

Problem 1(a):

In the [previous homework#7](#), problem (5.b-c), we saw that

$$u(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad v(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.1})$$

where  $\xi_s$  and  $\eta_s$  are 2-component  $SU(2)$  spinors normalized to

$$\xi_s^\dagger \xi_{s'} = \eta_s^\dagger \eta_{s'} = \delta_{s,s'}, \quad \eta_s = \sigma_2 \xi_s^*. \quad (\text{S.2})$$

Before we check eqs. (2), let's check the normalization (1) conditions for the spinors (S.1):

$$\begin{aligned} u^\dagger(p, s)u(p, s') &= \xi_s^\dagger \left( (\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \right) \xi_{s'} = \xi_s^\dagger (2E) \xi_{s'} = 2E \delta_{s,s'}, \\ v^\dagger(p, s)v(p, s') &= \eta_s^\dagger \left( (\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (-\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \right) \eta_{s'} = \eta_s^\dagger (+2E) \eta_{s'} = 2E \delta_{s,s'}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.3})$$

because

$$(\pm\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (\pm'\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 = (E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 2E. \quad (\text{S.4})$$

And now that we have verified that the spinors (S.1) are properly normalized, let's consider the Lorentz invariant products  $\bar{u}u$  and  $\bar{v}v$ . For the  $u(p, s)$  and  $v(p, s)$  as in eqs. (S.1), the  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{v}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(p, s) &= u^\dagger(p, s)\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}), \\ \bar{v}(p, s) &= v^\dagger(p, s)\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad +\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.5})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}(p, s) u(p, s') &= \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \xi_{s'} \\
&\quad + \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \xi_{s'} \\
&= 2m \times \xi_s^\dagger \xi_{s'} = 2m \delta_{s, s'}
\end{aligned} \tag{S.6}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} &= \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\
&= \sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})^2} = \sqrt{E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2} = m.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.7}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{v}(p, s) v(p, s') &= -\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \eta_{s'} \\
&\quad - \eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \eta_{s'} \\
&= -2m \times \eta_s^\dagger \eta_{s'} = -2m \delta_{s, s'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.8}$$

Problem 1(b):

In matrix notations (column  $\times$  row = matrix), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u(p, s) \times \bar{u}(p, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix} \times \left( \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{S.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v(p, s) \times \bar{v}(p, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \times \left( -\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, +\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.10}$$

Summing over two spin polarizations replaces  $\xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger$  with  $\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger = \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$  and likewise

$\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger$  with  $\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger = \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_s u(p, s) \times \bar{u}(p, s) &= \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} m & E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} & m \end{pmatrix} = m \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} + E \times \gamma^0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \\
&= \not{p} + m. \tag{S.11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_s v(p, s) \times \bar{v}(p, s) &= \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[ \sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -m & E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} & -m \end{pmatrix} = -m \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} + E \times \gamma^0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \\
&= \not{p} - m. \tag{S.12}
\end{aligned}$$

*Quod erat demonstrandum.*

Problem 2(a):

The  $\gamma^0$  matrix commutes with itself but anticommutes with the space-indexed  $\gamma^{1,2,3}$ . At the same time, the parity reflects the space coordinates but not the time coordinate,  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}' = -\mathbf{x}$  but  $t \rightarrow t' = +t$ , hence the new space and time derivatives are related to the old derivatives as  $\nabla' = -\nabla$  but  $\partial'_0 = +\partial$ . Together, these two facts give us

$$\not{p}' \times \gamma^0 = (\gamma^0 \partial'_0 + \vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla') \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 (\gamma^0 \partial'_0 - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla') = \gamma^0 (+\gamma^0 \partial_0 + \vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla) = \gamma^0 \times \not{p} \tag{S.13}$$

and hence

$$(i \not{\partial}' - m) \times \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \times (i \not{\partial} - m). \quad (\text{S.14})$$

Combining this formula with eq. (10) for the Dirac field, we find

$$(i \not{\partial}' - m)' \Psi'(x') = (i \not{\partial}' - m)(\pm \gamma^0 \Psi(x)) = \pm (i \not{\partial}' - m) \gamma^0 \Psi(x) = \pm \gamma^0 (i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi(x) \quad (\text{S.15})$$

— the  $(i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi(x)$  transforms under parity precisely like the  $\Psi(x)$  field itself. In other words, the Dirac equation transforms *covariantly*.

