- 1. First, a reading assignment: my notes on the saddle point method and on Airy functions.
- 2. In class I argued that the WKB approximation for the bound states' wave-functions should become accurate for the highly-excited bound states,

$$\Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(x) \to \Psi_n^{\text{true}}(x) \quad \text{for } n \to \infty.$$
(1)

In this problem, we shall verify this rule for the harmonic oscillator.

(a) Write down the WKB approximation for the oscillator's eigenstates $\Psi_n(x)$. Don't bother with the overall normalization of the $\Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(x)$ wave-functions, but please describe them in both classically-allowed and classically-forbidden regions of space.

For future convenience, rescale the coordinate x to

$$y = \frac{x}{\text{classical oscillation amplitude}} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{(2n+1)\hbar}} \times x,$$
 (2)

so the classical turning points are at $y = \pm 1$.

Back in homework set#2 (problem#3), you (should have) proved a Lemma about the exact wave functions of the harmonic oscillator:

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(x) = \text{const} \times \exp\left(+\frac{m\omega x^2}{2\hbar}\right) \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \, k^n \times \exp\left(ikx - \frac{\hbar k^2}{4m\omega}\right).$$
(3)

(b) Rescale x and k variables and rewrite eq. (3) as

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(y) = \operatorname{const} \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq \, f(q) \times \exp\left((2n+1)g(q,y)\right) \tag{4}$$

for some *n*-independent analytic functions f(q) and g(q, y). Hint: $q^n = q^{-1/2} \times \exp\left((2n+1) \times \frac{1}{2}\log q\right)$. (c) Now take the $n \to \infty$ limit of the integral (4) using the saddle-point method explained in my notes on the subject. Show that for all regions of y — the classically allowed -1 < y < +1 and the classically forbidden y > +1 or y < -1, — we have

$$\Psi_n^{\text{true}}(y) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \Psi_n^{\text{WKB}}(y).$$
(5)

Hints: The exponent g(q) in the integral (4) has two complex saddle points. For -1 < y < +1, both of these saddle point contribute to the large n limit of the integral. But for y > +1 or y < -1, only one saddle point contributes and the other does not. To find which saddle point is which, check the directions of the complex contours that traverse that point as a mountain highway crosses a pass, up from a valley and then down to another valley; if a direction parallel to real axis is bad, this saddle point does not contribute.

3. Finally, a simple exercise of the (corrected) Bohr–Sommerfeld quantization rule: for a bound state, the action over one full period of motion must be a half-integral multiple of the Planck constant $2\pi\hbar$,

$$@E = E_n: \quad \oint p(t) \, dx(t) = 2\pi\hbar \times (n + \frac{1}{2}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(6)

Consider the radial motion of an electron in a hydrogen-like atom or ion. Between the Coulomb potential and the centrifugal potential due to angular momentum, the net effective potential for the radial motion is

$$V_{\rm eff}(r) = -\frac{Ze^2}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2mr^2}.$$
 (7)

- (a) Find the classical turning points r_1 and r_2 for a bound state with E < 0.
- (b) Calculate the classical action for one full period of the radial motion. Mathematical hint:

$$\int_{A}^{B} dx \, \frac{\sqrt{(B-x)(x-A)}}{x} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(A + B - 2\sqrt{AB} \right). \tag{8}$$

(c) Apply the Bohr–Sommerfeld rule (6) and show that it yields the correct bound state energies E_n , provided we approximate

$$\mathbf{L}^{2} = \hbar^{2} \ell(\ell+1) \approx \hbar^{2} (\ell+\frac{1}{2})^{2}.$$
 (9)