

**Problem 1:**

 (a) At fixed observer time  $t$ ,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} t_{\text{ret}} \quad (\text{S.1})$$

while

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right)_{\text{@fixed } t_{\text{ret}}} + \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} t_{\text{ret}}. \quad (\text{S.2})$$

hence

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right)_{\text{@fixed } t_{\text{ret}}} + \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \cdot (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} ) t_{\text{ret}}. \quad (\text{S.3})$$

But the retarded time  $t_{\text{ret}} = t - |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/c$  depends only on the difference between  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$ , which means

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}) t_{\text{ret}} = 0, \quad (\text{S.4})$$

so eq. (S.3) reduces to

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right)_{\text{@fixed } t_{\text{ret}}} + 0. \quad (\text{S.5})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \left( \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \right) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}^2} (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}) \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where first term} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right)_{\text{@fixed } t_{\text{ret}}} \quad \text{by eq. (S.5)}$$

$$\text{while second term} = 0 \quad \text{because} \quad (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}) (\mathcal{R} = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) = 0. \quad (\text{S.6})$$

Altogether,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right)_{\text{@fixed } t_{\text{ret}}} \quad (\text{S.7})$$

and hence eq. (2).

(b) The Landau gauge condition is

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (\text{S.8})$$

For the potentials (1),

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right), \quad (\text{S.9})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right), \quad (\text{S.10})$$

and since  $1/(\epsilon_0 c^2) = \mu_0$ ,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{S.11})$$

Now consider the expression inside the square brackets here. At fixed  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$ , the  $\mathcal{R}$  and the difference  $t - t_{\text{ret}}$  do not depend on  $t$ , hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) = \frac{\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (\text{S.12})$$

while

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + \frac{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \quad (2)$$

Altogether,

$$\left[ \dots \right] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} (\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}) @ (\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right), \quad (\text{S.13})$$

where the first term on the RHS vanishes by the continuity equation  $\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$ . Thus,

$$\left[ \dots \right] = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + 0, \quad (\text{S.14})$$

so eq. (S.11) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) \\ &\quad \langle\langle \text{by Gauss Theorem} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \oint \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \cdot \mathbf{d}^2\mathbf{a}', \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.15})$$

where the last integral is over the boundary surface approaching infinity. (For example, the

$R \rightarrow \infty$  limit of the integral over a sphere of very large radius  $R$ .) Assuming all currents are limited to a finite volume (however large it might be), the integral here vanishes for a large enough boundary surface, thus the potentials indeed obey the Landau gauge condition (S.8). *Quod erat demonstrandum.*

**Problem 2:**

As the ring rotates, the potential (3) rotates with it, thus

$$\lambda(\phi, t) = \lambda_0 \left| \sin \frac{\phi - \omega t}{2} \right|, \quad (\text{S.16})$$

or in 3D terms (in cylindrical coordinates)

$$\rho(s, \phi, z, t) = \lambda_0 \left| \sin \frac{\phi - \omega t}{2} \right| \delta(z) \delta(s - a). \quad (\text{S.17})$$

Since this charge density is time dependent, we also have a current in the  $\hat{\phi}$  direction. Its specific form, follows from the continuity equation:

$$\mathbf{J}(s, \phi, z, t) = a\omega\lambda_0 \left| \sin \frac{\phi - \omega t}{2} \right| \delta(z) \delta(s - a) \hat{\phi}, \quad (\text{S.18})$$

so that

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = \dot{\rho} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial J_\phi}{\partial \phi} = 0. \quad (\text{S.19})$$

Now let's plug these charges and current into eqs. (1) for the scalar and vector potentials. For the observer  $\mathbf{r}$  at the center of the ring, the distance  $\mathcal{R}$  to all points of the ring is fixed at  $\mathcal{R} \equiv a$ . Consequently, the retarded time is at fixed delay  $t - t_{\text{ret}} = a/c$  behind the observer time, so for any give observer time  $t$ , we have a constant retarded time  $t_{\text{ret}} = t - (a/c)$  inside

the integral. Thus,

$$\rho(s', \phi', z', t_{\text{ret}}) = \lambda_0 \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \delta(z) \delta(s - a) \quad (\text{S.20})$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}(s', \phi', z', t_{\text{ret}}) = a\omega\lambda_0 \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \delta(z) \delta(s - a) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}. \quad (\text{S.21})$$

