)$ -particle state

$$|N-2, \psi'''\rangle = \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma |N, \psi\rangle \tag{S.21}$$

has wave function

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) &= \sqrt{N(N-1)} \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.22}$$

Likewise, state

$$|N-2, \tilde{\psi}'''\rangle = \hat{a}_\beta \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle \quad (\text{S.23})$$

has wave function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) &= \sqrt{N(N-1)} \iint d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3\mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}) \phi_\alpha^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\ &\quad \times \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.24})$$

Taking Dirac product of these two states, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma | N, \psi \rangle &= \langle N-1, \tilde{\psi}''' | | N-2, \psi''' \rangle \\ &= \int \dots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \dots d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-2} \tilde{\psi}'''^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) \times \psi'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) \\ &= N(N-1) \times I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.25})$$

where  $I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta}$  is exactly the same mega-integral as in eq. (S.20). Therefore,

$$\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle \quad (\text{S.26})$$

where the second equality follows from eq. (8). *Q.E.D.*

### Problem 2(a):

This is a simple exercise of the Leibniz rule for commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] &= [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] \hat{a}_\beta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] = 0 + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \delta_{\beta, \gamma} = \delta_{\beta, \gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \\ [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] &= [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\delta] \hat{a}_\beta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] = -\delta_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\beta + 0 = -\delta_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\beta, \\ [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta] &= [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] = \delta_{\beta, \gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.27})$$

Problem 2(b):

Given

$$\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \quad (\text{S.28})$$

and

$$\hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta, \quad (\text{S.29})$$

we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}] &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta] \\ &\llcorner \text{(using (S.27))} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle \left( \delta_{\beta,\gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_{\beta=\gamma} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \gamma \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle - \sum_{\beta,\gamma} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \sum_{\alpha=\delta} \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle - \sum_{\beta,\gamma} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \\ &\llcorner \text{(renaming summation indices)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \left( \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 | \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha | \hat{B}_1 \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \langle \alpha | \left( [\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1] = \hat{C}_1 \right) | \beta \rangle \equiv \hat{C}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.30})$$

Problem 2(c):

Again, we apply the Leibniz rule:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta] &= [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger] \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \\ &\quad + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\gamma] \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\delta] \\ &= \delta_{\nu\alpha} \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta + \delta_{\nu\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\mu\gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\mu\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.31})$$

Problem 2(d):

In the Fock space,

$$\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\mu\nu\alpha} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \quad (2)$$

and

$$\hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta, \quad (5)$$

where  $\langle \alpha \otimes \beta |$  is a short-hand for the un-symmetrized two-particle wave function ( $\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |$ ) and likewise  $|\gamma \otimes \delta \rangle = (|\gamma \rangle \otimes |\delta \rangle)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta] \\ &\langle\langle \text{using eq. (S.31)} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_{\nu} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \nu \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\mu,\gamma,\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_{\nu} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \nu | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\nu,\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_{\mu} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \mu \otimes \delta \rangle \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\nu} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\nu \times \sum_{\mu} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \mu \rangle \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \\ &\langle\langle \text{renaming summation indices} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.32})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} &= \sum_{\lambda} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle + \sum_{\lambda} \langle \beta | \hat{A}_1 | \lambda \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \lambda | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{\lambda} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \lambda \otimes \delta \rangle \langle \lambda | \hat{A}_1 | \gamma \rangle - \sum_{\lambda} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \hat{A}_1 | \delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \left( \hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} \hat{B}_2 + \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \hat{B}_2 - \hat{B}_2 \hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} - \hat{B}_2 \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \right) | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \left[ \left( \hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} + \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \right), \hat{B}_2 \right] | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{C}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.33})$$

Consequently,  $[\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}] = \hat{C}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}$ . *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(a):

To simplify the  $\exp(\xi\hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^*\hat{a})$  in the definition of a coherent state  $|\xi\rangle$ , we use the product-of-exponentials formula

$$\forall \hat{A}, \hat{B}: \quad e^{\hat{A}}e^{\hat{B}} = \exp\left(\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{12}[(\hat{A} - \hat{B}), [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + \dots\right). \quad (\text{S.34})$$

In particular, for  $\hat{A} = \xi\hat{a}^\dagger$ ,  $\hat{B} = -\xi^*\hat{a}$  and  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \xi\xi^*$  being a c-number, all the multiple commutators vanish and

$$e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger}e^{-\xi^*\hat{a}} = \exp\left(\xi\hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^*\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\xi\xi^*\right), \quad \text{exactly.} \quad (\text{S.35})$$

Consequently

$$|\xi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^*\hat{a}} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\xi^*\hat{a}} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad (\text{S.36})$$

where the last equality follows from  $\hat{a}|0\rangle = 0$  and hence  $\exp(-\xi^*\hat{a})|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ .

