

Problem 1(a):

Suppose the γ^μ matrices obey the anticommutation relations (1). Then:

$$\beta^2 = \gamma^0 \gamma^0 = g^{00} = +1, \quad (\text{S.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta, \alpha^i\} &= \{\gamma^0, \gamma^0 \gamma^i\} = \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^i + \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 \\ &= \gamma^0 \times \{\gamma^0, \gamma^i\} = \gamma^0 \times 0 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.2})$$

$$\alpha^i \alpha^j = \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^j = -\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^j = -\gamma^i \gamma^j, \quad (\text{S.3})$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\{\alpha^i, \alpha^j\} = -\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = -2g^{ij} = +2\delta^{ij}, \quad (\text{S.4})$$

quod erat demonstrandum.

Conversely, suppose $\beta^2 = 1$, $\{\beta, \alpha^i\} = 0$, and $\{\alpha^i, \alpha^j\} = +2\delta^{ij}$, and let us *define* $\gamma^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta$ and $\gamma^i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta \alpha^i$. In this case:

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = \beta \beta = +1 = g^{00}, \quad (\text{S.5})$$

$$\{\gamma^0, \gamma^i\} = \{\beta, \beta \alpha^i\} = \beta \times \{\beta, \alpha^i\} = \beta \times 0 = 0 = 2g^{0i}, \quad (\text{S.6})$$

$$\gamma^i \gamma^j = \beta \alpha^i \beta \alpha^j = -\beta \beta \alpha^i \alpha^j = -\alpha^i \alpha^j, \quad (\text{S.7})$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = -\{\alpha^i, \alpha^j\} = -2\delta^{ij} = +2g^{ij}, \quad (\text{S.8})$$

and therefore

$$\forall \mu, \nu : \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

Quod erat demonstrandum.

Problem 1(b):

$$\gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta \} g_{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = 4; \quad (\text{S.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha &= (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu = 2g^{\nu\alpha} - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha) \gamma_\alpha \\ &= 2\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu (\gamma^\alpha \gamma_\alpha = 4) = -2\gamma^\nu; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.10})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha &= (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\alpha} - \gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha) \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \\ &= 2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha = -2\gamma^\nu) \\ &= 2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu + 2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = 4g^{\mu\nu}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha &= (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\lambda = 2g^{\mu\alpha} - \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\alpha) \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha \\ &= 2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda - \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha = 4g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= (2\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - 4g^{\mu\nu} = -2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \gamma^\lambda \\ &= -2\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.12})$$

Problem 1(c):

First, a Lemma: for any Lorentz vector a^μ , the $\not{a} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma^\mu a_\mu$ matrix squares to

$$\not{a} \not{a} = \gamma^\mu a_\mu \gamma^\nu a_\nu = a_\mu a_\nu \times (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} - 2iS^{\mu\nu}) = a^2 - i[a_\mu, a_\nu] \times S^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{S.13})$$

(where the last equality comes from $S^{\mu\nu} = -S^{\nu\mu}$.) For vectors a^μ whose components commute with each other, this formula simplifies to $\not{a}^2 = a^2$, in particular for the ordinary derivatives ∂^μ , $\not{\partial}^2 = \partial^2$. However, the covariant derivatives D_μ do not commute with each other. Instead, $[D_\mu, D_\nu] = iqF_{\mu\nu}(x)$ where q is the electric charge of the field on which D_μ act; for the electron field $\Psi(x)$, $q = -e$ and hence $[D_\mu, D_\nu]\Psi(x) = -ieF_{\mu\nu}(x)\Psi(x)$. Hence, according to the lemma (S.13),

$$\not{D}^2 \Psi = D^2 \Psi - eF_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} \Psi. \quad (\text{S.14})$$

Now, suppose the electron field $\Psi(x)$ satisfies the covariant Dirac equation $(i\not{D} - m)\Psi = 0$.

