DIMENSIONAL REGULARIZATION

The dimensional regularization of ultraviolet divergences involves analytic continuation of the Euclidean momentum integrals to momentum spaces of non-integer dimensions D < 4 — which makes the integrals finite — and then taking the limit $D \to 4$ (from below). Thus,

$$\int_{\text{reg}} \frac{d^4 k_E}{(2\pi)^4} f(k_E^{\nu}) = \int_{\text{reg}} \frac{\mu^{4-D} d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} f(k_E^{\nu}), \tag{1}$$

where μ is the reference energy scale at which the spherical momentum-space shell $dk_D^{\rm rad}$ has the same volume in D dimensions as in 4 dimensions. At much larger loop momenta, the $dk_D^{\rm rad}$ shell's volume becomes smaller in D < 4 dimensions than in 4 dimensions:

$$d^{4}k_{E} \sim (k_{E}^{\text{rad}})^{3} dk_{E}^{\text{rad}} \longrightarrow \mu^{4-D} \times (k_{E}^{\text{rad}})^{D-1} dk_{E}^{\text{rad}} = \left(\frac{\mu}{k_{E}^{\text{rad}}}\right)^{4-D} \times (k_{E}^{\text{rad}})^{3} dk_{E}^{\text{rad}}$$

$$\ll (k_{E}^{\text{rad}})^{3} dk_{E}^{\text{rad}},$$

$$(2)$$

and that's what regularized the UV divergence of the integral (1).

Let's take a closer look at the UV-regulating factor (marked in red in eq. (2)). For $D=4-2\epsilon$,

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{k_E^{\text{rad}}}\right)^{4-D=2\epsilon} = \left(\frac{k_E^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} = \exp\left(-\epsilon \times \log \frac{k_E^2}{\mu^2}\right), \tag{3}$$

which becomes small when

$$\log \frac{k_E^2}{\mu^2} \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \implies k_E^2 \sim \mu^2 \times \exp(1/\epsilon). \tag{4}$$

Thus, the effective UV cutoff scale² in dimensional regularization is

$$\Lambda_{\rm DR}^2 = \mu^2 \times \exp(1/\epsilon) \gg \mu^2. \tag{5}$$

In practice, one usually set the reference energy scale μ in the ball park of the energy scale of the amplitude in question, for example $\mu \sim |q_{\rm net}|$; consequently, for $\epsilon \to +0$ we have $\Lambda_{\rm DR} \gg \mu$ and hence $\Lambda_{\rm DR} \gg$ energy scale of the amplitude.

Now consider a generic logarithmically divergent momentum integral; for most regularization schemes, this means

regulated integral = (constant
$$C$$
) × log $\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}$ + finite. (6)

For the dimensional regularization, the effective UV cutoff scale is as in eq. (5), so we expect

regulated integral = (same constant
$$C$$
) × $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right)$ + finite. (7)

Thus, we may identify the coefficient C of the $(1/\epsilon)$ pole obtaining from dimensional regularization with the coefficient of $\log \Lambda^2$ in the other regularization schemes.

Integrals over Momentum Spaces of Non-Integer Dimensions

Before we can use dimensional regularization, we need to learn how to perform integrals over (Euclidean) momentum spaces of non-integer dimensions D. Let's start with the Gaussian integrals

$$\int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \exp(-tk_E^2). \tag{8}$$

For any integer dimension D, $k_E^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \cdots + k_D^2$, hence

$$\exp(-tk_E^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \exp(-tk_i^2)$$
 (9)

and therefore

$$\int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \exp(-tk_E^2) = \prod_{i=1}^D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk_i}{2\pi} \exp(-tk_i^2)$$

$$= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp(-tk^2) \right]^D$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \times \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \right]^D$$

$$= (4\pi t)^{-D/2}.$$
(10)

Let's analytically continue this formula to the non-integer D. In other words, we let

$$\int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \exp(-tk_E^2) = (4\pi t)^{-D/2}$$
(11)

for any D, integer or non-integer, real or complex. For non-integer D this formula maybe thought as a definition of the Gaussian integral over a non-integer-dimensional space.

As to the non-Gaussian momentum integrals, we should re-express them in terms of Gaussian integrals and then use eq. (11) for non-integer D. For example, consider the dimensionally regulated momentum integral

$$I = \int_{\text{reg}} \frac{d^4 k_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^2} = \int_{\text{reg}} \frac{\mu^{4-D} d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^2}$$
(12)

which appears in the context of the one-loop Feynman diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^1 dx \int_{\text{reg}} \frac{d^4 k_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta(x)]^2}$$
 (13)

for $\Delta(x) = m^2 - tx(1-x)$. Using the Γ -function integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, t^{n-1} \times \exp(-t(k_E^2 + \Delta)) = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^n}$$
 (14)

for n=2, we let

$$\frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2) = 1! = 1} \times \int_0^\infty dt \, t \times \exp(-t(k_E^2 + \Delta))$$
 (15)

and consequently

$$\int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^2} = \int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \int_0^\infty dt \, t \times \exp(-t(k_E^2 + \Delta))$$

$$\langle \langle \text{ changing the order of integration} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dt \, t e^{-t\Delta} \times \int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} e^{-tk_E^2}$$

$$\langle \langle \text{ using eq. (11)} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dt \, t e^{-t\Delta} \times (4\pi t)^{-D/2} = (4\pi)^{-D/2} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{1-(D/2)} \times e^{-t\Delta}$$

$$= (4\pi)^{-D/2} \times \Gamma(2 - (D/2)) \Delta^{(D/2)-2}.$$
(16)