Now consider the Dirac Lagrangian. Taking the Hermitian conjugate of eq. (10) we find

$$\Psi'^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{x}, t) = \pm \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t) \gamma^{0\dagger} = \pm \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, t) \gamma^0 \quad (\text{S.16})$$

and hence

$$\bar{\Psi}'(-\mathbf{x}, t) = \pm \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \gamma^0. \quad (\text{S.17})$$

Consequently, the Dirac Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi$  transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x') &= \bar{\Psi}'(x') \times (i \not{\partial}' - m)' \Psi'(x') \\ &= \pm \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma^0 \times \pm \gamma^0 (i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi(x) \\ &= + \bar{\Psi}(x) \times (i \not{\partial} - m) \Psi(x) \\ &= \mathcal{L}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.18})$$

In other words, the Dirac Lagrangian is invariant modulo  $x \rightarrow x' = (-\mathbf{x}, +t)$ , and the Dirac action  $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$  is invariant.

Problem 2(b):

The linear momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  is a polar vector while the angular momentum — orbital, or spin, or whatever — is an axial vector. Therefore, when the parity symmetry acts on a particle state with momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  and spin  $s$ , it reverses  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}$  but leaves the spin state as it is

$s \rightarrow +s$ . The same rules apply to the plane waves of definite momentum and spin, hence for the Dirac spinors (S.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} : u(\mathbf{p}, s) \rightarrow u(-\mathbf{p}, +s) &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \\ +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \gamma^0 \times u(\mathbf{p}, s),
\end{aligned} \tag{S.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} : v(\mathbf{p}, s) \rightarrow v(-\mathbf{p}, +s) &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \\ -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & -\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -\gamma^0 \times v(\mathbf{p}, s),
\end{aligned} \tag{S.20}$$

*quod erat demonstrandum.*

Problem 2(c):

Let's apply parity to the quantum Dirac field

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \left( e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}} + i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}} \times u(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}, s} + e^{+itE_{\mathbf{p}} - i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}} \times v(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}, s}^\dagger \right). \tag{S.21}$$

Since everything besides the  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}, s}$  and  $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}, s}^\dagger$  operators in this expansion is a c-number, sandwiching the field between two parity operators gives us

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \widehat{\mathbf{P}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}} + i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}} \times u(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}, s} \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \\ + e^{+itE_{\mathbf{p}} - i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}} \times v(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p}, s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \end{array} \right). \tag{S.22}$$

At the same time, this expansion should match the the right hand side of eq. (5), for which

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm\gamma^0\widehat{\Psi}(-\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \pm e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}-i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times \gamma^0 u(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s} \\ \pm e^{+itE_{\mathbf{p}}+i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times \gamma^0 v(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \\
\langle\langle \text{using part (b)} \rangle\rangle & \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \pm e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}-i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times u(-\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s} \\ \mp e^{+itE_{\mathbf{p}}+i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times v(-\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.23}) \\
\langle\langle \text{changing } \int \text{ variable } \mathbf{p} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p} \rangle\rangle & \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_s \begin{pmatrix} \pm e^{-itE_{\mathbf{p}}+i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times u(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p},s} \\ \mp e^{+itE_{\mathbf{p}}-i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \times v(\mathbf{p}, s) \times \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

By eq. (5), the right hand sides of eqs. (S.22) and (S.23) must be equal to each other. Since the Dirac plane waves  $e^{-ipx}u(p, s)$  and  $e^{+ipx}v(p, s)$  are linearly independent from each other, this means

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s} \widehat{\mathbf{P}} = \pm \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p},s} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} = \mp \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger. \quad (7a)$$

The rest of eq. (7) follows by hermitian conjugation: Since  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^\dagger = \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{-1} = \widehat{\mathbf{P}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} &= \left( \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s} \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \right)^\dagger = \pm \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger, \\
\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s} \widehat{\mathbf{P}} &= \left( \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \right)^\dagger = \mp \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p},s}.
\end{aligned} \quad (7b)$$

