Feynman Propagators

Time Ordering and Propagators

Perturbation theory (as we shall learn later in this class) requires putting products of time-dependent operators in time order,

$$\hat{V}(t_n)\hat{V}(t_{n-1})\cdots\hat{V}(t_2)\hat{V}(t_1) \quad \text{for} \quad t_n > t_{n-1} > \cdots > t_2 > t_1 \tag{1}$$

— the earliest operator $\hat{V}(t_1)$ goes to the right of the product so it acts first on a quantum state, the second-earliest $\hat{V}(t_2)$ is second from the right so it acts second (after $\hat{V}(t_1)$ but before all the other operators), *etc.*, *etc.*, until the latest operator $\hat{V}(t_n)$ goes to the left so it acts after everybody else. In short, the operators act in the order of their times, so an earlier operators must stand to the right of a later operator.

The procedure of putting operators in time order can be described by a *meta-operator* acting in the space of operator products, namely the *time-orderer* $\mathbf{T}$ which acts as

$$\mathbf{T}\,\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2) = \begin{cases} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2) & \text{if } x_1^0 > x_2^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1) & \text{if } x_2^0 > x_1^0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Thanks to relativistic causality, the two operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)$ commute for spacelike $x_1 - x_2$, so their sudden commutation at $x_1^0 = x_2^0$ does not cause any discontinuity. (Except maybe at $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$.) The time-orderer $\mathbf{T}$ acts on products of several operators in a manner similar to eq. (2); for example, for a product of 3 operators

$$\mathbf{T}\,\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3) = \begin{cases} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3) & \text{if } x_1^0 > x_2^0 > x_3^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2) & \text{if } x_1^0 > x_3^0 > x_2^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1) & \text{if } x_2^0 > x_3^0 > x_1^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3) & \text{if } x_2^0 > x_1^0 > x_3^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2) & \text{if } x_3^0 > x_1^0 > x_2^0, \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_3(x_3)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_2(x_2)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1) & \text{if } x_3^0 > x_2^0 > x_1^0. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Again, thanks to relativistic causality, the time-ordered product has no discontinuities when $x_1^0 = x_2^0$, or $x_1^0 = x_3^0$, or $x_2^0 = x_3^0$.

A particularly important application of the time ordering to the perturbation theory is constructing of Feynman propagators — vacuum 'sandwiches' of two time-ordered quantum fields. Thus, for the scalar field $\hat{\Phi}(x)$ we have

$$G_F(x - y) \;=\; \langle 0| \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) \, |0\rangle \tag{4}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the theory, for the massive vector field $\hat{A}^\mu(x)$ we have

$$G_F^{\mu\nu} \;=\; \langle 0| \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{A}^\mu(x)\hat{A}^\nu(y) \, |0\rangle \,, \tag{5}$$

etc., *etc.* Through most of these notes I shall focus on the scalar propagator (4), but in the last section I shall explore the propagators of other field types.

Feynman Propagator of a Scalar Field

Let's evaluate the Feynman propagator (4) for a free scalar field $\hat{\Phi}(x)$. As we saw a couple of lectures ago (see my notes on the subject), $\hat{\Phi}(x)$ decomposes into linear combination of creation and annihilation operators with plane-wave coefficients,

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) \;=\; \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \Big(e^{-ikx} \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \;+\; e^{+ikx} \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \Big)^{k^0 = +\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}. \tag{6}$$

A product of two fields $\hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y)$ involves products of two creation or annihilation operators,

$$\hat{\Phi}\hat{\Phi} \;\supset\; \hat{a}\hat{a}, \; \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}, \; \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger. \tag{7}$$

Among these, only the $\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger$ products have non-zero vacuum 'sandwiches', and only for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$,

$$\langle 0| \, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \, |0\rangle \;=\; 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \, (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \tag{8}$$

$$\text{while } \langle 0| \, \hat{a}\hat{a} \, |0\rangle \;\equiv\; \langle 0| \, \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger \, |0\rangle \;\equiv\; \langle 0| \, \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} \, |0\rangle \;\equiv\; 0. \tag{9}$$

