

Problem 1(a):

All the commutators in this question follow from the bosonic commutation relations (5.1) via the Leibniz rule:

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] \hat{a}_\beta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] = 0 + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \delta_{\beta,\gamma} = \delta_{\beta,\gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \quad (\text{S.1})$$

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\delta] \hat{a}_\beta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] = -\delta_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\beta + 0 = -\delta_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\beta, \quad (\text{S.2})$$

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta] = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger] \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta] = \delta_{\beta,\gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\alpha,\delta} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \quad (\text{S.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta] &= [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger] \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \\ &\quad + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\gamma] \hat{a}_\delta + \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\delta] \\ &= \delta_{\nu\alpha} \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta + \delta_{\nu\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\mu\gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\mu\delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.4})$$

Problem 1(a):

First, let's prove by induction that for integer $n \geq 0$, $[\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n] = n \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1}$. The induction base is easy to check: For $n = 0$ we have $[\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^0] = [\hat{a}, 1] = 0 = 0 \times \text{whatever}$, while for $n = 1$ we have $[\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^1] = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1 = 1 \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^0$. Now suppose $[\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n] = n(\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1}$ for some n ; then for $n + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n+1}] &= [\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \times \hat{a}^\dagger] = [\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n] \times \hat{a}^\dagger + (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \times [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] \\ &= n(\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1} \times \hat{a}^\dagger + (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n \times 1 = (n+1) \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.5})$$

Similarly, for any integer $n \geq 0$, $[\hat{a}^\dagger, (\hat{a})^n] = -n(\hat{a})^{n-1}$; again, the proof is by induction, which is so similar to the above that I don't need to spell it out.

Next, consider an analytic function f of the creation operator. Analytic functions can be expanded into power series, $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots$; substituting $x \mapsto \hat{a}^\dagger$ into such series for f , we build the operator

$$f(\hat{a}^\dagger) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n = f_0 + f_1 \times \hat{a}^\dagger + f_2 \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2 + \dots \quad (\text{S.6})$$

Likewise, for $f'(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} df/dx = 0 + f_1 + 2f_2x + 3f_3x^2 + \dots$ we have

$$f'(\hat{a}^\dagger) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f_n \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1}. \quad (\text{S.7})$$

Consequently,

$$[\hat{a}, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \times [\hat{a}, (\hat{a}^\dagger)^n] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \times n \times (\hat{a}^\dagger)^{n-1} = f'(\hat{a}^\dagger). \quad (\text{S.8})$$

Similarly, for an analytic function of the annihilation operator, $f(\hat{a}) = f_0 + f_1 \times \hat{a} + f_2 \times (\hat{a})^2 + \dots$, we have

$$[\hat{a}^\dagger, f(\hat{a})] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \times [\hat{a}^\dagger, (\hat{a})^n] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \times (-n) \times (\hat{a})^{n-1} = -f'(\hat{a}). \quad (\text{S.9})$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 1(c):

In light of part (b), $[\hat{a}, \exp(c\hat{a}^\dagger)] = \exp'(c\hat{a}^\dagger) = c \exp(c\hat{a}^\dagger)$ and $[\hat{a}^\dagger, \exp(c\hat{a})] = -\exp'(c\hat{a}) = -c \exp(c\hat{a})$. Consequently,

$$e^{c\hat{a}} \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-c\hat{a}} = \left(\hat{a}^\dagger e^{c\hat{a}} - [\hat{a}^\dagger, e^{c\hat{a}}] \right) e^{-c\hat{a}} = \left(\hat{a}^\dagger e^{c\hat{a}} - (-c) e^{c\hat{a}} \right) e^{-c\hat{a}} = \hat{a}^\dagger + c \quad (\text{S.10})$$

and likewise

$$e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = \left(\hat{a} e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} - [\hat{a}, e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger}] \right) e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = \left(\hat{a} e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} - (+c) e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} \right) e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = \hat{a} - c. \quad (\text{S.11})$$

