

1. First, an exercise in bosonic commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (1)$$

- (a) Calculate the commutators  $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger]$ ,  $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta]$ ,  $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta]$ , and  $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta, \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu]$ .
- (b) For a single pair of  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  operators, show that for any analytic function  $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots$ ,

$$[\hat{a}, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] = +f'(\hat{a}^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}^\dagger, f(\hat{a})] = -f'(\hat{a}) \quad (2)$$

where  $f(\hat{a}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_0 + f_1 \hat{a} + f_2 (\hat{a})^2 + \dots$  and likewise  $f(\hat{a}^\dagger) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_0 + f_1 \hat{a}^\dagger + f_2 (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2 + \dots$ .

- (c) Show that  $e^{c\hat{a}} \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-c\hat{a}} = \hat{a}^\dagger + c$ ,  $e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = \hat{a} - c$ , and hence for any analytic function  $f$ ,

$$e^{c\hat{a}} f(\hat{a}^\dagger) e^{-c\hat{a}} = f(\hat{a}^\dagger + c) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} f(\hat{a}) e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = f(\hat{a} - c). \quad (3)$$

- (d) Now generalize (b) and (c) to any set of creation and annihilation operators  $\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger$  and  $\hat{a}_\alpha$ . Show that for any analytic function  $f$  (multiple  $\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger$ ) of creation operators but not of the annihilation operators or a function  $f$  (multiple  $\hat{a}_\alpha$ ) of the annihilation operators but not of the creation operators,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_\alpha, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] &= +\frac{\partial f(\hat{a}^\dagger)}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger}, & [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, f(\hat{a})] &= -\frac{\partial f(\hat{a})}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha}, \\ \exp\left(\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha\right) f(\hat{a}^\dagger) \exp\left(-\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha\right) &= f(\text{each } \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger + c_\alpha), & (4) \\ \exp\left(\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\right) f(\hat{a}) \exp\left(-\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\right) &= f(\text{each } \hat{a}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{a}_\alpha - c_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

2. An operator acting on identical bosons can be described in terms of  $N$ -particle wave functions (the *first-quantized* formalism) or in terms of creation and annihilation operators in the Fock space (the *second-quantized* formalism). This exercise is about converting the operators from one formalism to another.

The keys to this conversion are single-particle wave functions  $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  of states  $|\alpha\rangle$  and *symmetrized*  $N$ -particle states wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha\beta\dots\omega}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{\substack{\text{distinct permutations} \\ \text{of } (\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega) \\ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \dots, \tilde{\omega})}} \phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \times \phi_{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \times \dots \times \phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ &= \frac{1}{T\sqrt{D}} \sum_{\substack{\text{all permutations} \\ \text{of } (\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega) \\ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \dots, \tilde{\omega})}} \phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \times \phi_{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \times \dots \times \phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

of  $N$ -boson states  $|\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega\rangle$ . In eqs. (5),  $D$  is the number of *distinct* permutations of single-particle states  $(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega)$  and  $T$  is the number of trivial permutations. (A trivial permutation permutes states that happen to be the same, a distinct permutation permutes different states only.) In terms of the occupation numbers  $n_\gamma$ ,

$$T = \prod_{\gamma} n_\gamma!, \quad D = \frac{N!}{T}. \quad (6)$$

- (a) Consider a generic  $N$ -particle quantum state  $|N; \psi\rangle$  with a totally symmetric wave-function  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ . Show that the  $(N+1)$ -particle state  $|N+1, \psi'\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger |N; \psi\rangle$  has wave function

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}). \quad (7)$$

Hint: First prove this for wave-functions of the form (5). Then use the fact that states  $|\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle$  form a complete basis of the  $N$ -boson Hilbert space.

(b) Show that the  $(N - 1)$ -particle state  $|N - 1, \psi''\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha |N; \psi\rangle$  has wave-function

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N). \quad (8)$$

Hint: the  $\hat{a}_\alpha$  is the hermitian conjugate of the  $\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger$ , so for any  $|N - 1, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$ ,  
 $\langle N - 1, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \psi\rangle = \langle N, \psi | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger |N - 1, \tilde{\psi}\rangle^*$ .

Next, consider one-body operators, *i.e.* additive operators acting on one particle at a time. In the first-quantized formalism they act on  $N$ -particle states according to

$$\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{A}_1(i^{\text{th}} \text{ particle}) \quad (9)$$

where  $\hat{A}_1$  is some kind of a one-particle operator (such as momentum  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ , or kinetic energy  $\frac{1}{2m}\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2$ , or potential  $V(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ , *etc.*, *etc.*). In the second-quantized formalism such operators become

$$\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta. \quad (10)$$

(c) Verify that the two operators have the same matrix elements between any two  $N$ -boson states  $|N, \psi\rangle$  and  $|N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$ ,  $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} |N, \psi\rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} |N, \psi\rangle$ .