Consequently, the vacuum sandwich of a product of two scalar fields (without time ordering) evaluates to

$$\langle 0| \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) |0\rangle \ = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ikx-ik'y} \times \langle 0| \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'} |0\rangle \\[6pt] + e^{-ikx+ik'y} \times \langle 0| \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger |0\rangle \\[6pt] + e^{+ikx-ik'y} \times \langle 0| \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'} |0\rangle \\[6pt] + e^{+ikx+ik'y} \times \langle 0| \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger |0\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ikx-ik'y} \times 0 \\[6pt] + e^{-ikx+ik'y} \times 2\omega_\mathbf{k}(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \\[6pt] + e^{+ikx-ik'y} \times 0 \\[6pt] + e^{+ikx+ik'y} \times 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}}\, e^{-ikx+iky},$$

where in all the exponentials $k^0 = +\omega_\mathbf{k}$ and $k'^0 = +\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}$. Earlier in class we have defined

$$D(z) \ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\ \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \left(e^{-ikz} \right)^{k^0=+\omega_\mathbf{k}}, \tag{11}$$

so the bottom line of eq. (10) amounts to

$$\langle 0| \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) |0\rangle \ = \ D(x-y). \tag{12}$$

Thus far, we have ignored the time ordering. Taking it into account, we have

$$\text{for } x^0 > y^0, \quad G_F(x-y) \ = \ \langle 0| \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) |0\rangle \ = \ D(x-y), \tag{13}$$

$$\text{for } x^0 < y^0, \quad G_F(x-y) \ = \ \langle 0| \hat{\Phi}(y)\hat{\Phi}(x) |0\rangle \ = \ D(y-x), \tag{14}$$

or in other words

$$G_F(x-y) \ = \ \theta(x^0 > y^0) \times D(x-y) + \theta(x^0 < y^0) \times D(y-x) \ = \ \begin{cases} D(x-y) & \text{when } x^0 > y^0, \\ D(y-x) & \text{when } x^0 < y^0. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Now consider a complex scalar field, which decomposes into creation and annihilation

operators according to

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) \ = \ \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \left(e^{-ikx} \, \hat{a}_\mathbf{k} \ + \ e^{+ikx} \, \hat{b}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger \right)^{k^0=+\omega_\mathbf{k}}, \tag{16}$$

$$\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \ = \ \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \left(e^{-ikx} \, \hat{b}_\mathbf{k} \ + \ e^{+ikx} \, \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger \right)^{k^0=+\omega_\mathbf{k}}. \tag{17}$$

This time a product of two $\hat{\Phi}$'s or two $\hat{\Phi}^\dagger$'s decomposes into operator products

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}\hat{\Phi} &\supset \hat{a}\hat{a}, \ \hat{a}\hat{b}^\dagger, \ \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{a}, \ \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}^\dagger, \\ \hat{\Phi}^\dagger\hat{\Phi}^\dagger &\supset \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}^\dagger, \ \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b}, \ \hat{b}\hat{a}^\dagger, \ \hat{b}\hat{b}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

none of which has any vacuum sandwiches, hence

$$\langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ = \ \langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x)\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ = \ 0. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, a product of a $\hat{\Phi}$ and a $\hat{\Phi}^\dagger$ does have a non-zero vacuum sandwich due to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}\hat{\Phi}^\dagger &\supset \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger \ + \ \text{useless}, \\ \hat{\Phi}^\dagger\hat{\Phi} &\supset \hat{b}\hat{b}^\dagger \ + \ \text{useless}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \, \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k'}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k'}} \left(e^{-ikx+ik'y} \, \langle 0 | \, \hat{b}_\mathbf{k}\hat{b}_\mathbf{k'}^\dagger \, | 0 \rangle \ + \ 0 \ + \ 0 \ + \ 0 \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k'}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k'}} \, e^{-ikx+ik'y} \times 2\omega_\mathbf{k}(2\pi)^2\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k'}) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \, e^{-ikx+iky} \ = \ D(x-y), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and likewise

$$\langle 0 | \, \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ = \ D(x-y). \tag{22}$$

Hence, after time-ordering the two fields, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ &= \ \langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T} \, \hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(y) \, | 0 \rangle \ = \ G_F(x-y) \\ &= \ \begin{cases} D(x-y) & \text{when } x^0 > y^0, \\ D(y-x) & \text{when } y^0 > x^0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Thus, the charged scalar field has exactly the same Feynman propagator $G_F(x-y)$ as the neutral scalar field.