Now, for any two operators \hat{X} and \hat{Y} ,

$$\left(e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y} e^{-\hat{X}} \right)^n = e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y} e^{-\hat{Y}} \times e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y} e^{-\hat{Y}} \times \dots \times e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y} e^{-\hat{Y}} = e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y} \times \hat{Y} \times \dots \times \hat{Y} e^{-\hat{X}} = e^{\hat{X}} \hat{Y}^n e^{-\hat{X}}. \quad (\text{S.12})$$

Consequently, for any analytic function $f(\hat{Y}) = f_0 + f_1\hat{Y} + f_2\hat{Y}^2 + \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(e^{\hat{X}}\hat{Y}e^{-\hat{X}}\right) &= \sum_n f_n\left(e^{\hat{X}}\hat{Y}e^{-\hat{X}}\right)^n = \sum_n f_n \times e^{\hat{X}}\hat{Y}^n e^{-\hat{X}} \\ &= e^{\hat{X}}\left(\sum_n f_n\hat{Y}^n\right)e^{-\hat{X}} = e^{\hat{X}}f(\hat{Y})e^{-\hat{X}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.13})$$

In particular, for $\hat{X} = c\hat{a}$ and $\hat{Y} = \hat{a}^\dagger$,

$$e^{c\hat{a}}f(\hat{a}^\dagger)e^{-c\hat{a}} = f\left(e^{c\hat{a}}\hat{a}^\dagger e^{-c\hat{a}}\right) = f(\hat{a}^\dagger + c), \quad (\text{S.14})$$

and likewise, for $\hat{X} = c\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{Y} = \hat{a}$,

$$e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger}f(\hat{a})e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = f\left(e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger}\hat{a}e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger}\right) = f(\hat{a} - c). \quad (\text{S.15})$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 1(d):

Since all the creation operators commute with each other, we may decompose any analytic function of multiple creation operators into a power series with respect to any particular \hat{a}_α^\dagger as

$$f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}^\dagger) = \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger) \times (\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger)^n \quad (\text{S.16})$$

where F_n are some analytic functions of the *other* creation operators $\hat{a}_{\beta \neq \alpha}^\dagger$. The same F_n appear in the partial derivative of $f(\hat{a}^\dagger)$ with respect to the \hat{a}_α^\dagger ,

$$\frac{\partial f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}^\dagger)}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger} = \sum_n n \times F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger) \times (\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger)^{n-1}. \quad (\text{S.17})$$

Note that the creation operators \hat{a}_β^\dagger with $\beta \neq \alpha$ commute with the \hat{a}_α annihilation operator,

hence any function of such $\hat{a}_{\beta \neq \alpha}^\dagger$ also commutes with the \hat{a}_α ,

$$\left[\hat{a}_\alpha, F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger) \right] = 0, \quad (\text{S.18})$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{a}_\alpha, f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}^\dagger) \right] &= \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger) \times \left[\hat{a}_\alpha, (\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger)^n \right] \\ &= \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger) \times n(\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger)^{n-1} = \frac{\partial f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}^\dagger)}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.19})$$

This proves the first equation (4.4).

Similarly, any analytic function of multiple annihilation operators \hat{a}_β — which also commute with each other — may be decomposed into a power series in any particular \hat{a}_α as

$$f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}) = \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta) \times (\hat{a}_\alpha)^n \quad (\text{S.20})$$

where the F_n are analytic functions of the remaining annihilation operators $\hat{a}_{\beta \neq \alpha}$ but not of the \hat{a}_α itself. Consequently, as operators all the $F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta)$ commute with the \hat{a}_α^\dagger and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, f(\text{multiple } \hat{a}) \right] &= \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta) \times \left[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, (\hat{a}_\alpha)^n \right] \\ &= \sum_n F_n(\text{other } \hat{a}_\beta) \times -n(\hat{a}_\alpha)^{n-1} = -\frac{\partial f(\text{multiple } \hat{a})}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.21})$$

This proves the second equation (4.4).