Then for any differential operator $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D} \times (i\not{D} - m)\Psi = 0$, and in particular

$$(-i\not{D} - m) \times (i\not{D} - m)\Psi = 0. \quad (\text{S.15})$$

The LHS of this formula amounts to

$$(-i\not{D} - m) \times (i\not{D} - m)\Psi = (\not{D}^2 + m^2)\Psi = (D^2 - eF_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu} + m^2)\Psi, \quad (\text{S.16})$$

which immediately leads to eq. (2).

Problem 1(d):

The anti-commutation relations (1) imply $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \pm\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu$ where the sign is ‘+’ for $\mu = \nu$ and ‘-’ otherwise. Hence for any product Γ of the γ matrices, $\gamma^\mu\Gamma = (-1)^n\Gamma\gamma^\mu$, where n is the number of $\gamma^{\nu \neq \mu}$ factors of Γ . For the $\Gamma = \gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$, $n = 3$ for any $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, hence $\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 = -\gamma^5\gamma^\mu$.

As to the spin matrices, $\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = -\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\gamma^\nu = +\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^5$ and therefore $\gamma^5S^{\mu\nu} = +S^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5$.

Problem 1(e):

First, the hermiticity:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3)^\dagger &= -i(\gamma^3)^\dagger(\gamma^2)^\dagger(\gamma^1)^\dagger(\gamma^0)^\dagger = -i(-\gamma^3)(-\gamma^2)(-\gamma^1)(+\gamma^0) \\ &= +i\gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^0 = +i(\gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1) \times \gamma^0 = +i(-1)^3\gamma^0 \times \gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1 \\ &= +i(-1)^3\gamma^0 \times (-1)^2\gamma^1 \times \gamma^3\gamma^2 = +i(-1)^3\gamma^0 \times (-1)^2\gamma^1 \times (-1)\gamma^2\gamma^3 \\ &= (-1)^6 \times (+i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3) \equiv +1 \times \gamma^5. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.17})$$

Second, the square:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^5)^2 &= \gamma^5(\gamma^5)^\dagger = (i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3)(i\gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^0) = -\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2(\gamma^3\gamma^3)\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^0 \\ &= +\gamma^0\gamma^1(\gamma^2\gamma^2)\gamma^1\gamma^0 = -\gamma^0(\gamma^1\gamma^1)\gamma^0 = +\gamma^0\gamma^0 = +1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.18})$$

Problem 1(f):

Since the four Dirac matrices $\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3$ all anticommute with each other, we have

$$\epsilon_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}\gamma^\kappa\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \gamma^{[0}\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3] = 24\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -24i\gamma^5. \quad (\text{S.19})$$

To prove the other identity, we note that a totally antisymmetric product $\gamma^{[\kappa}\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu]$ vanishes unless the Lorentz indices $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu$ are all distinct — which makes them 0, 1, 2, 3 in some order. For such indices, the anticommutativity of the Dirac matrices implies $\gamma^\kappa\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = -\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \times \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ (note that $\epsilon^{0123} = -1$), and hence

$$\gamma^{[\kappa}\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] = -24\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \times \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = +24i\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \times \gamma^5. \quad (\text{S.20})$$

Problem 1(g):

$$\begin{aligned} 6i\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}\gamma_\kappa\gamma^5 &= \frac{6}{24}\gamma_\kappa\gamma^{[\kappa}\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\gamma_\kappa\left(\gamma^\kappa\gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] - \gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\kappa\gamma^{(\mu}\gamma^{\nu]} + \gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\kappa\gamma^{(\nu]} - \gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\kappa]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(4\gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] + 2\gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] + 4g^{[\lambda\mu}\gamma^{\nu]} + 2\gamma^{[\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4 + 2 + 0 - 2) \times \gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] = \gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.21})$$

Problem 1(h):

Proof by inspection: In the Weyl basis and in the 2×2 block form, the 16 matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{[i}\gamma^{j]} &= -i\epsilon^{ijk}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{[0}\gamma^{i]} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & +\sigma^i \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma^5\gamma^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{1} \\ +\mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & +\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.22})$$

and their linear independence is self-evident. Since there are only 16 independent 4×4 matrices altogether, any such matrix Γ is a linear combination of the matrices (S.22). *Quod erat demonstrandum.*