Finally, eqs. (8) follow from eqs. (7) and from parity-invariance of the vacuum state,  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}|F(\mathbf{p}, s)\rangle &= \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger |0\rangle = \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}|0\rangle \\
&= \pm \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{p},+s}^\dagger \times |0\rangle = \pm |F(-\mathbf{p}, +s)\rangle, \quad (\text{S.24})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}|\overline{F}(\mathbf{p}, s)\rangle &= \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger |0\rangle = \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{p},s}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}|0\rangle \\
&= \mp \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{p},+s}^\dagger \times |0\rangle = \mp |\overline{F}(-\mathbf{p}, +s)\rangle. \quad (\text{S.25})
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3(a):

Consider a state  $\hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle$  of one fermion and one antifermion with definite reduced momentum and spins. The charge conjugation operator  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$  turns this state into

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \times \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle &= \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \times |0\rangle \\ &= \hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1) \times \hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle \\ &= -\hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2) \times \hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)|0\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{S.26}$$

Let's plug this formula into eq. (9):

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \times |B(\mathbf{p}_{\text{tot}} = 0)\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \times \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \times -\hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)\hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)|0\rangle \\ &\quad \langle\langle \text{change variables } \mathbf{p}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}} \text{ and } s_1 \leftrightarrow s_2 \rangle\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2, s_1) \times \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{S.27}$$

In terms of the bound state's wave function  $\psi$ , this action of the C-parity operator  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$  is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) = -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2, s_1).\tag{S.28}$$

For a bound state with a definite orbital angular momentum  $L$ ,

$$\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) = (-1)^L \times \psi(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2).\tag{S.29}$$

Likewise, for a bound state with a definite net spin  $S$ ,

$$\psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2, s_1) = (-1)^{1-S} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2).\tag{S.30}$$

Plugging these two formulae into eq. (S.28) for the C-parity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}\psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) &= -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2, s_1) \\
&= -(-1)^L\psi(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2, s_1) \\
&= -(-1)^L(-1)^{1-S}\psi(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.31}$$

In other words, the bound state has definite C-parity

$$C = -(-1)^L(-1)^{1-S} = (-1)^L \times (-1)^S, \tag{S.32}$$

*Quod erat demonstrandum.*

Problem 3(b):

Now consider how the P-parity (reflection of space) acts on the one-fermion+one-antifermions state  $\hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle &= \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times |0\rangle \\
&= (\pm 1)\hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1) \times (\mp 1)\hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle \\
&= -\hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.33}$$

where the overall  $-$  sign comes from the opposite intrinsic parities of the fermion and the antifermion. Again, we plug this formula into eq. (9) and then change the integration variable  $\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}$  — but this time we do not swap the spins  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \times |B(\mathbf{p}_{\text{tot}}) = 0\rangle &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}} \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \times -\hat{a}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle \\
&= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s_1, s_2} -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \times \hat{a}^\dagger(+\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1)\hat{b}^\dagger(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_2)|0\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{S.34}$$

In terms of the wave-function  $\psi$ , this action of the P-parity operator means

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}} \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) = -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2). \tag{S.35}$$



For a bound state with a definite angular momentum, this gives us

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}\psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) = -\psi(-\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) = -(-1)^L \times \psi(\mathbf{p}_{\text{red}}, s_1, s_2) \quad (\text{S.36})$$

and hence definite P-parity

$$P = -(-1)^L, \quad (\text{S.37})$$

*Quod erat demonstrandum.*

Problem 3(c):

Finally, consider the positronium atom decaying into photons. Since the EM interactions respect the charge conjugation symmetry, the EM processes such as  $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow$  photons conserve C-parity. A photon of any momentum or polarization has  $C = -1$ , so the net C-parity of an  $n$ -photon final state is  $(-1)^n$ . Consequently, if the initial electron and positron are in a bound state with  $C = +1$  they must annihilate into an even number of photons,  $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow 2\gamma, 4\gamma, 6\gamma, \dots$ . But if the bound state has  $C = -1$ , the electron and the positron must annihilate into an odd number of photons,  $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow 3\gamma, 5\gamma, \dots$  (Annihilation into a single photon is forbidden because of  $\mathbf{p}_{\text{net}}^2 < E_{\text{net}}^2$ .)