Now let's proceed similarly to part (c). Applying the first two eqs. (4.4) to $f(\text{multiple } x) = \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta x_\beta\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{a}_\alpha, \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger\right) \right] &= +\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger} \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger\right) = +c_\alpha \times \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger\right), \\ \left[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta\right) \right] &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha} \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta\right) = -c_\alpha \times \exp\left(\sum_\beta c_\beta \hat{a}_\beta\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.22})$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(\sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\beta}\right) \times \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \times \exp\left(-\sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\beta}\right) &= \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} + c_{\alpha}, \\ \exp\left(\sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right) \times \hat{a}_{\alpha} \times \exp\left(-\sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} \hat{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right) &= \hat{a}_{\alpha} - c_{\alpha}.\end{aligned}\tag{S.23}$$

Finally, applying eq. (S.13) to the these formulae, we obtain the last two eqs. (4.4) for any analytic function f . *Q.E.D.*

Problem 2(a):

First, let's verify eq. (5.7) for a state $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$, with wave-function

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{T\sqrt{D}} \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N)\tag{S.24}$$

where $(\)$ surrounding the indices $(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N)$ denote total symmetrization, *i.e.* summing over all $N!$ permutations, T is the number of trivial permutations (of indices which happen to coincide), and D is the number of distinct permutations (of indices which do not coincide). For this state,

$$\hat{a}_{\alpha} |\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle = \sqrt{n_{\alpha} + 1} |\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N, \alpha\rangle,\tag{S.25}$$

which has wave-function

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{\sqrt{n_{\alpha} + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}).\tag{S.26}$$

Here total symmetrization on the RHS means summing over all the $(N + 1)!$ permutations of indices $(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N \alpha)$. Let's group these permutations in $N + 1$ blocks of $N!$, namely first permute the γ 's among themselves, and then put α anywhere in that list,

$$\phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \phi_{(\gamma_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \cancel{\phi_{(\gamma_i)}} \cdots \phi_{(\gamma_N)}(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}).\tag{S.27}$$

But the symmetrization over γ 's here is exactly as in eq. (S.24), except for the relevant coordinates being $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1})$ instead of $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$. Therefore,

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{\sqrt{n_{\alpha} + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times T\sqrt{D} \times \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}),\tag{S.28}$$

exactly as in eq. (5.7), except maybe the overall coefficient. To check this coefficient, we use

eqs. (5.6). Given occupation numbers n_β of the original state $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$, the new state $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N, \alpha\rangle$ has $n'_\beta = n_\beta + \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T'}{T} &= \prod_{\beta} n'_\beta! / \prod_{\beta} n_\beta! = \frac{(n_\alpha + 1)!}{n_\alpha!} = n_\alpha + 1, \\ \frac{T'\sqrt{D'}}{T\sqrt{D}} &= \sqrt{\frac{T' \times (N+1)!}{T \times N!}} = \sqrt{(n_\alpha + 1)(N+1)}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{n_\alpha + 1}}{T'\sqrt{D'}} \times T\sqrt{D} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.29})$$

Thus, the coefficient in eq. (S.28) is also exactly as in eq. (5.7).

At this point, we have proved eq. (5.7) for states $|N, \Psi\rangle$ that happen to be $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$ for some $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$. To prove it for all N -boson states $|N, \psi\rangle$ we now use *linearity*: the operator \hat{a}_α^\dagger is linear, and eq. (5.7) is manifestly linear with respect to ψ and ψ' , so if it holds for any set of states, it also holds for all their linear combinations. But states $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\rangle$ make up a complete basis of the N -boson Hilbert space, so any $|N, \psi\rangle$ is a linear combination of such states. Therefore, eq. (5.7) must hold for any N -boson wave function $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 2(b):

The operator \hat{a}_α is the hermitian conjugate of the operator \hat{a}_α^\dagger , so for any two states $|N, \psi\rangle$ and $\langle N-1, \tilde{\psi}|$,

$$\langle N-1, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \psi | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger | N-1, \tilde{\psi} \rangle^*. \quad (\text{S.30})$$

In wave-function terms, this means

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) &= \\ &= \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times [\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)]^* \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.31})$$

where $\tilde{\psi}'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ is the wave function of the state $|N, \tilde{\psi}'\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger |N-1, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$. Applying

eq. (5.7) of part (a) to this wave-function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\
& \quad \langle\langle \text{by permutational symmetry} \rangle\rangle \\
& = \frac{N}{\sqrt{N}} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \\
& = \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.32}$$