The Feynman propagator is a Green's function

A free scalar field obeys the Klein–Gordon equation $(\partial^2 + m^2)\hat{\Phi}(x) = 0$. Consequently, the Feynman propagator (4) for the $\hat{\Phi}$ is a Green's function of that equation,

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)G_F(x-y) = -i\delta^{(4)}(x-y). \tag{24}$$

Note the delta-function on the RHS is in all four dimensions of the spacetime.

To prove eq. (24), we start with a **Lemma:** *the time derivative of a time-ordered product of two operators $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{B}(t_0)$ obtains as*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathbf{T}\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0)\right) = \mathbf{T}\left(\frac{\partial\hat{A}(t)}{\partial t}\right)\hat{B}(t_0) + \delta(t-t_0)\times\left[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t_0)\right]. \tag{25}$$

Proof (of the lemma):

$$\mathbf{T}\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \theta(t>t_0)\times\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0) + \theta(t<t_0)\times\hat{B}(t_0)\hat{A}(t), \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta(t>t_0) = +\delta(t-t_0), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta(t<t_0) = -\delta(t-t_0), \tag{27}$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathbf{T}\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0)\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\theta(t>t_0)\times\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\theta(t<t_0)\times\hat{B}(t_0)\hat{A}(t)\right)\\
&= \delta(t-t_0)\times\hat{A}(t)\times\hat{B}(t_0) + \theta(t>t_0)\times\frac{\partial\hat{A}(t)}{\partial t}\times\hat{B}(t_0)\\
&\quad - \delta(t-t_0)\times\hat{B}(t_0)\times\hat{A}(t) + \theta(t<t_0)\times\hat{B}(t)\times\frac{\partial\hat{A}(t)}{\partial t}\\
&\quad \langle\!\langle\,\text{reorganizing terms}\,\rangle\!\rangle\\
&= \delta(t-t_0)\times\left(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t_0) - \hat{B}(t_0)\hat{A}(t)\right)\\
&\quad + \left(\theta(t>t_0)\frac{\partial\hat{A}}{\partial t}\hat{B}(t_0) + \theta(t<t_0)\hat{B}(t_0)\frac{\partial\hat{A}}{\partial t}\right)\\
&= \delta(t-t_0)\times\left[\hat{A}(t),\hat{B}(t_0)\right] + \mathbf{T}\left(\frac{\partial\hat{A}(t)}{\partial t}\hat{B}(t_0)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum.

Now let's prove that the propagator (4) is a Green's function. In light of the lemma (25),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} G_F(x - y) &= \langle 0| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \big(\mathbf{T}\hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\times}\Phi(y)\big) |0\rangle \\
&= \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\big(\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\big) |0\rangle \;+\; \delta(x^0 - y^0) \times \langle 0| \big[\hat{\Phi}(x), \hat{\Phi}(y)\big] |0\rangle .
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

In the second term on the bottom line here, the quantum fields $\hat{\Phi}(x)$ and $\hat{\Phi}(y)$ are at equal times $x^0 = y^0$, so they commute with each other. Consequently, the second term vanishes, and we are left with

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} G_F(x - y) \;=\; \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\big(\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\big) |0\rangle .
\tag{30}
$$

Now let's take another time derivative. Again, using the lemma (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_0^2 G_F(x - y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\big(\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\big) |0\rangle \\
&= \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\big(\partial_0^2\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\big) |0\rangle \;+\; \delta(x^0 - y^0) \times \langle 0| \big[\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x), \hat{\Phi}(y)\big] |0\rangle .
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

This time, in the second term on the bottom line, $\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x) = \hat{\Pi}(x)$, and at equal times $x^0 = y^0$ it does *not* commute with the $\hat{\Phi}(y)$. Instead,

$$
\text{for } x^0 = y^0, \quad \big[\hat{\Pi}(x), \hat{\Phi}(y)\big] \;=\; -i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}),
\tag{32}
$$

hence

$$
\delta(x^0 - y^0) \times \langle 0| \big[\partial_0\hat{\Phi}(x), \hat{\Phi}(y)\big] |0\rangle \;=\; -i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \times \delta(x^0 - y^0) \;=\; -i\delta^{(4)}(x - y).
\tag{33}
$$