Next,  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$  implies that for any function  $f(\hat{a}^\dagger)$ ,  $[\hat{a}, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] = f'(\hat{a}^\dagger)$ . In particular  $[\hat{a}, e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger}] = \xi e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger}$ , or in other words  $(\hat{a} - \xi) \times e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} = e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} \times \hat{a}$ . Consequently,

$$(\hat{a} - \xi)|\xi\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} (\hat{a} - \xi) \times e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} \times \hat{a} |0\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{S.37})$$

*Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(b):

In the coordinate basis, the annihilation operator  $\hat{a}$  acts as

$$\hat{a} = \frac{\omega m \hat{x} + i \hat{p}}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} = \frac{\omega m \hat{x} + \hbar \partial_x}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} \quad (\text{S.38})$$

and the condition  $\hat{a}|\xi\rangle = \xi|\xi\rangle$  becomes a first-order differential equation

$$\left(\hbar \frac{d}{dx} + \omega m \times x - \sqrt{2\hbar\omega m} \times \xi\right) \psi_\xi(x) = 0 \quad (\text{S.39})$$

for the wave-function  $\psi_\xi(x)$  of the coherent state. This equation has a unique solution (up to

overall normalization), namely

$$\psi_\xi(x) = \text{const} \times \exp\left(\xi\sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}} \times x - \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \times x^2\right), \quad (\text{S.40})$$

or equivalently,

$$\psi_\xi(x) = \text{const} \times e^{i\bar{p}x/\hbar} \times e^{-m\omega(x-\bar{x})^2/2\hbar}, \quad (\text{S.41})$$

a Gaussian wave-packet with

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}} \times \text{Re} \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} \times \text{Im} \xi. \quad (\text{S.42})$$

Note that the width of the wave packet (S.41) does not depend on  $\xi$ , so all coherent states have the same  $\Delta x$ . In particular, since  $|\xi = 0\rangle$  is the oscillator's ground state, all coherent states have the same width as the ground state.

**Problem 3(c):**

For any *normal-ordered* product of creation and annihilation operators — *i.e.*, a product in which all creation operators are to the right of all annihilation operators — one has

$$\langle \xi | (\hat{a}^\dagger)^k (\hat{a})^\ell | \xi \rangle = (\xi^*)^k \xi^\ell, \quad (\text{S.43})$$

simply because  $\hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \xi |\xi\rangle$  and  $\langle \xi | \hat{a}^\dagger = \xi^* \langle \xi |$ . In particular,  $\langle \xi | (\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) | \xi \rangle = \xi^* \xi$ . On the other hand,

$$\hat{n}^2 = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \implies \langle \xi | \hat{n}^2 | \xi \rangle = (\xi^*)^2 \xi^2 + \xi^* \xi = \bar{n}^2 + \bar{n} \quad (\text{S.44})$$

hence  $\Delta n = \sqrt{\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \bar{n}^2} = \sqrt{\bar{n}}$ .

In a similar manner,

$$\hat{q} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger), \quad \hat{q}^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left( (\hat{a})^2 + (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2 + 2\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 1 \right), \quad (\text{S.45})$$

hence

$$\langle \xi | \hat{q}^2 | \xi \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} ((\xi + \xi^*)^2 + 1) = \langle \xi | \hat{q} | \xi \rangle^2 + \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}. \quad (\text{S.46})$$

Likewise,

$$\langle \xi | \hat{p}^2 | \xi \rangle = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} ((-i\xi + i\xi^*)^2 + 1) = \langle \xi | \hat{p} | \xi \rangle^2 + \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}.$$

Altogether, this gives us for any coherent state

$$\Delta q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}, \quad \Delta p = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}, \quad \Delta q \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (\text{S.47})$$

*Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(d):

According to eq. (10),

$$|\xi(t)\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi(t)\hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad (\text{S.48})$$

and only the second factor here depends on time. Indeed,  $e^{-|\xi|^2/2} = \text{const}$  for  $\xi(t) = \xi_0 e^{-i\omega t}$ , and  $|0\rangle$  is time-independent because we work in the Schrödinger picture. In this picture, the  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  operator is also time independent, hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} = \frac{d\xi}{dt} \hat{a}^\dagger \times e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} = -i\omega\xi \hat{a}^\dagger \times e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger}, \quad (\text{S.49})$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\xi\rangle = -i\omega\xi \hat{a}^\dagger |\xi\rangle = -i\omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |\xi\rangle \quad (\text{S.50})$$

where the second equality follows from  $\xi |\xi\rangle = \hat{a} |\xi\rangle$ . Consequently,