This formula holds true for any totally symmetric wave-function $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1})$, and this is possible only when

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N), \tag{5.8}$$

or rather when the totally symmetric part of the left hand side here equals to the totally symmetric part of the right hand side. But for bosonic wave functions ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ both sides must be already totally symmetric in $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1})$ as they are, so eq. (5.8) must apply exactly as written. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 2(c):

Let $A_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle$. Since states $|\alpha\rangle$ make a complete basis of the 1-particle Hilbert space, for any 1-particle states $\langle \tilde{\psi} |$ and $|\psi\rangle$

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_1 | \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \langle \tilde{\psi} | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{\psi}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \times \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}). \tag{S.33}$$

This is undergraduate-level QM.

In the N -particle Hilbert space we have a similar formula for the matrix elements of the \hat{A}_1 acting on particle $\#i$, the only modification being integrals over the coordinates of the other

particles,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_1(i^{\text{th}}) | N, \psi \rangle &= \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_i} \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \left(\int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int d^3 \mathbf{x}_i \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \\
&\quad \times \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.34}$$

For symmetric wave-functions of identical bosons, we get the same matrix element regardless of which particle $\#i$ we are acting on with the operator \hat{A}_1 , hence for the *net* A operator (5.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle &= N \times \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \\
&\quad \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\
&\quad \times \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.35}$$

Now consider matrix elements of the Fock-space operator (5.10). According to eq. (5.8) of part (b), the state $|N-1, \psi''\rangle = \hat{a}_\beta |N, \psi\rangle$ has wave-function

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N). \tag{S.36}$$

Likewise, according to eq. (5.7) of part (a), the state $|N-1, \tilde{\psi}''\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$ has wave-function

$$\tilde{\psi}''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N). \tag{S.37}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta | N, \psi \rangle &= \langle N-1, \tilde{\psi}'' | | N-1, \psi'' \rangle \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \tilde{\psi}''^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \times \psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \\
&= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \times \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.38}$$

Comparing this formula to the integrals in eq. (S.35), we see that

$$\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle. \tag{S.39}$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 2(d):

This part follows from the second commutator in problem 1(a). Indeed, Given

$$\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \tag{S.40}$$

and

$$\hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta, \tag{S.41}$$

we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} \right] &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta] \\
&\quad \langle\langle \text{using (S.3)} \rangle\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle \left(\delta_{\beta, \gamma} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta - \delta_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_{\beta=\gamma} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \gamma \rangle \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle - \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \sum_{\alpha=\delta} \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 | \delta \rangle - \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \langle \gamma | \hat{B}_1 \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \\
&\quad \langle\langle \text{renaming summation indices} \rangle\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \left(\langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 | \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha | \hat{B}_1 \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta \times \langle \alpha | \left([\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1] = \hat{C}_1 \right) | \beta \rangle \equiv \hat{C}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.42}$$

Problem 2(e):

This works similarly to part (c), except for more integrals 😊. Let

$$B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = (\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |) \hat{B}_2 (|\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle) \tag{S.43}$$

be matrix elements of a two-body operator \hat{B}_2 between *un-symmetrized* two-particle states. Then for generic two-particle states $\langle \tilde{\psi} |$ and $|\psi\rangle$ — symmetric or not — we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2 | \psi \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \langle \tilde{\psi} | (|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle) \times (\langle \gamma | \otimes \langle \delta |) | \psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1 d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2 \tilde{\psi}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.44}$$

Similarly, in the Hilbert space of $N > 2$ particles — identical bosons or not — the operator \hat{B}_2

acting on particles $\#i$ and $\#j$ has matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2(i^{\text{th}}, j^{\text{th}}) | N, \psi \rangle &= \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_i} \cdots \cancel{d^3 \mathbf{x}_j} \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \\
&\quad \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_i d^3 \mathbf{x}_j \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_i) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_j) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)
\end{aligned} \tag{S.45}$$