The ground state of a hydrogen-like positronium ‘atom’ is 1S, meaning  $n_{\text{rad}} = 1$  and  $L = 0$ . Due to spins, there are actually 4 almost-degenerate 1S states; the hyperfine structure splits them into a 1S<sub>3</sub> triplet and a 1S<sub>1</sub> singlet of the net spin. According to eq. (S.32), the triplet states have  $C = (-1)^L(-1)^S = (-1)^0(-1)^1 = -1$  while the singlet state has  $C = (-1)^L(-1)^S = (-1)^0(-1)^0 = +1$ . Consequently, the singlet  $S = 0$  state decays into an even number of photons,

$$(e^- + e^+)@1S_1 \rightarrow 2\gamma, 4\gamma, \dots, \quad (\text{S.38})$$

while the triplet  $S = 1$  states decay into odd numbers of photons,

$$(e^- + e^+)@1S_3 \rightarrow 3\gamma, 5\gamma, \dots \quad (\text{S.39})$$

This difference affects the net decay rate of each state because QED (Quantum ElectroDynamics) has a rather small coupling constant  $\alpha = (e^2/4\pi) \approx 1/137$ . For each photon in the

final state, the decay amplitude carries a factor of  $e$ , so the decay rate of a positronium atom into  $n$  photons  $\Gamma(e^-e^+ \rightarrow n\gamma)$  is  $O(\alpha^n)$ . Consequently, the  $S = 0$  positronium state usually decays into just 2 photons while decays into 4, 6, or more photons are allowed but much less common. Likewise, the  $S = 1$  positronium states usually decays into 3 photons while decays into 5 or more photons are allowed but rare. More over, the decay rate into 3 photons is much slower than the decay rate into just 2 photons,

$$\frac{\Gamma((e^- + e^+)@1S_3 \rightarrow 3\gamma)}{\Gamma((e^- + e^+)@1S_1 \rightarrow 2\gamma)} = \frac{O(\alpha^3)}{O(\alpha^2)} = O(\alpha), \quad (\text{S.40})$$

hence the net decay rate of an  $S = 1$  state into anything it can decay to — *i.e.*, into any odd number of photons — is much slower then the net decay rate of the  $S = 0$  state,

$$\frac{\Gamma((e^- + e^+)@1S_3 \rightarrow \text{anything})}{\Gamma((e^- + e^+)@1S_1 \rightarrow \text{anything})} = O(\alpha) \ll 1. \quad (\text{S.41})$$

And that's why the  $S = 1$  states have much longer lifetimes than the  $S = 0$  state.

#### Problem 4(a):

Despite anticommutativity of the fermionic fields, the Hermitian conjugation of an operator product reverses the order of operators without any extra sign factors, thus  $(\Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\beta)^\dagger = +\Psi_\beta^\dagger \Psi_\alpha$ . Consequently, for any  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $\Gamma$ ,  $(\Psi^\dagger \Gamma \Psi)^\dagger = +\Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\dagger \Psi$ , and hence  $(\bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi)^\dagger = \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Gamma} \Psi$  where  $\bar{\Gamma} = \gamma^0 \Gamma^\dagger \gamma^0$  is the Dirac conjugate of  $\Gamma$ .