Algebraic Proof: Without making any assumption about the matrix form of the γ^μ operators, let us consider the Clifford algebra (1). Using $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \pm\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu$ where the sign is $+$ for $\mu = \nu$ and $-$ for $\mu \neq \nu$, we may re-order any product of the γ matrices as $\pm\gamma^0\cdots\gamma^0\gamma^1\cdots\gamma^1\gamma^2\cdots\gamma^2\gamma^3\cdots\gamma^3$. Moreover, since each γ^μ squares to $+1$ or -1 , we may further simplify the product in question to $\pm(\gamma^0 \text{ or } 1) \times (\gamma^1 \text{ or } 1) \times (\gamma^2 \text{ or } 1) \times (\gamma^3 \text{ or } 1)$. The net result is (up to a sign or $\pm i$ factor) one of the 16 matrices: 1, or a γ^μ , or a $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu$ for $\mu \neq \nu$ which equals to $\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$, or a $\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu$ for 3 different λ, μ, ν which equals to $\frac{1}{6}\gamma^{[\lambda}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] = i\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\gamma^5\gamma_\rho$ (cf. part (g)), or $\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -i\gamma^5$. Consequently, any operator Γ algebraically constructed of the γ 's is a linear combination of these 16 matrices.

Incidentally, this proof explains why the Dirac matrices are 4×4 in $d = 4$ spacetime dimensions: the 16 linearly-independent products of Dirac matrices require matrix size to be $\sqrt{16} = 4$.

Technically, we may also use matrices of size $4n \times 4n$, but then we would have $\gamma^\mu = \gamma_{4 \times 4}^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}$, and ditto for all their products. Physically, this means combining the Dirac spinor index with some other index $i = 1, \dots, n$ which has nothing to do with Lorentz symmetry. Nobody wants such an index confusion, so physicists always stick to 4×4 Dirac matrices in 4 spacetime dimensions.

Problem 2(a):

I have already written down the γ^5 and the $\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]} = -2iS^{\mu\nu}$ in the Weyl basis. (S.22), but here is the detailed calculation, in case you need it:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^5 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^0 \\ \bar{\sigma}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ \bar{\sigma}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ \bar{\sigma}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ \bar{\sigma}^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^0\bar{\sigma}^1\sigma^2\bar{\sigma}^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^0\sigma^1\bar{\sigma}^2\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +i\sigma^1\sigma^2\sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^1\sigma^2\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Problem 2(b):

In the Weyl basis for the γ matrices,

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\nu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.23})$$

and hence

$$S^{ij} = \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^{[i} \sigma^{j]} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^{[i} \sigma^{j]} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.24})$$

while

$$S^{0k} = -S^{k0} = \frac{i}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^k = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & +\sigma^k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.25})$$

By inspection, these spin matrices agree with the $\mathbf{2} + \bar{\mathbf{2}}$ reducible representation of the Lorentz generators,

$$S^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_2^k & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{J}_2^k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{while} \quad S^{0i} = -S^{i0} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{K}_2^i & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{K}_2^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.26})$$

or in one formula

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_2^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{J}_2^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Problem 2(c):

In the previous homework we saw that for any continuous Lorentz transform L ,

$$M_R(L) = \sigma_2 M_L^*(L) \sigma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad M_L(L) = \sigma_2 M_R^* \sigma_2. \quad (\text{S.27})$$

Consequently, considering the transformation laws (8) of the Weyl spinors $\psi_L(x)$ and $\psi_R(x)$ and their complex conjugates, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_L(x') &= M_L \times \psi_L(x), \\ \psi'^*_L(x') &= M_L^* \times \psi_L(x), \\ \sigma_2 \times \psi'^*_L(x') &= \sigma_2 \times M_L^* \times \psi_L^*(x) = \sigma_2 M_L^* \sigma_2 \times \sigma_2 \psi_L^*(x) = M_R \times \sigma_2 \psi_L^*(x), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.28})$$

thus $\sigma_2 \times \psi_L^*(x)$ transforms under the continuous Lorentz transforms exactly like the $\psi_R(x)$.

