

1. First, a reading assignment: [my notes on the saddle point method and on Airy functions](#).
2. In class I argued that for the highly-excited bound states of a potential well, the WKB approximation for the wave functions should become accurate,

$$\Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(x) \rightarrow \Psi_n^{\text{true}}(x) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

In this problem, we shall verify this rule for the harmonic oscillator.

- (a) Write down the WKB approximation for the oscillator's eigenstates $\Psi_n(x)$. Don't bother with the overall normalization of the $\Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(x)$ wave-functions, but please describe them in both classically-allowed and classically-forbidden regions of space.

For future convenience, rescale the coordinate x to

$$y = \frac{x}{\text{classical oscillation amplitude}} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{(2n+1)\hbar}} \times x, \quad (2)$$

so the classical turning points are at $y = \pm 1$.

Back in [homework set #2](#) (problem #3), you (should have) proved a Lemma about the exact wave functions of the harmonic oscillator:

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(x) = \text{const} \times \exp\left(+\frac{m\omega x^2}{2\hbar}\right) \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk k^n \times \exp\left(ikx - \frac{\hbar k^2}{4m\omega}\right). \quad (3)$$

- (b) Rescale x and k variables and rewrite eq. (3) as

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(y) = \text{const} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq f(q) \times \exp((2n+1)g(q, y)) \quad (4)$$

for some n -independent analytic functions $f(q)$ and $g(q, y)$.

- (c) Now take the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the integral (4) using the saddle-point method explained in [my notes on the subject](#). Show that for both classically allowed region $-1 < y < +1$ and for the classically forbidden regions $y < -1$ or $y > +1$,

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(y) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(y). \quad (5)$$

Hints: The exponent $g(q)$ in the integral (4) has two complex saddle points. For $-1 < y < +1$, both of these saddle point contribute to the large n limit of the integral. But for $y > +1$ or $y < -1$, only one saddle point contributes and the other does not. To find which saddle point is which, check the directions of complex contours that traverse that point as a mountain highway crosses a pass, up from a valley and then down to another valley; if a direction parallel to real axis is bad, this saddle point does not contribute.

3. Finally, a simple exercise of the (corrected) Bohr–Sommerfeld quantization rule: for a bound state, the action over one full period must be a half-integral multiple of the Planck constant $2\pi\hbar$,

$$\oint p(t) dx(t) = 2\pi\hbar \times (n + \frac{1}{2}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (6)$$

Consider the radial motion of an electron in a hydrogen-like atom or ion. Between the Coulomb potential and the centrifugal potential due to angular momentum, the net effective potential for the radial motion is

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{Ze^2}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2mr^2}. \quad (7)$$

- (a) Find the classical turning points r_1 and r_2 for a bound state with $E < 0$.
 (b) Calculate the classical action for one full period of the radial motion.

Mathematical hint:

$$\int_A^B dx \frac{\sqrt{(B-x)(x-A)}}{x} = \frac{\pi}{2}(A+B-2\sqrt{AB}). \quad (8)$$

- (c) Apply the Bohr–Sommerfeld rule (6) and show that it yields the correct bound state energies provided we approximate

$$\mathbf{L}^2 = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1) \approx \hbar^2 (\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2. \quad (9)$$