For identical bosons — and hence totally symmetric wave-functions ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ — such matrix elements do not depend on the choice of particles on which \hat{B}_2 acts, so we may just as well let $i = N - 1$ and $j = N$. Consequently, the *net* \hat{B} operator (5.11) has matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle &= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_2(N-1, N) | N, \psi \rangle \\
&= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} B_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \times I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{S.46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} &= \int \cdots \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-2} \iint d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1} d^3 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N \tilde{\psi}^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \phi_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}) \phi_\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\
&\quad \times \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N)
\end{aligned}$$

Now let's compare this to the Fock space formalism. Applying eq. (5.8) of part (b) *twice*, we find that the $(N - 2)$ -particle state

$$|N - 2, \psi'''\rangle = \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma |N, \psi\rangle \tag{S.47}$$

has wave function

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) &= \sqrt{N(N-1)} \iint d^3 \mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_{N-1}) \phi_\delta^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.48}$$

Likewise, applying eq. (5.7) of part (a) *twice*, we find that the $(N - 2)$ -particle state

$$|N - 2, \tilde{\psi}'''\rangle = \hat{a}_\beta \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle \quad (\text{S.49})$$

has wave function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) &= \sqrt{N(N-1)} \iint d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-1} d^3\mathbf{x}_N \phi_\beta^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}) \phi_\alpha^*(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N) \\ &\quad \times \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.50})$$

Taking Dirac product of these two states, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma | N, \psi \rangle &= \langle N - 2, \tilde{\psi}''' | | N - 2, \psi''' \rangle \\ &= \int \dots \int d^3\mathbf{x}_1 \dots d^3\mathbf{x}_{N-2} \tilde{\psi}'''^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) \times \psi'''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-2}) \\ &= N(N-1) \times I_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.51})$$

where $I_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}$ is exactly the same mega-integral as in eq. (S.46). Therefore,

$$\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} B_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta} \times \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta \hat{a}_\gamma | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle \quad (\text{S.52})$$

where the second equality follows from eq. (5.12). *Q.E.D.*

Problem 2(f):

In the Fock space,

$$\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\mu\nu} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \quad (\text{5.10})$$

and

$$\hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta, \quad (\text{5.12})$$

where $\langle \alpha \otimes \beta |$ is a short-hand for the un-symmetrized two-particle wave function ($\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |$)

and likewise $|\gamma \otimes \delta\rangle = (|\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle [\hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta] \\
&\quad \langle\langle \text{using eq. (S.4)} \rangle\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_\nu \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \nu \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \mu, \gamma, \delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_\nu \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \nu | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu \hat{a}_\delta \times \sum_\mu \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \mu \otimes \delta \rangle \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \nu} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\nu \times \sum_\mu \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \mu \rangle \langle \mu | \hat{A}_1 | \nu \rangle \\
&\quad \langle\langle \text{renaming summation indices} \rangle\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta \times C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{S.53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} &= \sum_\lambda \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle + \sum_\lambda \langle \beta | \hat{A}_1 | \lambda \rangle \langle \alpha \otimes \lambda | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\
&\quad - \sum_\lambda \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \lambda \otimes \delta \rangle \langle \lambda | \hat{A}_1 | \gamma \rangle - \sum_\lambda \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{B}_2 | \gamma \otimes \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \hat{A}_1 | \delta \rangle \\
&= \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \left(\hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} \hat{B}_2 + \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \hat{B}_2 - \hat{B}_2 \hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} - \hat{B}_2 \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \right) | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \\
&= \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \left[\left(\hat{A}_1^{(1^{\text{st}})} + \hat{A}_1^{(2^{\text{nd}})} \right), \hat{B}_2 \right] | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha \otimes \beta | \hat{C}_2 | \gamma \otimes \delta \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.54}$$

Consequently, $[\hat{A}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}] = \hat{C}_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}$. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(a):

To simplify the $\exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^* \hat{a})$ in the definition of a coherent state $|\xi\rangle$, we use the product-of-exponentials formula

$$\forall \hat{A}, \hat{B} : e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} = \exp \left(\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{12} [(\hat{A} - \hat{B}), [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + \dots \right). \tag{S.55}$$