Thus, eq. (31) reduces to

$$
\partial_0^2 G_F(x - y) \;=\; \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\big(\partial_0^2\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\big) |0\rangle \;-\; i\delta^{(4)}(x - y).
\tag{34}
$$

Now consider the space-derivative terms in the Klein-Gordon equation. Since the space

derivatives commute with the time-ordering, we have

$$\nabla_x^2 G_F(x - y) \;=\; \nabla_x^2 \langle 0| \left(\mathbf{T}\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\right) |0\rangle \;=\; \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\left(\nabla^2 \hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\right) |0\rangle \qquad (35)$$

without any extra terms. Combining this formula with eq. (34), we obtain

$$\left(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2\right) G_F(x - y) \;=\; \langle 0| \mathbf{T}\left((\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2)\hat{\Phi}(x) \times \hat{\Phi}(y)\right) |0\rangle \;-\; i\delta^{(4)}(x - y). \quad (36)$$

In the first term on the RHS here, the quantum field $\hat{\Phi}(x)$ obeys the Klein–Gordon equation $(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2)\hat{\Phi}(x) = 0$, so the first term vanishes. The remaining second term is just the delta function, thus

$$\left(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2\right) G_F(x - y) \;=\; -i\delta^{(4)}(x - y), \qquad (37)$$

which proves that $G_F(x - y)$ is indeed a Green's function of the Klein–Gordon equation. *Quod erat demonstrandum.*

GENERAL GREEN'S FUNCTIONS AND THE FEYNMAN'S CHOICE

In general, the same differential equation may have many different Green's functions, depending on the boundary conditions, *etc.* So let's consider a generic Green's function of the Klein–Gordon equation, that is, some function $G(x - y)$ satisfying

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)G(x - y) \;=\; -i\delta^{(4)}(x - y). \qquad (38)$$

Let's Fourier transform this function in all four dimensions, thus

$$G(x - y) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \, e^{-ik(x-y)} \times \tilde{G}(k). \qquad (39)$$

In the 4–momentum space, eq. (38) becomes

$$(-k^2 + m^2) \times \tilde{G}(k) \;=\; -i, \qquad (40)$$

hence *naively*

$$\tilde{G}(k) \;=\; \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2} \tag{41}$$

and therefore

$$G(x - y) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{ie^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2} \,. \tag{42}$$

The problem with this naive formula is that it integrates over the singularities of the integrand. Indeed, the denominator $k^2 - m^2 = k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2$ vanishes on the mass shells $\mathbf{k}^0 = \pm\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$, so we have two 3D families of poles. In general, an integral of a singular function over its pole is ill-defined, and we must regularize it to get a definite answer. For the Green's function in question, we must regulate two 3D-families of poles, thus

$$G(x) \;=\; \int\limits_{\text{reg}} \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{ie^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2} \;=\; \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k}} \times \int\limits_{\text{reg}} \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2} \,. \tag{43}$$

In other words, we integrate over the k^0 before we integrate over the $\mathbf{k}$. In the $\int dk^0$ integral, we encounter two simple poles at $k^0 = \pm\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$, and we must somehow regularize them to get a definite result. Only then we integrate that result over $\mathbf{k}$; hopefully, *that* integral does not encounter any singularities.

Alas, the devil is in the details: There are many different ways to regularize an integral, and different regulators yield different regularized integrals — which eventually yield many different Green's functions (43) of the same Klein–Gordon equation.

In these notes, we are going to use a particularly simple way to regulate an integral over a simple pole — move the pole away from the real axis into the complex plane,

$$\int\limits_{\text{reg}} dx \, \frac{f(x)}{x - x_0} \;=\; \int dx \, \frac{f(x)}{x - (x_0 \pm i\epsilon)} \tag{44}$$

for an infinitesimal $\epsilon \to +0$. Equivalently, we may leave the pole real but deform the integration contour slightly away from the real axis so that it bypasses the pole,

or

Note that the contour above the pole and the contour below the pole — or equivalently, shifting the pole below or above the real axis — makes for a different regulator which produces a different regularized integral. Indeed, their difference amounts to a contour integral over a closed circle around x_0, hence

$$\int dx\, \frac{f(x)}{x - (x_0 + i\epsilon)} - \int dx\, \frac{f(x)}{x - (x_0 - i\epsilon)} = \oint_{\text{circle}} dx\, \frac{f(x)}{x - x_0} = \langle\!\langle\, \text{by residues}\,\rangle\!\rangle = 2\pi i \times f(x_0). \tag{45}$$