Consequently, the scalar potential (1) at the center  $\mathbf{0}$  evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{0}, t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\lambda_0}{a} \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \delta(z) \delta(s - a) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint a d\phi' \frac{\lambda_0}{a} \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \oint d\phi' \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.22})$$

where the integral is over any  $2\pi$  period of  $\phi$ , starting with any  $\phi_0$  we like and ending at  $\phi_0 + 2\pi$ . Letting  $\phi_0 = \omega(t - a/c)$  and shifting the integration variable to  $\alpha = \phi - \phi_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint \dots &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \left| \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| \\ &\quad \langle\langle \text{since } \sin(\alpha/2) \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi \rangle\rangle \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \sin(\alpha/2) = 2 \int_0^{\pi} d(\alpha/2) \sin(\alpha/2) \\ &= 2(-\cos(\pi) + \cos(0)) = 4, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.23})$$

and therefore

$$V(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\pi\epsilon_0}. \quad (\text{S.24})$$

Likewise, for the vector potential at the ring's center we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{a\omega\lambda_0}{a} \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \delta(z)\delta(s-a) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \oint a d\phi' \frac{a\omega\lambda_0}{a} \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \\
&= \frac{\mu_0\omega a\lambda_0}{4\pi} \oint d\phi' \left| \sin \frac{\phi' - \omega t + \omega a/c}{2} \right| \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.25}$$

This integral is a bit more complicated than (S.23) because the unit vector  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  depends on the angle  $\phi$ :

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = -\sin \phi \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos \phi \hat{\mathbf{y}}. \tag{S.26}$$

Consequently, identifying  $\phi = \alpha + \phi_0$  for  $\phi_0 = \omega(t - a/c)$ , we have

$$\oint \dots = \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha, \sin(\alpha/0) \left( -\sin(\alpha + \phi_0) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos(\alpha + \phi_0) \hat{\mathbf{y}} \right) \tag{S.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \sin(\alpha/0) \sin(\alpha + \phi_0) &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) \right) \\
&= \left( \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) - \frac{1}{3} \sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=2\pi} \\
&= \left( \sin(\pi + \phi_0) - \frac{1}{3} \sin(3\pi + \phi_0) \right) - \left( \sin(\phi_0) - \frac{1}{3} \sin(\phi_0) \right) \\
&= -\frac{4}{3} \sin(\phi_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{S.28}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \sin(\alpha/0) \cos(\alpha + \phi_0) &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) \right) \\
&= \left( \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) - \frac{1}{3} \cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \phi_0\right) \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=2\pi} \\
&= \left( \cos(\pi + \phi_0) - \frac{1}{3} \cos(3\pi + \phi_0) \right) - \left( \cos(\phi_0) - \frac{1}{3} \cos(\phi_0) \right) \\
&= -\frac{4}{3} \cos(\phi_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{S.29}$$

thus

$$\oint \dots = +\frac{4}{3} \sin(\phi_0) \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{4}{3} \cos(\phi_0) \hat{\mathbf{y}} \quad (\text{S.30})$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{\mu_0 \omega a \lambda_0}{3\pi} \left( \sin(\omega(t - a/c)) \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \cos(\omega(t - a/c)) \hat{\mathbf{y}} \right). \quad (\text{S.31})$$

**Problem 3:**

Jefimenko equation for the magnetic field of a time-dependent current (*cf.* [my notes on EM potentials](#), eq. (65) on page 13, or textbook §10.2, eq. (10.38) on page 450) says

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3 \text{Vol}' \left( \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \times \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{\mathbf{n}}{c\mathcal{R}} \times \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \right). \quad (\text{S.32})$$

For a slowly changing current  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , we may approximate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) &= \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) - (t - t_{\text{ret}}) \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \frac{1}{2} (t - t_{\text{ret}})^2 \ddot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \\ &= \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{c} \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{2c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.33})$$

and likewise

$$\dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{c} \ddot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \quad (\text{S.34})$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{c} \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) - \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{2c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) + O\left(\frac{\mathbf{J}\mathcal{R}^2}{c^2\tau^2}\right) \quad (\text{S.35})$$

where  $\tau$  is the time scale at which the current changes, thus  $\dot{\mathbf{J}} \sim \mathbf{J}/\tau$ ,  $\ddot{\mathbf{J}} \sim \mathbf{J}/\tau^2$ , *etc.* Note that as a power series in  $(\mathcal{R}/c\tau)$ , the series (S.35) has a zeroth-order term and then the second-order and the higher-order terms, but the first-order term cancels out between the  $\mathbf{J}(t_{\text{ret}})$  and the  $(\mathcal{R}/c)\dot{\mathbf{J}}(t_{\text{ret}})$ .