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi'_R(x') &= M_R \times \psi_R(x), \\
\psi'^*_R(x') &= M_R^* \times \psi_R(x), \\
\sigma_2 \times \psi'^*_R(x') &= \sigma_2 \times M_R^* \times \psi_R^*(x) = \sigma_2 M_R^* \sigma_2 \times \sigma_2 \psi_R^*(x) = M_L \times \sigma_2 \psi_R^*(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{S.29}$$

thus $\sigma_2 \times \psi_R^*(x)$ transforms under the continuous Lorentz transforms exactly like the $\psi_L(x)$.

Problem 2(d):

Given the γ^μ matrices (3) and the decomposition (8) of the Dirac spinor field $\Psi(x)$ into 2 Weyl spinor fields $\psi_L(x)$ and $\psi_R(x)$, we have

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -m & i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \\ i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -m\psi_L + i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R \\ i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L - m\psi_R \end{pmatrix} \tag{S.30}$$

while

$$\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = (\psi_L^\dagger \quad \psi_R^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\psi_R^\dagger \quad \psi_L^\dagger). \tag{S.31}$$

Consequently, the Dirac Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\Psi \\
&= \psi_R^\dagger (-m\psi_L + i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R) + \psi_L^\dagger (i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L - m\psi_R) \\
&= i\psi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R + i\psi_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L - m\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R - m\psi_R^\dagger \psi_L.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.32}$$

Problem 2(e):

For the massless fermions — and only for the massless fermions, — the last two terms in the Lagrangian (S.32) go away, and we are left with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{massless}} = i\psi_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L + i\psi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R \tag{S.33}$$

where the two Weyl spinor fields $\psi_L(x)$ and $\psi_R(x)$ are completely independent from each

other. In particular, they obey independent Weyl equations

$$i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R = 0. \quad (\text{S.34})$$

On the other hand, for $m \neq 0$ the two last terms in the Lagrangian (S.32) connect the ψ_L and ψ_R to each other and we cannot have one without the other. In particular, their equations of motion become mixed,

$$i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L = m\psi_R \quad \text{and} \quad i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R = m\psi_L. \quad (\text{S.35})$$

Problem 3(a):

As we saw in class, the Dirac equation $(i \not{\partial} - m)\Psi = 0$ implies the Klein–Gordon equation $(\partial^2 + m^2)\Psi = 0$, hence any plane-wave solution of the Dirac equation must have on-shell momentum p^μ with $p^2 = m^2$. But there is more to the Dirac equation than the Klein–Gordon equation, hence eqs. (11) for the spinor coefficients of the $e^{\mp i p x}$ plane waves. Indeed, for $\Psi_\alpha(x) = e^{-i p x} u_\alpha$ the Dirac equation becomes

$$(i \not{\partial} - m)e^{-i p x} u = e^{-i p x} \times (\not{p} - m)u, \quad (\text{S.36})$$

so the constant spinor u_α must obey the matrix equation $(\not{p} - m)u = 0$. Likewise, for $\Psi_\alpha(x) = e^{+i p x} v_\alpha$ the Dirac equation becomes

$$(i \not{\partial} - m)e^{+i p x} v = e^{+i p x} \times (-\not{p} - m)v, \quad (\text{S.37})$$

so the spinor v_α must obey the matrix equation $(\not{p} + m)v = 0$. Conversely, for any on-shell momentum p and any spinors u_α and v_α obeying the matrix equations (11) the plane waves $e^{-i p x} u_\alpha$ and $e^{+i p x} v_\alpha$ obey the Dirac equation.

