

1. First, an exercise in bosonic commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (1)$$

- (a) Calculate the commutators $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger]$, $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\delta]$, $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \hat{a}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{a}_\delta]$, and $[\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\gamma \hat{a}_\delta, \hat{a}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}_\nu]$.
- (b) For a single pair of \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger operators, show that for any analytic function $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots$,

$$[\hat{a}, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] = +f'(\hat{a}^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}^\dagger, f(\hat{a})] = -f'(\hat{a}) \quad (2)$$

where $f(\hat{a}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_0 + f_1 \hat{a} + f_2 (\hat{a})^2 + \dots$ and likewise $f(\hat{a}^\dagger) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_0 + f_1 \hat{a}^\dagger + f_2 (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2 + \dots$.

- (c) Show that $e^{c\hat{a}} \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-c\hat{a}} = \hat{a}^\dagger + c$, $e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} \hat{a} e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = \hat{a} - c$, hence for any analytic function f ,

$$e^{c\hat{a}} f(\hat{a}^\dagger) e^{-c\hat{a}} = f(\hat{a}^\dagger + c) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{c\hat{a}^\dagger} f(\hat{a}) e^{-c\hat{a}^\dagger} = f(\hat{a} - c). \quad (3)$$

- (d) Now generalize (b) and (c) to any set of creation and annihilation operators \hat{a}_α^\dagger and \hat{a}_α . Show that for any analytic function f (multiple \hat{a}_α^\dagger) of creation operators but not of the annihilation operators or a function f (multiple \hat{a}_α) of the annihilation operators but not of the creation operators,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}_\alpha, f(\hat{a}^\dagger)] &= +\frac{\partial f(\hat{a}^\dagger)}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger}, & [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, f(\hat{a})] &= -\frac{\partial f(\hat{a})}{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha}, \\ \exp\left(\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha\right) f(\hat{a}^\dagger) \exp\left(-\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha\right) &= f(\text{each } \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger + c_\alpha), & (4) \\ \exp\left(\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\right) f(\hat{a}) \exp\left(-\sum_\alpha c_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\right) &= f(\text{each } \hat{a}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{a}_\alpha - c_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

2. Now consider an $O(N)$ symmetric Lagrangian for N interacting real scalar fields,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^N (\partial_\mu \Phi_a)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^N \Phi_a^2 - \frac{\lambda}{24} \left(\sum_{a=1}^N \Phi_a^2 \right)^2. \quad (5)$$

By the Noether theorem, the continuous $SO(N)$ subgroup of the $O(N)$ symmetry gives rise to $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ conserved currents

$$J_{ab}^\mu(x) = -J_{ba}^\mu(x) = \Phi_a(x) \partial^\mu \Phi_b(x) - \Phi_b(x) \partial^\mu \Phi_a(x). \quad (6)$$

In the quantum field theory, these currents become operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ab}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= -\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{ba}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\hat{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \nabla \hat{\Phi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) + \hat{\Phi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) \nabla \hat{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t), \\ \hat{J}_{ab}^0(\mathbf{x}, t) &= -\hat{J}_{ba}^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = \hat{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{\Pi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) - \hat{\Phi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{\Pi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This problem is about the net charge operators

$$\hat{Q}_{ab}(t) = -\hat{Q}_{ba}(t) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{J}_{ab}^0(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\hat{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{\Pi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) - \hat{\Phi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{\Pi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) \right). \quad (8)$$

(a) Write down the equal-time commutation relations for the quantum $\hat{\Phi}_a$ and $\hat{\Pi}_a$ fields. Also, write down the Hamiltonian operator for the interacting fields.

(b) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{Q}_{ab}(t), \hat{\Phi}_c(\mathbf{x}, \text{same } t) \right] &= i\delta_{bc} \hat{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) - i\delta_{ac} \hat{\Phi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t), \\ \left[\hat{Q}_{ab}(t), \hat{\Pi}_c(\mathbf{x}, \text{same } t) \right] &= i\delta_{bc} \hat{\Pi}_a(\mathbf{x}, t) - i\delta_{ac} \hat{\Pi}_b(\mathbf{x}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(c) Show that all the \hat{Q}_{ab} commute with the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} . In the Heisenberg picture, this makes all the charge operators \hat{Q}_{ab} time independent.