Now consider the 16 matrices which appear in the bilinears (10). Obviously  $\bar{1} = +1$  and this gives us  $S^\dagger = +S$ . We saw in class that  $\overline{\gamma^\mu} = +\gamma^\mu$  for all  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$  (*cf. my notes on Dirac spinor fields*), and this gives us  $(V^\mu)^\dagger = +V^\mu$ . We also saw that  $\overline{\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}} = -\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\nu}\gamma^{\mu]} = +\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$ , and this gives us  $(T^{\mu\nu})^\dagger = +T^{\mu\nu}$ . As to the  $\gamma^5$  matrix, we saw in the [last homework#7](#) (problem 3(d-e)) that it's Hermitian and anticommutes with all the  $\gamma^\mu$ . Hence  $\overline{\gamma^5} = \gamma^0(\gamma^5)^\dagger\gamma^0 = +\gamma^0\gamma^5\gamma^0 = -\gamma^5 \implies \overline{i\gamma^5} = +i\gamma^5$ , which gives us  $P^\dagger = +P$ . Finally,  $\overline{\gamma^5\gamma^\mu} = \overline{\gamma^\mu}\overline{\gamma^5} = -\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 = +\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$ , which gives us  $(A^\mu)^\dagger = +A^\mu$ . Thus, by inspection, all the bilinears (10) are Hermitian. *Quod erat demonstrandum.*

Problem 4(b):

Under a continuous Lorentz symmetry  $x \mapsto x' = Lx$ , the Dirac spinor field and its conjugate transform according to

$$\Psi'(x') = M(L)\Psi(x = L^{-1}x'), \quad \bar{\Psi}'(x') = \bar{\Psi}(x = L^{-1}x')M^{-1}(L), \quad (\text{S.42})$$

hence any bilinear  $\bar{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi$  transforms according to

$$\bar{\Psi}'(x')\Gamma\Psi'(x') = \bar{\Psi}(x)\Gamma'\Psi(x) \quad (\text{S.43})$$

where

$$\Gamma' = M^{-1}(L)\Gamma M(L). \quad (\text{S.44})$$

So the Lorentz transformation properties of the Dirac bilinears (10) follow from this transformation rule for the 16  $\Gamma$  matrices in question.

Obviously for  $\Gamma = 1$ ,  $\Gamma' = M^{-1}M = 1$ , which makes  $S$  a Lorentz scalar.

For  $\Gamma = \gamma^\mu$ , we saw in class that  $\Gamma' = M^{-1}\gamma^\mu M = L^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu$  — see [my notes on Dirac spinors](#), eq. (22). Consequently  $V'^\mu = L^\mu_\nu V^\nu$ , which makes  $V^\mu$  a Lorentz vector.

For  $\Gamma = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu$ ,  $M^{-1}\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu M = (M^{-1}\gamma^\mu M)(M^{-1}\gamma^\nu M) = L^\mu_\kappa \gamma^\kappa \times L^\nu_\lambda \gamma^\lambda$ . Similar transformation works for  $\Gamma = \frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$ :  $\Gamma' = L^\mu_\kappa L^\nu_\lambda \times \frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\kappa}\gamma^{\lambda]}$ . This makes  $T^{\mu\nu}$  a Lorentz tensor (with two antisymmetric indices).

Next, the  $\gamma^5$  commutes with even products of the  $\gamma^\mu$  matrices and hence with  $M(L) = \exp(\frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu)$ . Consequently,  $M^{-1}\gamma^5 M = \gamma^5$ , which makes  $P$  a Lorentz scalar.

Finally,  $M^{-1}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^5)M = (M^{-1}\gamma^\mu M)\gamma^5 = L^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5$ , which makes  $A^\mu$  a Lorentz vector.

Problem 4(c):

In problem (2) we saw that the Dirac fields transform under parity as

$$\Psi'(x') = \pm\gamma^0\Psi(x), \quad \bar{\Psi}'(x') = \pm\bar{\Psi}(x)\gamma^0. \quad (\text{S.45})$$

Consequently, the Dirac bilinears transform as

$$\mathcal{P} : \bar{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi \Big|_x \mapsto \bar{\Psi}'\Gamma\Psi' \Big|_{x'} = \bar{\Psi}(\gamma^0\Gamma\gamma^0)\Psi \Big|_x. \quad (\text{S.46})$$

By inspection, out of 16 possible  $\Gamma$  matrices,  $1$ ,  $\gamma^0$ ,  $\gamma^{[i}\gamma^{j]}$ , and  $\gamma^5\gamma^i$  commute with the  $\gamma^0$ , while  $\gamma^i$ ,  $\gamma^0\gamma^i$ ,  $\gamma^5\gamma^0$ , and  $\gamma^5$  anticommute with the  $\gamma^0$ . Therefore,

- the bilinears  $S$ ,  $V^0$ ,  $T^{ij}$ , and  $A^i$  are P-even, *i.e.* remain invariant under parity, while
- the bilinears  $V^i$ ,  $T^{0i}$ ,  $A^0$ , and  $P$  are P-odd — the parity flips their signs.