In the context of the integral (43), there are two poles in the $\int dk^0$ for every $\mathbf{k}$, so we must make our choices. For the sake of Lorentz invariance, we should use the same regulator for every $\mathbf{k}$, which leaves us with $2 \times 2 = 4$ choices:

- Move the pole at $k^0 = +\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ to $+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon$ or to $+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon$.

- Move the pole at $k^0 = -\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ to $-\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon$ or to $-\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon$.

The 4 choices give rise to 4 distinct Lorentz-invariant Green's functions, namely:

1. *Causal retarded Green's function G_R for poles at $k_0 = \pm\omega_k - i\epsilon$,*

2. *Causal advanced Green's function G_A for poles at $k_0 = \pm\omega_k + i\epsilon$,*

3. *Time-ordered Green's function G_F for poles at $k_0 = \pm(\omega_k - i\epsilon)$,*

This Green's function is the Feynman's propagator (4).

9

4. *Anti-time-ordered Green's function G_{AT} for poles at $k_0 = \pm(\omega_k + i\epsilon)$,*

Feynman's Choice

Let's focus on the Feynman's choice of the poles at $+\omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon$ and $-\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon$. Altogether, the denominator of the integrand in eq. (43) is

$$(k_0 - \omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon) \times (k_0 + \omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon) = k_0^2 - (\omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon)^2 \approx k_0^2 - \omega_\mathbf{k}^2 + 2i\omega_\mathbf{k}\epsilon = k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon \times 2\omega_\mathbf{k}. \tag{46}$$

In the last expression, we may replace $\epsilon \times 2\omega_\mathbf{k}$ with simply ϵ, since all we care about is is that it's a positive infinitesimal number $\to +0$. Thus

$$\text{the denominator} = k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon = k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon, \tag{47}$$

hence a manifestly Lorentz invariant expression for the Feynman's Green's function as

$$G_F(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{ie^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}. \tag{48}$$

In this section of the notes, we shall see that this Green's function is precisely the Feynman propagator (4). Without loss of generality, let's set $y = 0$. In light of eq. (15), we expect two different cases according to the sign of the $t = x^0$. Let's start with the $t > 0$ case and deal with $t < 0$ later.

We begin to evaluate the 4D integral (48) by integrating over the k_0 for a fixed $\mathbf{k}$,

$$I(t, \omega_\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{k_0^2 - \omega_\mathbf{k}^2 + i\epsilon}, \tag{49}$$

$$\text{then } G_F(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k}} \times I(t, \omega_\mathbf{k}) \tag{50}$$

In the integral (49), the integration contour is the real axis, while the two poles lie near the axis — but not quite on it — as on the following diagram


$$\tag{51}$$

Outside the real axis, the exponential e^{-itk_0} — with positive t — rapidly decreases for large negative $\text{Im}(k_0)$. Consequently, we may close the integration contour by adding to it a large semicircular arc in the negative $\text{Im}(k_0)$ half of the complex plane. Thus,

$$I(t, \omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = \oint_\Gamma \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{(k_0 - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon)(k_0 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon)} \tag{52}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \tag{53}$$

The closed-contour integrals like (52) may be evaluated in terms of residues at the poles *surrounded by the contour*. For the contour (53) at hand, the pole at $+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon$ lies inside the contour while the other pole lies outside the contour. Consequently,

$$I(t, \omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = -2\pi i \times \text{Residue at } k_0 = +\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon, \tag{54}$$

11

where the overall $-2\pi i$ factor is due to clockwise direction of the contour. Specifically,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t, \omega_{\mathbf{k}}) &= -2\pi i \times \left(\frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{2\pi \times \cancel{(k_0 - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon)} \times (k_0 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon)} \right)_{k_0 = +\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon} \\
&= +\frac{\exp(-it(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon))}{2(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon)} \\
&\quad \langle\!\langle \text{ taking the } \epsilon \to +0 \text{ limit, which is non-singular} \rangle\!\rangle \\
&= +\frac{e^{-it\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} .
\end{aligned}
\tag{55}
$$

Plugging this result into eq. (50), we have

$$
G_F(x) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k}} \times \frac{e^{-it\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \exp(i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k} - it\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = D(x),
\tag{56}
$$

in perfect agreement with the Feynman propagator (4) for $t > 0$, cf. eq. (15).