Problem 3(b):

For $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$, $p^0 = +m$ and $\not{p} - m = m(\gamma^0 - 1)$. Hence, the $u(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}, s)$ spinors satisfy $(\gamma^0 - 1)u = 0$, or in the Weyl basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & -\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} u = 0 \implies u = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.38})$$

where ζ is an arbitrary two-component spinor. Its normalization follows from $u^\dagger u = 2\zeta^\dagger \zeta$, so if we want $u^\dagger u = 2E_{\mathbf{p}} = 2m$ (for $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$), then we need $\zeta^\dagger \zeta = m$. Equivalently, we want $\zeta = \sqrt{m} \xi$ — and hence u as in eq. (13) — for a conventionally normalized spinor ξ with $\xi^\dagger \xi = 1$.

Note that there are two independent choices of ξ , normalized to $\xi_s^\dagger \xi_{s'} = \delta_{s,s'}$, so they give rise to two independent $u_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, s)$ spinors normalized to $u^\dagger(\mathbf{0}, s)u(\mathbf{0}, s') = 2m\delta_{s,s'} = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}\delta_{s,s'}$. They correspond to the two spin states of the $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ electron. In terms of the spin vector, $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_s^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_s$.

Problem 3(c):

The Dirac equation is Lorentz-covariant, so we may obtain solutions for all $p^\mu = (+E_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{p})$ by simply Lorentz-boosting the solutions (13) from the rest frame where $p_0^\mu = (+m, \mathbf{0})$. Thus,

$$u(p, s) = M_D(B) u(p_0, s) = \begin{pmatrix} M_L & 0 \\ 0 & M_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{m} \xi_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m} M_L \xi_s \\ \sqrt{m} M_R \xi_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.39})$$

where M_D , M_L , and M_R are respectively Dirac-spinor, LH-Weyl-spinor, and RH-Weyl-spinor representations or the Lorentz boost B from $p^\mu = (m, \mathbf{0})$ to $p^\mu = (E, \mathbf{p})$. We saw in the previous homework that for a boost of velocity β in the direction $\mathbf{n}$,

$$M_L = \sqrt{\gamma} \times \sqrt{1 - \beta \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad M_R = \sqrt{\gamma} \times \sqrt{1 + \beta \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}. \quad (\text{S.40})$$

For the boost in question

$$\gamma = \frac{E}{m}, \quad \gamma \beta \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \quad (\text{S.41})$$

hence

$$\sqrt{m} M_L = \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \sqrt{m} M_R = \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}. \quad (\text{S.42})$$

Plugging these formulae into eq. (S.39) immediately gives us

$$u(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Problem 3(d):

The negative-frequency solutions $e^{+ipx} v_\alpha(p, s)$ have Dirac spinors v_α satisfying $(\not{p} + m)v = 0$. For a particle at rest, $p^\mu = (+m, \mathbf{0})$, this equation becomes $m(\gamma^0 + 1)v = 0$, or in the Weyl basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} v(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}, s) = 0 \implies v(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}, s) = \sqrt{m} \begin{pmatrix} +\eta_s \\ -\eta_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.43})$$

for some two-component spinor η_s . As in part (b), the $\sqrt{m}$ factor translates the normalization $v^\dagger(p, s)v(p, s') = 2E_p \delta_{s,s'} = 2m \delta_{s,s'}$ (for $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$) to $\eta_s^\dagger \eta_{s'} = \delta_{s,s'}$.

For $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$ we proceed similarly to part (c), namely Lorentz-boost the rest-frame solution (S.43) to the frame where $p^\mu = (+E_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$\begin{aligned} v(p, s) &= M_D(B) v(p_0, s) = \begin{pmatrix} M_L & 0 \\ 0 & M_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{m} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{m} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{m} M_L \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{m} M_R \eta_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.44})$$

precisely as in eq. (16).

Problem 3(e):

Physically, a hole in the Fermi sea has opposite free energy, opposite momentum, opposite spin, *etc.*, from the missing fermion (see [my notes](#) for the explanation). A positron is a hole in the Dirac sea of electrons, so it should have opposite $p^\mu = (E, \mathbf{p})$ from the missing electron state — that's why the $v(p, s)$ spinors accompany the $e^{+ipx} = e^{+iEt - i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}$ plane waves instead of the $e^{-ipx} = E^{-iEt + i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}$ factors of the $u(p, s)$ spinors. Likewise, the positron should have the opposite spin state from the missing electron, and that's why the η_s should have the opposite spin from the ξ_s . More accurately, the η_s should carry the opposite spin vector $\eta_s^\dagger \mathbf{S} \eta_s$ from the $\xi_s^\dagger \mathbf{S} \xi_s$.