Note that on the penultimate line here, the integrand behaves as $t^{1-(D/2)}$ for $t \to 0$. Consequently, the integral converges whenever (this power of t) > -1, which means D < 4. Or for complex D, whenever Re(E) < 4. Physically, the $t \to 0$ limit corresponds to $k_E^2 \to \infty$, so the convergence/divergence of the $\int dt$ integral at $t \to 0$ corresponds to the UV convergence/divergence of the original momentum integral.

Anyhow, for $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ eq. (16) becomes

$$\mu^{4-D} \times \int \frac{d^D k_E}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{[k_E^2 + \Delta]^2} = \frac{(4\pi\mu^2)^{\epsilon}}{16\pi^2} \times \Gamma(\epsilon) \times \Delta^{-\epsilon}$$
 (17)

and hence

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \, \Gamma(\epsilon) \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}\right)^{\epsilon}. \tag{18}$$

Note that this is a finite formula for $\epsilon > 0$ (i.e., for D < 4), but it becomes singular in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit because the $\Gamma(\epsilon)$ function has a pole at $\epsilon = 0$.

Let's take a closer look at this pole using $\Gamma(x+1) = x \times \Gamma(x)$. In particular, for $x = \epsilon \to 0$,

$$\Gamma(\epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon+1)}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\Gamma(1) + \epsilon \times \Gamma'(1) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \Gamma''(1) + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \frac{\pi^2 + 6\gamma_E^2}{12} \times \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$$
(19)

where $\gamma_E \approx 0.5772$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. At the same time,

$$\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}\right)^{\epsilon} = \exp\left(\epsilon \times \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}\right) = 1 + \epsilon \times \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \times \log^2 \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)} + O(\epsilon)^3, (20)$$

hence

$$\Gamma(\epsilon) \times \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}\right)^{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)} + O(\epsilon).$$
 (21)

In dimensional regularization, positive powers of $\epsilon \to 0$ correspond to negative powers of $\log \Lambda_{\rm UV}^2 \to \infty$. And although such negative powers of $\log \Lambda_{\rm UV}^2$ go to zero much slower than the negative powers of the $\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2$ itself, they do eventually go to zero in the very-large-UV-cutoff-scale limit. Consequently, in dimensional regularization we neglect all *positive* powers of ϵ in various amplitudes (but only in the net product of all the factors). Thus, in eq. (18) we approximate

$$\Gamma(\epsilon) \times \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}\right)^{\epsilon} \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)}$$
 (22)

and hence

$$\mathcal{F}_{DR}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)} \right). \tag{23}$$

Finally, using

$$\log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{\Delta(x)} = \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} - \log \frac{\Delta(x) = m^2 - tx(1-x)}{m^2}$$
 (24)

we arrive at

$$\mathcal{F}_{DR}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} - \int_0^1 dx \log \frac{m^2 - tx(1-x)}{m^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2} - J(t/m^2) \right).$$
(25)

In class we have evaluated the same one-loop diagram (13) using Wilson's hard-edge

cutoff and got

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_{\text{HE}}^2}{m^2} - 1 - J(t/m^2) \right). \tag{26}$$

Likewise, in your homework#13 you should have obtained

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{32\pi^{2}} \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_{PV}^{2}}{m^{2}} - J(t/m^{2}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}}{32\pi^{2}} \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_{HD}^{2}}{m^{2}} - 2 - J(t/m^{2}) \right)$$
(27)

for the respectively Pauli–Villars and higher-derivative UV regulators. Consequently, all these cutoffs yield exactly the same result provided we identify

$$\log \Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 - 1 = \log \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2 = \log \Lambda_{\rm HD}^2 - 2,$$
 (28)

or equivalently

$$\Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 = \exp(1) \times \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2, \quad \Lambda_{\rm HD}^2 = \exp(2) \times \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2.$$
(29)

Likewise, the dimensional regularization's result (25) becomes similar to that of all the other cutoffs when we identify

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log(4\pi\mu^2) = \log \Lambda_{\rm HE}^2 - 1 = \log \Lambda_{\rm PV}^2 = \log \Lambda_{\rm HD}^2 - 2, \tag{30}$$

or equivalently

$$\mu^2 \times \exp(1/\epsilon) = \frac{\exp(\gamma_E)}{4\pi} \times \Lambda_{PV}^2 = \frac{\exp(\gamma_E - 1)}{4\pi} \times \Lambda_{HE}^2 = \frac{\exp(\gamma_E - 2)}{4\pi} \times \Lambda_{HD}^2. \quad (31)$$