Now let's turn to the $t < 0$ case. Again, we need to take the integral

$$
I(t, \omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{k_0^2 - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + i\epsilon}
\tag{49}
$$

along the real axis, bypassing the poles according to

$$
\tag{51}
$$

However, for a negative t, the exponential e^{-itk_0} decreases for large positive $\mathrm{Im}(k_0)$ (rather than large negative $\mathrm{Im}(k_0)$ as we had for positive t), so to close the integration contour (51) we should add a large semicircular arc in the positive half of the complex plane. Thus,

$$
I(t, \omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = \oint_{\Gamma'} \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{(k_0 - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon)(k_0 + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon)}
\tag{57}
$$

where

$$\Gamma' \ = \tag{58}$$

Unlike the contour (53) which we have used for positive t, the contour (58) surrounds the negative-frequency pole at $k_0 = -\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon$. It is also counterclockwise, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t, \omega_\mathbf{k}) \ &= \ +2\pi i \times \text{Residue at } k_0 = -\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon \\
&= \ +2\pi i \times \left(\frac{ie^{-itk_0}}{2\pi \times (k_0 - \omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon) \times \cancel{(k_0 + \omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon)}} \right)_{k_0 = -\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon} \\
&= \ -\frac{\exp(-it(-\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon))}{2(-\omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon)} \\
&\quad \langle\!\langle \text{ taking the } \epsilon \to +0 \text{ limit, which is non-singular } \rangle\!\rangle \\
&= \ +\frac{e^{+it\omega_\mathbf{k}}}{2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

Plugging this k_0 integral into the $\int d^3\mathbf{k}$ integral (50), we obtain

$$G_F(\mathbf{x}, t) \ = \ \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{+i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k}} \times \frac{e^{+it\omega_\mathbf{k}}}{2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \ = \ D(+\mathbf{x}, -t).$$

At first blush, this is not quite the answer we want, but fortunately D is invariant under orthochronous Lorentz transformation, and in particular under any rotations of the 3D space. Consequently

$$D(+\mathbf{x}, -t) \ = \ D(-\mathbf{x}, -t), \tag{60}$$

13

and therefore

$$\text{for } t < 0, \quad G_F(x) = D(-x), \tag{61}$$

in perfect agreement with eq. (15).

Altogether, eqs. (56) and (61) tell us that the Feynman's Green's function

$$G_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{ie^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} D(x-y) & \text{when } x^0 > y^0 \\ D(y-x) & \text{when } x^0 < y^0 \end{matrix} \right\} = \langle 0 | \mathbf{T}\hat{\Phi}(x)\hat{\Phi}(y) | 0 \rangle \tag{62}$$

is precisely the time-ordered correlation function of two free scalar fields.

Other Green's functions

Besides the Feynman's time-ordered Green's function, there are other useful Green's functions (of the same Klein-Gordon equation) which obtain for other choices of regularizing the poles. Of particular interest is the causal retarded Green's function

$$G_R(x-y) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\mathbf{k}} \times \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{i\,e^{-i(x^0-y^0)k_0}}{(k_0 - \omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon)(k_0 + \omega_\mathbf{k} + i\epsilon)}, \tag{63}$$

which obtains by shifting both poles below the real axis,

$$\tag{64}$$

As before, we close this contour by adding a large semicircular arc in the lower or upper half of the complex plane, depending on the sign of the time difference $t = x^0 - y^0$. In particular,

14

for $t < 0$ we close the contour above the real axis,

$$\Gamma' \;=\; \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (65)$$

which puts both poles outside the contour. Consequently, the contour integral vanishes altogether, thus

$$G_R(x - y) \;=\; 0 \quad \text{when } x^0 - y^0 < 0. \qquad (66)$$

This is why this Green's function is called *retarded:* time-wise, the point x must follow the point y, hence in the context of a source $j(y)$ and the induced field

$$\phi(x) \;=\; \int d^4y \, G_R(x - y) \times j(y), \qquad (67)$$

the source at point y affects the field $\phi(x)$ only at later times $x^0 > y^0$ than the source.