Hint: use  $\hat{A}_1 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta\rangle \langle \beta|$ .

(d) Now let  $\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ ,  $\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ , and  $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$  be three second-quantized net one-body operators corresponding to the single-particle operators  $\hat{A}_1$ ,  $\hat{B}_1$ , and  $\hat{C}_1$ . Show that if  $\hat{C}_1 = [\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1]$  then  $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = [\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}]$ .

Finally, consider two-body operators, *i.e.* additive operators acting on two particles at a time. Given a two-particle operator  $\hat{B}_2$  — such as  $V(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2)$  — the *net B* operator acts in the first-quantized formalism according to

$$\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{B}_2(i^{\text{th}} \text{ and } j^{\text{th}} \text{ particles}), \quad (11)$$

and in the second-quantized formalism according to

$$\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} (\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |) \hat{B}_2(|\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle) \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta. \quad (12)$$

(e) Again, show these two operators have the same matrix elements between any two  $N$ -boson states,  $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle$  for any  $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} |$  and  $| N, \psi \rangle$ .

(f) Now let  $\hat{A}_1$  be a one-particle operator, let  $\hat{B}_2$  and  $\hat{C}_2$  be two-body operators, and let  $\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ ,  $\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ , and  $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$  be the corresponding second-quantized operators according to eqs. (10) and (12).

Show that if  $\hat{C}_2 = \left[ \left( \hat{A}_1(1^{\text{st}}) + \hat{A}_1(2^{\text{nd}}) \right), \hat{B}_2 \right]$  then  $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \left[ \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} \right]$ .

3. The rest of this homework is about coherent states of harmonic oscillators and free quantum fields. Let us start with a harmonic oscillator with Hamiltonian  $\hat{H} = \hbar\omega\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$ .

(a) For any complex number  $\xi$  we define a *coherent state*  $|\xi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\xi\hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^*\hat{a})|0\rangle$ . Show that

$$|\xi\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi\hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}|\xi\rangle = \xi|\xi\rangle. \quad (13)$$

(b) Use  $\hat{a}|\xi\rangle = \xi|\xi\rangle$  to show that the (coordinate-space) wave function of a coherent state  $|\xi\rangle$  is a Gaussian wave packet of the same width as the ground state  $|0\rangle$ . Also, show that the central position  $\bar{x}$  and the central momentum  $\bar{p}$  of this packet are related to the real and the imaginary parts of  $\xi$ ,

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{\omega m}} \times \text{Re} \xi, \quad \bar{p} = \sqrt{2\hbar\omega m} \times \text{Im} \xi, \quad \xi = \frac{m\omega\bar{x} + i\bar{p}}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}}. \quad (14)$$

(c) Use  $\hat{a}|\xi\rangle = \xi|\xi\rangle$  and  $\langle\xi|\hat{a}^\dagger = \xi^*\langle\xi|$  to calculate the uncertainties  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta p$  in a coherent state and verify their minimality:  $\Delta x\Delta p = \frac{1}{2}\hbar$ . Also, verify  $\delta n = \sqrt{\bar{n}}$  where  $\bar{n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle\hat{n}\rangle = |\xi|^2$ .

The coherent states are not stationary, they evolve with time but stay coherent — a coherent state  $|\xi_0\rangle$  at time  $t = 0$  becomes  $|\xi(t)\rangle$  at later times — while the central position  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{p}$  of the wave packet move according to the classical equations of motion for the harmonic oscillator.

(d) Check that such classical motion calls for  $\xi(t) = \xi_0 \times e^{-i\omega t}$ , then check that the corresponding coherent state  $|\xi(t)\rangle$  obeys the time-dependent Schrödinger equation  $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\xi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\xi(t)\rangle$ .

(e) The coherent states are not quite orthogonal to each other.

Calculate their probability overlaps  $|\langle \eta | \xi \rangle|^2$ .

Now consider the coherent states of multi-oscillator systems such as quantum fields. In particular, let us focus on the creation and annihilation fields  $\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})$  for non-relativistic spinless bosons.

(f) Generalize (a) and construct coherent states  $|\Phi\rangle$  which satisfy

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle \quad (15)$$

for any given classical complex field  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ .

(g) Show that for any such coherent state,  $\Delta N = \sqrt{\bar{N}}$  where

$$\bar{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \Phi | \hat{N} | \Phi \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} |\Phi(\mathbf{x})|^2. \quad (16)$$

(h) Let the Hamiltonian for the quantum non-relativistic fields be

$$\hat{H} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) + V(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \right). \quad (17)$$

Show that for any classical field configuration  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  obeying the classical field equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (18)$$

the time-dependent coherent state  $|\Phi\rangle(t)$  satisfies the true Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\Phi\rangle = \hat{H} |\Phi\rangle. \quad (19)$$

(i) Finally, show that the quantum overlap  $|\langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_2 \rangle|^2$  between two different coherent states is exponentially small for any *macroscopic* difference  $\delta\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi_2(\mathbf{x})$  between the two field configurations.