The solution to this spin relation is $\eta_s = \sigma_2 \xi_s^*$ (where $*$ denotes complex conjugation). Indeed, let

$$\eta = \sigma_2 \xi^* \implies \eta^\dagger = \xi^\top \sigma_2, \quad (\text{S.45})$$

and let's use the formula

$$\sigma_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}^* \sigma_2 = -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad (\text{S.46})$$

from the previous homework. Consequently,

$$\eta^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta = \xi^\top \sigma_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma_2 \xi^* = \left(\xi^\dagger \sigma_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}^* \sigma_2 \xi \right)^* = \left(-\xi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi \right)^* = -\xi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi, \quad (\text{S.47})$$

or in other words

$$\eta^\dagger \mathbf{S} \eta = \frac{1}{2} \eta^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta = -\xi^\dagger \mathbf{S} \xi. \quad (\text{S.48})$$

And this is why we set $\eta_s = \sigma_2 \xi_s^*$.

Now consider implication of this relation between the ξ_s and η_s spinors for the plane-wave factors $u_\alpha(p, s)$ and $v_\alpha(p, s)$. Thanks to eq. (S.46) we have

$$\sigma_2 \times \sqrt{E \mp \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sigma_2 = \left(\sqrt{E \pm \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right)^*, \quad (\text{S.49})$$

hence in light of the explicit formulae (15) and (16),

$$\begin{aligned}
v(p, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \sigma_2 \xi_s^* \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \sigma_2 \xi_s^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} +\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \sigma_2 \xi_s^* \\ -\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \sigma_2 \xi_s^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} +\sigma_2 (\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^* \xi_s^* \\ -\sigma_2 (\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^* \xi_s^* \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}^* \\
&= \gamma^2 u^*(p, s).
\end{aligned} \tag{S.50}$$

This verifies the first eq. (17). We may verify the second eq. (17) in a similar manner, but it's easier to use γ^2 being imaginary and squaring to -1 , hence $\gamma^2(\gamma^2)^* = -\gamma^2\gamma^2 = +1$, and therefore

$$\gamma^2 v^*(p, s) = \gamma^2 (\gamma^2 u^*(p, s))^* = \gamma^2 (\gamma^2)^* u(p, s) = +u(p, s). \tag{S.51}$$

Problem 3(f):

The 3D spinors ξ_λ of definite helicity $\lambda = \mp \frac{1}{2}$ satisfy

$$(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \xi_\mp = \mp |\mathbf{p}| \times \xi_\mp. \tag{S.52}$$

Plugging these ξ_λ into the positive-energy Dirac spinors (15), we obtain

$$u(p, \lambda = \mp \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E \pm |\mathbf{p}|} \times \xi_\mp \\ \sqrt{E \mp |\mathbf{p}|} \times \xi_\mp \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S.53}$$

In the ultra-relativistic limit $E \approx |\mathbf{p}| \gg m$, the square roots here simplify to $\sqrt{E + |\mathbf{p}|} \approx \sqrt{2E}$ and $\sqrt{E - |\mathbf{p}|} \approx 0$ (in comparison with the other root). Consequently, eq. (S.53) simplifies to

$$u(p, L) \approx \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(p, R) \approx \sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_R \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S.54}$$

In other words, the ultra-relativistic positive-energy Dirac spinors of definite helicity are chiral — dominated by the LH Weyl components for the left helicity or by the RH Weyl components for the right helicity.