Now let's see what $G_R(x - y)$ looks like for $t = x^0 - y^0 > 0$. This time, we close the contour (64) below the real axis,

$$\Gamma \;=\; \tag{68}$$

so both poles are inside the contour. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_R(t,\omega) \;&=\; \int_\Gamma \frac{dk_0}{2\pi}\, \frac{i\,e^{-itk_0}}{(k_0 - \omega + i\epsilon)(k_0 + \omega + i\epsilon)} \\[1ex]
&=\; -2\pi i \times \text{Residue @}(k_0 = +\omega - i\epsilon) \;-\; 2\pi i \times \text{Residue @}(k_0 = -\omega - i\epsilon) \\[1ex]
&=\; \frac{-2\pi i}{2\pi} \times \left(\frac{i e^{-itk_0}}{\cancel{(k_0 - \omega + i\epsilon)} \times (k_0 + \omega + i\epsilon)} \right)_{k_0 = +\omega - i\epsilon} \\[1ex]
&\quad+\; \frac{-2\pi i}{2\pi} \times \left(\frac{i e^{-itk_0}}{(k_0 - \omega + i\epsilon) \times \cancel{(k_0 + \omega + i\epsilon)}} \right)_{k_0 = -\omega - i\epsilon} \\[1ex]
&=\; +\frac{e^{-it(\omega - i\epsilon)}}{2(\omega - i\epsilon)} \;+\; \frac{e^{-it(-\omega - i\epsilon)}}{2(-\omega - i\epsilon)} \\[1ex]
&=\; +\frac{e^{-it\omega}}{2\omega} \;-\; \frac{e^{+it\omega}}{2\omega}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}
$$

Plugging this result into the $\int d^3\mathbf{k}$ integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{For } x^0 > y^0, \quad G_R(x - y) \;&=\; \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \times \frac{e^{-it\omega_\mathbf{k}} - e^{+it\omega_\mathbf{k}}}{2\omega_\mathbf{k}} \\[1ex]
&=\; D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}; t) \;-\; D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}; -t) \\[1ex]
&=\; D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}; t) \;-\; D(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}; -t) \\[1ex]
&=\; D(x - y) \;-\; D(y - x).
\end{aligned} \tag{70}
$$

Note that the bottom line here vanishes for spacelike $(x-y)$, which makes the Green's function G_R not only retarded but also causal: it vanishes unless x lies in the future light cone from y.

16

Similar to the causal retarded Green's function $G_R(x-y)$ we can make the causal advanced Green's function $G_A(x-y)$ by shifting both poles above the real axis,

$$\text{(71)}$$

$$G_A(x-y) \;=\; \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\mathbf{k}} \times \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{i\,e^{-i(x^0-y^0)k_0}}{(k_0 - \omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon)(k_0 + \omega_\mathbf{k} - i\epsilon)} \tag{72}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } x^0 > y^0, \\ D(y-x) \;-\; D(x-y) & \text{when } x^0 < y^0. \end{cases} \tag{73}$$

This Green's function vanishes for x being later than y or at spacelike separation from y; in other words, it vanishes unless unless x is in the *past* light cone from y. That's why it's called the causal advanced Green's function.

Finally, the fourth choice of regularized poles

$$\text{(74)}$$

produce the anti-time-ordered Green's function

$$G_{AT}(x-y) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i\,e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 - i\epsilon} \;=\; \begin{cases} -D(y-x) & \text{when } x^0 > y^0, \\ -D(x-y) & \text{when } y^0 > x^0. \end{cases} \tag{75}$$