In particular, for $\hat{A} = \xi \hat{a}^\dagger$, $\hat{B} = -\xi^* \hat{a}$ and $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \xi \xi^*$ being a c-number, all the multiple

commutators vanish and

$$e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\xi^* \hat{a}} = \exp\left(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^* \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \xi \xi^*\right), \quad \text{exactly.} \quad (\text{S.56})$$

Consequently

$$|\xi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^* \hat{a}} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\xi^* \hat{a}} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad (\text{S.57})$$

where the last equality follows from $\hat{a} |0\rangle = 0$ and hence $\exp(-\xi^* \hat{a}) |0\rangle = |0\rangle$.

Next, we saw in problem 1(c) that $\hat{a} - \xi = e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} e^{-\xi \hat{a}^\dagger}$. Consequently,

$$(\hat{a} - \xi) |\xi\rangle = e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} e^{-\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} \times e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} \times \hat{a} |0\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{S.58})$$

where the last equality follows from $\hat{a} |0\rangle = 0$, and hence $\hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \xi |\xi\rangle$.

BTW, the coherent states are often *defined* by the condition $\hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \xi |\xi\rangle$. We may formally prove the existence of such a coherent state for any complex number ξ by noting that the operators $\hat{a}' = \hat{a} - \xi$ and $\hat{a}'^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger - \xi^*$ satisfy the same commutation relation $[\hat{a}', \hat{a}'^\dagger] = 1$ as the \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger operators. Consequently, the same formal argument that proves the existence of the ground state $|0\rangle$ annihilated by the \hat{a} operator also prove the existence of the state $|\xi\rangle$ annihilated by the $\hat{a}' = \hat{a} - \xi$. Alas, the formal proof of existence does not tell us what that state looks like, so the explicit construction used in this problem provides the missing description.

Problem 3(b):

In the coordinate basis, the annihilation operator \hat{a} acts as

$$\hat{a} = \frac{\omega m \hat{x} + i \hat{p}}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} = \frac{\omega m \hat{x} + \hbar \partial_x}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} \quad (\text{S.59})$$

and the condition $\hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \xi |\xi\rangle$ becomes a first-order differential equation

$$\left(\hbar \frac{d}{dx} + \omega m \times x - \sqrt{2\hbar\omega m} \times \xi \right) \psi_\xi(x) = 0 \quad (\text{S.60})$$

for the wave-function $\psi_\xi(x)$ of the coherent state. This equation has a unique solution (up to

an overall normalization), namely

$$\psi_\xi(x) = \text{const} \times \exp\left(\xi\sqrt{\frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}} \times x - \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \times x^2\right), \quad (\text{S.61})$$

or equivalently,

$$\psi_\xi(x) = \text{const} \times e^{i\bar{p}x/\hbar} \times e^{-m\omega(x-\bar{x})^2/2\hbar}, \quad (\text{S.62})$$

a Gaussian wave-packet with

$$\bar{x} = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{\omega m}} \times \text{Re} \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} = \sqrt{2\hbar\omega m} \times \text{Im} \xi. \quad (\text{S.63})$$

Note that the width of the wave packet (S.62) does not depend on ξ , so all coherent states have the same Δx . In particular, since $|\xi = 0\rangle$ is the oscillator's ground state, all coherent states have the same width as the ground state.

Problem 3(c):

For any *normal-ordered* product of creation and annihilation operators — *i.e.*, a product in which all creation operators are to the right of all annihilation operators — one has

$$\langle \xi | (\hat{a}^\dagger)^k (\hat{a})^\ell | \xi \rangle = (\xi^*)^k \xi^\ell, \quad (\text{S.64})$$

simply because $\hat{a} |\xi\rangle = \xi |\xi\rangle \implies (\hat{a})^\ell |\xi\rangle = \xi^\ell |\xi\rangle$ and $\langle \xi | \hat{a}^\dagger = \xi^* \langle \xi | \implies \langle \xi | (\hat{a}^\dagger)^k = (\xi^*)^k \langle \xi |$. In particular, $\langle \xi | (\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) | \xi \rangle = \xi^* \xi$. On the other hand,

$$\hat{n}^2 = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \implies \langle \xi | \hat{n}^2 | \xi \rangle = (\xi^*)^2 \xi^2 + \xi^* \xi = \bar{n}^2 + \bar{n} \quad (\text{S.65})$$

hence $\Delta n = \sqrt{\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \bar{n}^2} = \sqrt{\bar{n}}$.