From the 3D point of view, this means that  $S$  and  $V^0$  are true scalars,  $P$  and  $A^0$  are pseudo-scalars,  $\mathbf{V}$  is a true or polar vector,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a pseudo-vector or axial vector, and the tensor  $T$  contains one true vector  $T^{0i}$  and one axial vector  $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}T^{jk}$ . In space-time terms, we call  $S$  a true (Lorentz) scalar,  $P$  a (Lorentz) pseudoscalar,  $V^\mu$  a true (Lorentz) vector, and  $A^\mu$  an axial (Lorentz) vector. Finally, the tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is a true Lorentz tensor. However, a physically equivalent tensor  $\tilde{T}^{\kappa\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$  — for which  $\tilde{T}^{0i} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}T^{jk}$  is an axial 3-vector while  $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\tilde{T}^{jk} = +T^{0i}$  is a polar 3-vector — is a Lorentz pseudo-tensor.

Problem 4(d):

In class we saw that in the Weyl convention, the charge conjugation symmetry acts on Dirac fields as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} : \Psi(x) &\rightarrow \Psi'(x) = \gamma^2\Psi^*(x) = \gamma^2(\Psi^\dagger(x))^\top, \\ \mathbf{C} : \bar{\Psi}(x) &\rightarrow \bar{\Psi}'(x) = \bar{\Psi}^*(x)\gamma^2 = \Psi^\top(x)\gamma^0\gamma^2 = -\Psi^\top(x)\gamma^2\gamma^0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.47})$$

Consequently, for any Dirac bilinear  $\bar{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi$ ,

$$\bar{\Psi}'\Gamma\Psi' = -\Psi^\top\gamma^2\gamma^0\Gamma\gamma^2(\Psi^\dagger)^\top = +\Psi^\dagger(\gamma^2\gamma^0\Gamma\gamma^2)^\top\Psi = +\bar{\Psi}\gamma^0\gamma^2\Gamma^\top\gamma^0\gamma^2\Psi \equiv \bar{\Psi}\Gamma^c\Psi. \quad (\text{S.48})$$

The second equality here follows by transposition of the Dirac “sandwich”  $\Psi^\top \dots (\Psi^\dagger)^\top$ , which carries an extra minus sign because the fermionic fields  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi^*$  anticommute with

each other (in the classical limit). The third equality follows from  $(\gamma^0)^\top = +\gamma^0$ ,  $(\gamma^2)^\top = +\gamma^2$ , and  $\Psi^\dagger = \bar{\Psi}\gamma^0$ .

Problem 4(e):

By inspection,  $\mathbf{1}^c \equiv \gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^0\gamma^2 = +\mathbf{1}$ . The  $\gamma_5$  matrix is symmetric and commutes with the  $\gamma^0\gamma^2$ , hence  $\gamma_5^c = +\gamma_5$ . Among the four  $\gamma_\mu$  matrices, the  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_3$  are anti-symmetric and commute with the  $\gamma^0\gamma^2$  while the  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_2$  are symmetric but anti-commute with the  $\gamma^0\gamma^2$ ; hence, for all four  $\gamma_\mu$ ,  $\gamma_\mu^c = -\gamma_\mu$ . Finally, because of the transposition involved,  $(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu)^c = \gamma_\nu^c\gamma_\mu^c = +\gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu$ , hence  $(\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]})^c = +\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\nu}\gamma^{\mu]} = -\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$ . Likewise,  $(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu)^c = (\gamma^\mu)^c(\gamma^5)^c = -\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 = +\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$ .

Therefore, according to eq. (S.48), the scalar  $S$ , the pseudoscalar  $P$ , and the axial vector  $A_\mu$  are C-even, while the vector  $V_\mu$  and the tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  are C-odd.