(d) Verify that the \hat{Q}_{ab} obey commutation relations of the $SO(N)$ generators,

$$\left[\hat{Q}_{ab}, \hat{Q}_{cd} \right] = -i\delta_{[c[b[\hat{Q}_a]d]} \equiv -i\delta_{bc} \hat{Q}_{ad} + i\delta_{ac} \hat{Q}_{bd} + i\delta_{bd} \hat{Q}_{ac} - i\delta_{ad} \hat{Q}_{bc}. \quad (10)$$

Now let's take $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and focus on the free fields. Let's work in the Schrödinger picture and expand all the fields into creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},a}$ ($a = 1, \dots, N$).

(e) Show that in terms of creation and annihilation operators, the charges (8) become

$$\hat{Q}_{ab} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left(-i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},a}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},b} + i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},b}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},a} \right). \quad (11)$$

(f) Use the commutation relations (1) for the creation and annihilation operators (and the results of problem 1.a) to verify that the operators (11) obey the commutation relations (10).

Finally, for $N = 2$ the $SO(2)$ symmetry is the phase symmetry of one complex field $\Phi = (\Phi_1 + i\Phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$ and its conjugate $\Phi^* = (\Phi_1 - i\Phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$. In the Fock space, they give rise to particles and anti-particles of opposite charges.

(g) Expand the fields $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$ into the creation and annihilation operators for the particles and antiparticles,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},1} + i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},2}}{\sqrt{2}} && \text{are particle annihilation operators,} \\ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},1} - i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},2}}{\sqrt{2}} && \text{are antiparticle annihilation operators,} \\ \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},1}^\dagger - i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},2}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} && \text{are particle creation operators,} \\ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger &= \frac{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},1}^\dagger + i\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},2}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} && \text{are antiparticle creation operators.} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(h) Show that in terms of the operators (12),

$$\hat{Q}_{21} = -\hat{Q}_{12} = \hat{N}_{\text{particles}} - \hat{N}_{\text{antiparticles}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} - \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}} \right). \quad (13)$$

3. An operator acting on identical bosons can be described in terms of N -particle wave functions (the *first-quantized* formalism) or in terms of creation and annihilation operators in the Fock space (the *second-quantized* formalism). This problem is about converting the operators from one formalism to another.

Let's start with a discrete orthonormal basis $\{|\alpha\rangle\}_\alpha$ of single-particle wave states with wave-functions $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$. (By abuse of notations, $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z, \text{spin}, \text{etc.})$). The corresponding basis of the N -boson Hilbert space comprises the states

$$|\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \hat{a}_\omega^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (14)$$

with totally-symmetrized wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha\beta\dots\omega}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{\substack{\text{distinct permutations} \\ \text{of } (\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega) \\ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \dots, \tilde{\omega})}} \phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \times \phi_{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \times \cdots \times \phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T \times N!}} \sum_{\substack{\text{all } N! \text{ permutations} \\ \text{of } (\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega) \\ (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \dots, \tilde{\omega})}} \phi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \times \phi_{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \times \cdots \times \phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}_N), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $T = \prod_\gamma n_\gamma!$ is the number of trivial permutations between *coincident* entries of the list $(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \omega)$ (for example, $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ when α and β happen to be equal), and $D = N!/T$ is the number of *distinct permutations*.