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\xi(t)\rangle = \hbar\omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |\xi(t)\rangle \equiv \hat{H} |\xi(t)\rangle \quad (\text{S.51})$$

— the coherent state  $|\xi(t)\rangle$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(e):

In question 3(a) we saw that  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$  implies  $e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} = (\hat{a} - \xi) e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger}$  for any c-number  $\xi$ . Iterating this identity gives us  $e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} f(\hat{a}) = f(\hat{a} - \xi) e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger}$  for any function  $f(\hat{a})$  of the annihilation operator, and in particular

$$\exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) \times \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) = \exp(\eta^*(\hat{a} - \xi)) \times \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) = \exp(-\eta^* \xi) \times \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) \times \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger). \quad (\text{S.52})$$

Consequently, the quantum overlap of the coherent states  $|\xi\rangle$  and  $\langle\eta|$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\eta|\xi\rangle &= e^{-|\eta|^2/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} \times \langle 0 | \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) | 0 \rangle \\ &= e^{-|\eta|^2/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{+\eta^* \xi} \langle 0 | \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\eta|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 + \eta^* \xi\right) \times 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.53})$$

because  $e^{\eta^* \hat{a}} |0\rangle = |0\rangle$ ,  $\langle 0 | e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} = \langle 0 |$ , and  $\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$ . In terms of the probability overlap,

$$|\langle\eta|\xi\rangle|^2 = e^{-|\eta - \xi|^2}. \quad (\text{S.54})$$

Problem 3(f):

Generalization of coherent states to multi-oscillatory systems and further to the creation / annihilation fields is completely straightforward:

$$|\text{coherent}\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\hat{F}^\dagger - \hat{F}) |0\rangle = e^{-\bar{N}/2} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} |0\rangle \quad (\text{S.55})$$

where

$$\hat{F}^\dagger = \xi \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha}^\dagger \rightarrow \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{S.56})$$

Similar to the single-oscillator theory,  $(\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x})) e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$ , hence

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle. \quad (\text{S.57})$$

Problem 3(g):

Using eq. (S.57) and its hermitian conjugate, we have

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_k) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}_1) \cdots \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}_\ell) | \Phi \rangle = \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_k) \Phi(\mathbf{y}_1) \cdots \Phi(\mathbf{y}_\ell) \quad (\text{S.58})$$

for any *normal-ordered* product of the quantum fields. Specifically, for the particle-number operator  $\hat{N}$  we have eq. (10), while for its square — whose normal-ordered form

$$\hat{N}^2 = \iint d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{y}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{S.59})$$

generalizes eq. (S.44) — we have

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{N}^2 | \Phi \rangle = \iint d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{y}) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\mathbf{y}) + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \Phi | \hat{N} | \Phi \rangle^2 + \langle \Phi | \hat{N} | \Phi \rangle, \quad (\text{S.60})$$

and hence  $\Delta N = \sqrt{\bar{N}}$ . *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(h):

First of all, if  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  satisfies the classical field equation — which looks exactly like a one-particle Schrödinger equation — then  $\bar{N}$  remains constant. (This is undergraduate-level QM.) Also, in the Schrödinger picture of the QFT,

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = \frac{d\hat{F}^\dagger}{dt} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = \left[ \int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} \quad (\text{S.61})$$

thanks to mutual commutativity of the creation fields. Consequently, exactly as in question (c),

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \left( |\Phi\rangle = e^{-\bar{N}/2} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} |0\rangle \right) &= \left[ \int d^3\mathbf{x} i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ \langle\langle \text{using the classical field equation for } \Phi \rangle\rangle & \\ &= \left[ \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left( \left( \frac{-\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ \langle\langle \text{using eq. (9)} \rangle\rangle & \\ &= \left[ \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left( \frac{-\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ &= \hat{H} |\Phi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.62})$$

*Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(i):

Generalizing (e) to multi-oscillatory systems is completely straightforward:

$$|\langle \eta | \xi \rangle|^2 = \prod_{\alpha} e^{-|\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}|^2} = \exp\left(-\sum_{\alpha} |\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}|^2\right)$$

or for the field theory,

$$|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_2 \rangle|^2 = \exp\left(-\int d^3\mathbf{x} |\Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi_2(\mathbf{x})|^2\right), \quad (\text{S.63})$$

which is exponentially small for any macroscopic  $\delta\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi_1(x) - \Phi_2(x)$ . Indeed, a *macroscopic* difference between two coherent states means (by definition) that  $\delta\Phi$  affects a large number of particles,  $\int |\delta\Phi|^2 \gg 1$ , which makes for an *exponentially* tiny overlap (S.63).