PROPAGATORS FOR NON-SCALAR FIELDS

Let me conclude these notes with a few words about propagators for the non-scalar relativistic fields — the vector fields, the tensor fields, the spinor fields, *etc.*, *etc.* For all such fields, the Feynman propagator is the time-ordered correlation function of two free fields in

the vacuum state, for example

$$G_F^{\mu\nu}(x-y) \;=\; \langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T}^* \hat{A}^\mu(x) \times \hat{A}^\nu(y) \, | 0 \rangle \tag{76}$$

for the massive vector fields (see homework set#4 for details), or

$$S_F^{\alpha\beta}(x-y) \;=\; \langle 0 | \, \mathbf{T} \hat{\overline{\Psi}}^\alpha(x) \times \hat{\Psi}^\beta(y) \, | 0 \rangle \tag{77}$$

for the Dirac spinor field $\hat{\Psi}^\beta(x)$ and its conjugate $\hat{\overline{\Psi}}^\alpha(x)$ (to be explained in future classes).

All such propagators are Green's functions of the equations of motion for the appropriate fields. For example, the free massive vector fields obey

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu}(\partial^2 + m^2) - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right) A^\nu \;=\; 0, \tag{78}$$

so the propagator is a Green's function of the differential operator here,

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu}(\partial^2 + m^2) - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right) G_F^{\nu\lambda} \;=\; -i\delta_\mu^\lambda \times \delta^{(4)}(x-y). \tag{79}$$

(The proof is part of homework set#4.) Likewise, the free Dirac spinor fields $\Psi^\alpha(x)$ obey the Dirac equation

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right)_{\alpha\beta} \Psi^\beta(x) \;=\; 0, \tag{80}$$

so the Dirac propagator is a Green's function of the Dirac equation,

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right)_{\alpha\beta} S_F^{\beta\delta}(x-y) \;=\; -i\delta_\alpha^\delta \times \delta^{(4)}(x-y). \tag{81}$$

(I shall prove this in class in a few weeks.)

Moreover, all such Green's functions involve momentum integrals over poles along both mass shells $k_0 = \pm\omega_\mathbf{k}$, and those poles must be regularized. For the Feynman propagators, the poles are *always* regularized just as we did for the scalar field, the pole at $k_0 = +\omega_\mathbf{k}$ shifts

below the real axis to $+\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - i\epsilon$ while the pole at $k_0 = -\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ shifts above the real axis to $-\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + i\epsilon$. Consequently, all the Feynman propagators have momentum-space form of

$$(\text{propagator})^{\text{indices}}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i\,e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \times \mathcal{F}^{\text{indices}}(k) \tag{82}$$

for some simple — and hopefully non-singular — function $\mathcal{F}^{\text{indices}}(k)$. For example, for the massive vector field

$$G_F^{\mu\nu}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i\,e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \times \left(-g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{m^2} \right), \tag{83}$$

while for the Dirac spinor field

$$S_F^{\alpha\beta}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i\,e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \times \left(k^\mu \gamma_\mu + m \right)^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{84}$$

In general, for any kind of a field other than a gauge field, the function $\mathcal{F}^{\text{indices}}(k)$ is simply a polynomial of k of degree $2 \times \text{Spin}$. For the gauge fields — and other massless gauge-like fields, such as the (linearized) gravitational fields or the gravitino fields — we have propagators of the form (82) with a polynomial $F(k)$ for the gauge-invariant tension fields. For example, for the EM tension fields,

$$\langle 0| \, \mathbf{T}^* \hat{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) \hat{F}^{\rho\sigma}(y) \, |0\rangle = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i\,e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \times \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(k)$$
$$\text{where } \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}(k) = k^\mu k^\rho g^{\nu\sigma} - k^\nu k^\rho g^{\mu\sigma} - k^\mu k^\sigma g^{\nu\rho} + k^\nu k^\sigma g^{\mu\rho} \tag{85}$$
$$\text{is a quadratic polynomial.}$$

But the propagators $\langle 0| \, \mathbf{T}^* \hat{A}^\mu(x) \hat{A}^\nu(y) \, |0\rangle$ of the potential fields themselves are more complicated: Although we may always write them in the form (82), but this time the function $\mathcal{F}^{\mu,\nu}(k)$ is non-polynomial. Worse, it's specific form depends on a particular gauge-fixing condition for the potentials $\hat{A}^\mu(x)$.

I shall explain the EM propagator in detail later in class, probably in November. Meanwhile, you may read my notes on QED (pages 1–8).