1. Verify the integrals used by the Feynman's parameter trick and its generalizations:

$$\frac{1}{AB} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{[\xi A + (1 - \xi)B]^2},$$
 (F.a)

$$\frac{1}{A^n B} = \int_0^1 \frac{n\xi^{n-1}d\xi}{[\xi A + (1-\xi)B]^{n+1}},$$
 (F.b)

$$\frac{1}{A^n B^m} = \frac{(n+m-1)!}{(n-1)!(m-1)!} \times \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^{n-1} (1-\xi)^{m-1} d\xi}{[\xi A + (1-\xi)B]^{n+m}},$$
 (F.c)

$$\frac{1}{ABC} = \int_{0}^{1} d\xi \int_{0}^{1-\xi} \frac{2d\eta}{[\xi A + \eta B + (1 - \xi - \eta)C]^{3}}$$

$$\equiv \iiint_{\xi,\eta,\zeta>0} d\xi \, d\eta \, d\zeta \, \delta(\xi + \eta + \zeta - 1) \times \frac{2}{[\xi A + \eta B + (1 - \xi - \eta)C]^{3}}, \text{ (F.d)}$$

$$\frac{1}{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k} = \int \cdots \int d^k \xi \, \delta(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_k - 1) \times \frac{(k-1)!}{[\xi_1 A_1 + \cdots + \xi_k A_k]^k}, \quad (F.e)$$

$$\frac{1}{A_1^{n_1} A_2^{n_2} \cdots A_k^{n_k}} = \frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k - 1)!}{(n_1 - 1)! \cdots (n_k - 1)!} \times$$

$$\times \int \dots \int d^k \xi \, \delta(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k - 1) \times \frac{\xi_1^{n_1 - 1} \cdots \xi_k^{n_k - 1}}{[\xi_1 A_1 + \dots + \xi_k A_k]^{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)}}.$$
(F.f)

2. In class, we have evaluated the one-loop diagram



using the hard-edge cutoff as an ultraviolet regulator. Your task is to evaluate the same diagram using two other UV regulators: (1) Pauli–Villars, and (2) higher derivatives.

Show that all 3 regulators yield similar amplitudes of the form

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{diagram }(1)) = \frac{\lambda_{\text{bare}}^2}{32\pi^2} \times \left(\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + C - J(t/m^2) + \text{negligible}\right)$$
 (2)

where

$$J(t/m^2) = \int_0^1 d\xi \log \frac{m^2 - t \times \xi(1 - \xi)}{m^2},$$
 (3)

'negligible' stands for terms that vanish as negative powers of the cutoff scale Λ for $\Lambda \to \infty$, and C is an O(1) numeric constant that depends on the particular UV regulator:

$$C_{\text{hard edge}} \neq C_{\text{Paili Villars}} \neq C_{\text{higher derivative}}.$$
 (4)

Fortunately, this regulator dependence can be canceled by adjusting the cutoff scale parameter Λ for each regulator: Let

$$\Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm HE}} = \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm PV}} = \Lambda_{\rm HD}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm HD}}, \tag{5}$$

then all 3 regulators would yield exactly the same loop amplitude (2).

Note: the dimensional regularization also yields exactly the same amplitude (2), provided we identify the UV cutoff scale as

$$\Lambda_{\rm DR}^2 = \mu^2 \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{2}{4 - D}\right) \tag{6}$$

and then set

$$\Lambda_{\rm DR}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm DR}} = \Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm HE}} = \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm PV}} = \Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 \times e^{C_{\rm HD}}$$
 (7)

for a suitable O(1) numeric constant C_{DR} .

Hint: for the higher-derivative regulator, approximate the modified propagator as

$$\frac{i}{q^2 - m^2 - (q^4/\Lambda^2) + i\epsilon} \approx \frac{i}{q^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \times \frac{-\Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon}$$
 (8)

where the second factor differs from 1 only for very large momenta. Consequently, for the two propagators in the loop we may further approximate

$$\frac{-\Lambda^2}{q_1^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \approx \frac{-\Lambda^2}{q_2^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \approx \frac{-\Lambda^2}{(q_1 - \xi q_{\text{net}})^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon}.$$
 (9)