To make sure that the states (14) have the wavefunctions (15), the wave-function picture of the creation and the annihilation operators should be as follows: Given an N -boson state $|N, \psi\rangle$ with a totally-symmetric wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$,

the state $|N + 1, \psi'\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger |N, \psi\rangle$ has a totally-symmetric $(N + 1)$ -particle wave function

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \cancel{\mathbf{x}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N+1}). \quad (16)$$

In particular, for $N = 0$, $\psi'(x_1) = \phi_\alpha(x_1)$. Also,

the state $|N - 1, \psi''\rangle = \hat{a}_\alpha |N, \psi\rangle$ has a totally-symmetric $(N - 1)$ -particle wave function

$$\psi''(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}) = \sqrt{N} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_N \phi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N). \quad (17)$$

In particular, for $N = 1$, $\psi''(\text{no arguments}) = \langle \phi_\alpha | \psi \rangle$. Also, for $N = 0$ we simply define $\hat{a}_\alpha |0\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$.

(a) Verify the commutation relations (1) for these operators.

(b) Verify that the \hat{a}_α and the \hat{a}_α^\dagger are hermitian conjugates of each other by checking that

$$\langle N - 1, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{a}_\alpha | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \psi | \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger | N - 1, \tilde{\psi} \rangle^* \quad (18)$$

for any $N \geq 1$ and any totally-symmetric wave functions $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1})$.

(c) Verify that the states (14) indeed have the wavefunctions (15).

* * *

Now let's move on to the the next subject, namely the one-body operators — the additive operators acting on one particle at a time. In the first-quantized formalism they act on N -particle states according to

$$\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{A}_1(i^{\text{th}} \text{ particle}) \quad (19)$$

where \hat{A}_1 is some kind of a one-particle operator (such as momentum $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$, or kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2m}\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2$, or potential $V(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$, *etc.*, *etc.*). In the second-quantized formalism such operators become

$$\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta. \quad (20)$$

(d) Verify that the two operators have the same matrix elements between any two N -boson states $|N, \psi\rangle$ and $|N, \tilde{\psi}\rangle$, $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle$.

Hint: use $\hat{A}_1 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | \hat{A}_1 | \beta \rangle \langle \beta |$.

(e) Now let $\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$, $\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$, and $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ be three second-quantized net one-body operators corresponding to the single-particle operators \hat{A}_1 , \hat{B}_1 , and \hat{C}_1 .

Show that if $\hat{C}_1 = [\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1]$ then $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = [\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}]$.

Finally, consider the two-body operators, *i.e.* additive operators acting on two particles at a time. Given a two-particle operator \hat{B}_2 — such as $V(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2)$ — the *net B* operator acts in the first-quantized formalism according to

$$\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{B}_2(i^{\text{th}} \text{ and } j^{\text{th}} \text{ particles}), \quad (21)$$

and in the second-quantized formalism according to

$$\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} (\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |) \hat{B}_2(|\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle) \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\delta} \hat{a}_{\gamma}. \quad (22)$$

Note: in this formula, it is OK to use the un-symmetrized 2-particle states $\langle \alpha | \otimes \langle \beta |$ and $|\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle$, and hence the un-symmetrized matrix elements of the \hat{B}_2 . At the level of the second-quantized operator $\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$, the Bose symmetry is automatically provided by $\hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger} = \hat{a}_{\beta}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{a}_{\delta} \hat{a}_{\gamma} = \hat{a}_{\gamma} \hat{a}_{\delta}$, even for un-symmetrized matrix elements of the \hat{B}_2 .

(f) Similar to part (d), show the operators (21) and (22) have the same matrix elements between any two N -boson states, $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(1)} | N, \psi \rangle = \langle N, \tilde{\psi} | \hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} | N, \psi \rangle$ for any $\langle N, \tilde{\psi} |$ and $| N, \psi \rangle$.

(g) Now let \hat{A}_1 be a one-particle operator, let \hat{B}_2 and \hat{C}_2 be two-body operators, and let $\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$, $\hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$, and $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}$ be the corresponding second-quantized operators according to eqs. (20) and (22).

Show that if $\hat{C}_2 = \left[\left(\hat{A}_1(1^{\text{st}}) + \hat{A}_1(2^{\text{nd}}) \right), \hat{B}_2 \right]$ then $\hat{C}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} = \left[\hat{A}_{\text{net}}^{(2)}, \hat{B}_{\text{net}}^{(2)} \right]$.