In a similar manner,

$$\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger), \quad \hat{x}^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left((\hat{a})^2 + (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2 + 2\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 1 \right), \quad (\text{S.66})$$

hence

$$\langle \xi | \hat{x}^2 | \xi \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} ((\xi + \xi^*)^2 + 1) = \langle \xi | \hat{x} | \xi \rangle^2 + \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}. \quad (\text{S.67})$$

Likewise,

$$\langle \xi | \hat{p}^2 | \xi \rangle = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} ((-i\xi + i\xi^*)^2 + 1) = \langle \xi | \hat{p} | \xi \rangle^2 + \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}.$$

Altogether, this gives us for any coherent state

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}, \quad \Delta p = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}, \quad \Delta x \times \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (\text{S.68})$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 3(d):

In a classical harmonic oscillator, the position $\bar{x}(t)$ and the momentum $\bar{p}(t)$ oscillate as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t) &= \bar{x}(0) \times \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\bar{p}(0)}{m\omega} \times \sin(\omega t), \\ \bar{p}(t) &= \bar{p}(0) \times \cos(\omega t) - m\omega \bar{x}(0) \times \sin(\omega t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.69})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &= \frac{m\omega\bar{x}(t) + i\bar{p}(t)}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} = \frac{m\omega\bar{x}(0) + i\bar{p}(0)}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} \times \cos(\omega t) + \frac{-im\omega\bar{x}(0) + \bar{p}(0)}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} \times \sin(\omega t) \\ &= \frac{m\omega\bar{x}(0) + i\bar{p}(0)}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega m}} \times e^{-i\omega t} = \xi(0) \times e^{-i\omega t}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.70})$$

Now consider the quantum state $|\xi(t)\rangle$ for the classically oscillating $\xi(t) = \xi_0 \times e^{-i\omega t}$. In light of eq. (5.13),

$$|\xi(t)\rangle = e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{\xi(t)\hat{a}^\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad (\text{S.71})$$

and only the second factor here depends on time. Indeed, $|\xi(t)|^2 = |\xi_0|^2 = \text{const} \implies e^{-|\xi|^2/2} = \text{const}$, while $|0\rangle$ is time-independent because we work in the Schrödinger picture. In this picture,

the \hat{a}^\dagger operator is also time independent, hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} = \frac{d\xi}{dt} \hat{a}^\dagger \times e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} = -i\omega \xi \hat{a}^\dagger \times e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger}, \quad (\text{S.72})$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\xi\rangle = -i\omega \xi \hat{a}^\dagger |\xi\rangle = -i\omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |\xi\rangle \quad (\text{S.73})$$

where the second equality follows from $\xi |\xi\rangle = \hat{a} |\xi\rangle$. Consequently,

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\xi(t)\rangle = \hbar\omega \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |\xi(t)\rangle \equiv \hat{H} |\xi(t)\rangle \quad (\text{S.74})$$

— the time-dependent coherent state $|\xi(t)\rangle$ obeys the Schrödinger equation. $\mathcal{Q.E.D.}$

Problem 3(e):

In problem 1(c) we saw that $e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} f(\hat{a}) = f(\hat{a} - \xi) e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger}$ for any function $f(\hat{a})$ of the annihilation operator, and in particular

$$\exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) \times \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) = \exp(\eta^*(\hat{a} - \xi)) \times \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) = \exp(-\eta^* \xi) \times \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) \times \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger). \quad (\text{S.75})$$