Now consider the negative-energy Dirac spinors (16). The η_s spinors have exactly opposite spins from the ξ_s , so their helicities are also opposite from the ξ_s . Thus,

$$(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})\eta_{\mp} = \pm|\mathbf{p}| \times \eta_{\mp} \quad (\text{S.55})$$

— note the opposite sign from eq. (S.52). Therefore, the negative-energy Dirac spinors v of definite helicity are

$$v(p, \lambda = \mp \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E \mp |\mathbf{p}|} \times \eta_{\mp} \\ -\sqrt{E \pm |\mathbf{p}|} \times \eta_{\mp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S.56})$$

and in the ultra-relativistic limit they become

$$v(p, L) \approx -\sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad v(p, R) \approx +\sqrt{2E} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S.57})$$

Again, the ultra-relativistic negative-energy spinors are chiral, but this time the chirality is opposite from the helicity — the left-helicity spinor has dominant RH Weyl components while the right-helicity spinor has dominant LH Weyl components.

Problem 4(a):

In problem (3.b-c), we saw that

$$u(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad v(p, s) = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{S.58})$$

where ξ_s and η_s are 2-component $SU(2)$ spinors normalized to

$$\xi_s^\dagger \xi_{s'} = \eta_s^\dagger \eta_{s'} = \delta_{s,s'}, \quad \eta_s = \sigma_2 \xi_s^*. \quad (\text{S.59})$$

Before we check eqs. (20), let's check the normalization (19) conditions for the spinors (S.58):

$$\begin{aligned} u^\dagger(p, s)u(p, s') &= \xi_s^\dagger \left((\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \right) \xi_{s'} = \xi_s^\dagger (2E) \xi_{s'} = 2E \delta_{s,s'}, \\ v^\dagger(p, s)v(p, s') &= \eta_s^\dagger \left((+\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (-\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \right) \eta_{s'} = \eta_s^\dagger (+2E) \eta_{s'} = 2E \delta_{s,s'}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.60})$$

because

$$(\pm\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + (\pm'\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 = (E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 2E. \quad (\text{S.61})$$

And now that we have verified that the spinors (S.58) are properly normalized, let's consider the Lorentz invariant products $\bar{u}u$ and $\bar{v}v$. For the $u(p, s)$ and $v(p, s)$ as in eqs. (S.58), the $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(p, s) &= u^\dagger(p, s)\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}), \\ \bar{v}(p, s) &= v^\dagger(p, s)\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad +\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.62})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(p, s) u(p, s') &= \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \xi_{s'} \\ &\quad + \xi_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \xi_{s'} \\ &= 2m \times \xi_s^\dagger \xi_{s'} = 2m \delta_{s, s'} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.63})$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} &= \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ &= \sqrt{E^2 - (\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})^2} = \sqrt{E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2} = m. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.64})$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(p, s) v(p, s') &= -\eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \eta_{s'} \\ &\quad - \eta_s^\dagger \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \eta_{s'} \\ &= -2m \times \eta_s^\dagger \eta_{s'} = -2m \delta_{s, s'}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.65})$$

Problem 4(b):

In matrix notations (column $\times$ row = matrix), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u(p, s) \times \bar{u}(p, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \end{pmatrix} \times \left(\xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S.66}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v(p, s) \times \bar{v}(p, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \\ -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \eta_s \end{pmatrix} \times \left(-\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, +\eta_s^\dagger \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right) \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S.67}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over two spin polarizations replaces $\xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger$ with $\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger = \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$ and likewise $\eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger$ with $\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger = \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_s u(p, s) \times \bar{u}(p, s) &= \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \xi_s \times \xi_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} m & E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} & m \end{pmatrix} = m \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} + E \times \gamma^0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \\
&= \not{p} + m. \tag{S.68}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_s v(p, s) \times \bar{v}(p, s) &= \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left[\sum_s \eta_s \times \eta_s^\dagger \right] \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & +\sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ +\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} & -\sqrt{E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \sqrt{E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -m & E - \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ E + \mathbf{p}\boldsymbol{\sigma} & -m \end{pmatrix} = -m \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} + E \times \gamma^0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \\
&= \not{p} - m.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.69}$$

Quod erat demonstrandum.