Consequently, the quantum overlap of the coherent states $|\xi\rangle$ and $\langle\eta|$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\eta|\xi\rangle &= e^{-|\eta|^2/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} \times \langle 0 | \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) | 0 \rangle \\ &= e^{-|\eta|^2/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} e^{+\eta^* \xi} \langle 0 | \exp(\xi \hat{a}^\dagger) \exp(\eta^* \hat{a}) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\eta|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^2 + \eta^* \xi\right) \times 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.76})$$

because $e^{\eta^* \hat{a}} |0\rangle = |0\rangle$, $\langle 0 | e^{\xi \hat{a}^\dagger} = \langle 0 |$, and $\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$. In terms of the probability overlap,

$$|\langle\eta|\xi\rangle|^2 = \exp(-|\eta - \xi|^2). \quad (\text{S.77})$$

Problem 3(f):

Generalization of coherent states to multi-oscillatory systems and further to the creation / annihilation fields is completely straightforward:

$$|\text{coherent}\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\hat{F}^\dagger - \hat{F}) |0\rangle = e^{-\bar{N}/2} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} |0\rangle \quad (\text{S.78})$$

where

$$\hat{F}^\dagger = \xi \hat{a}^\dagger \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha}^\dagger \rightarrow \int d^3\mathbf{x} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{S.79})$$

Similar to the single-oscillator theory, $(\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}))e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$, hence

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi\rangle. \quad (\text{S.80})$$

Problem 3(g):

Using eq. (S.80) and its hermitian conjugate, we have

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_k) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}_1) \cdots \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}_\ell) | \Phi \rangle = \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_k) \Phi(\mathbf{y}_1) \cdots \Phi(\mathbf{y}_\ell) \quad (\text{S.81})$$

for any *normal-ordered* product of the quantum fields. Specifically, for the particle-number operator \hat{N} we have eq. (5.16), while for its square — whose normal-ordered form

$$\hat{N}^2 = \iint d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{y}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{S.82})$$

generalizes eq. (S.65) — we have

$$\langle \Phi | \hat{N}^2 | \Phi \rangle = \iint d^3\mathbf{x} d^3\mathbf{y} \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{y}) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\mathbf{y}) + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \Phi | \hat{N} | \Phi \rangle^2 + \langle \Phi | \hat{N} | \Phi \rangle, \quad (\text{S.83})$$

and hence $\Delta N = \sqrt{\bar{N}}$. *Q.E.D.*

Problem 3(h):

First of all, if $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ satisfies the classical field equation (5.18) — which looks exactly like a one-particle Schrödinger equation — then \bar{N} remains constant. (This is undergraduate-level QM.) Also, in the Schrödinger picture of the QFT,

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = \frac{d\hat{F}^\dagger}{dt} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} = \left[\int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} \quad (\text{S.84})$$

thanks to mutual commutativity of the creation fields. Consequently, exactly as in part (e),

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \left(|\Phi\rangle = e^{-\bar{N}/2} e^{\hat{F}^\dagger} |0\rangle \right) &= \left[\int d^3\mathbf{x} i\hbar \frac{\partial\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ &\ll \text{using eq. (5.18)} \gg \\ &= \left[\int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\left(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ &\ll \text{using eq. (5.15)} \gg \\ &= \left[\int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \right] |\Phi\rangle \\ &= \hat{H} |\Phi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.85})$$

Q.E.D.

Problem 3(i):

Generalizing (e) to multi-oscillatory systems is completely straightforward:

$$|\langle\eta|\xi\rangle|^2 = \prod_{\alpha} e^{-|\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}|^2} = \exp\left(-\sum_{\alpha} |\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}|^2\right)$$

or for the field theory,

$$|\langle\Phi_1|\Phi_2\rangle|^2 = \exp\left(\int d^3\mathbf{x} |\Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi_2(\mathbf{x})|^2\right), \quad (\text{S.86})$$

which is exponentially small for any macroscopic $\delta\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi_1(x) - \Phi_2(x)$. Indeed, a *macroscopic* difference between two coherent states means (by definition) that $\delta\Phi$ affects a large number of particles, $\int |\delta\Phi|^2 \gg 1$, which makes for an *exponentially* tiny overlap (S.86).