In the context of the Jefimenko equation (S.32), this cancellation means

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \times \left( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{c} \dot{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \times \left( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t) + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{c^2\tau^2}\right) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{S.36}$$

where the leading term inside the  $(\dots)$  is the current  $\mathbf{J}(t)$  at the observer time, while the first-order in  $(\mathcal{R}/c\tau)$  correction cancels out. Thus, the difference between the exact Jefimenko equation and the quasi-static Biot–Savart–Laplace approximation

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \times \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t)\tag{S.37}$$

is of the second order in  $(\mathcal{R}/c\tau)$  rather than of the first order.

#### Problem 4:

Let's start with the scalar potential:

$$\begin{aligned}V(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{-\mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \cdot \nabla\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}') \\ &\quad \langle\langle \text{integrating by parts} \rangle\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \left( \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}') \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right]_{\text{@}\mathbf{r}'=0}\end{aligned}\tag{S.38}$$

where

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} = -\frac{(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}\mathcal{R})}{\mathcal{R}^2} \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}'}t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\partial\mathbf{p}}{\partial t_{\text{ret}}} = +\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathcal{R}^2} \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{\mathbf{n}}{c\mathcal{R}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}).\tag{S.39}$$

Having taken this derivative, we now plug  $\mathbf{r}' = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{R} = |\mathbf{r}| = r$  while  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r$ .

Consequently, the scalar potential is

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{r^2} + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}}{cr} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \quad (8)$$

Next, the vector potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}') \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{\mathcal{R}} \right]_{\text{@}\mathbf{r}'=0} \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}}{r} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, the magnetic field:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \nabla \times \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{r} \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( -\frac{\nabla r}{r^2} \times \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{\nabla t_{\text{ret}}}{r} \times \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial t_{\text{ret}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{\mathbf{n}}{r^2} \times \dot{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{\mathbf{n}}{cr} \times \ddot{\mathbf{p}} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Finally, the electric field

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad (\text{S.40})$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{r} \right)_{\text{@fixed } \mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\ddot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{r}, \quad (\text{S.41})$$

while

$$\nabla V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \nabla \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{r^2} + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{cr} \right]. \quad (\text{S.42})$$

Note that the gradient here is taken at fixed observer time  $t$  rather than fixed retarded time

$t_{\text{ret}}$ , thus

$$\nabla_i p_j(t_{\text{ret}}) = (\nabla_i t_{\text{ret}}) \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t_{\text{ret}}} = -\frac{n_i}{c} \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) \quad (\text{S.43})$$

and likewise

$$\nabla_i \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) = -\frac{n_i}{c} \ddot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}). \quad (\text{S.44})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}})}{r^2} \right) &= \left( \nabla_i \frac{n_j}{r^2} \right) p_j(t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{n_j}{r^2} \nabla_i p_j(t_{\text{ret}}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{ij} - 3n_i n_j}{r^3} p_j(t_{\text{ret}}) - \frac{n_j}{r^2} \frac{n_i}{c} \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) \\ &= \left[ \frac{\mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}}) - 3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_{\text{ret}}))}{r^3} - \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}))}{c^2 r} \right]_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.45})$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}})}{cr} \right) &= \left( \nabla_i \frac{n_j}{cr} \right) \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) + \frac{n_j}{cr} \nabla_i \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) \\ &= \frac{\delta_{ij} - 2n_i n_j}{c^2 r^2} \dot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) - \frac{n_j}{cr} \frac{n_i}{c} \ddot{p}_j(t_{\text{ret}}) \\ &= \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}) - 2\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}))}{c^2 r^2} - \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{p}}(t_{\text{ret}}))}{c^2 r} \right]_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.46})$$

Altogether, this gives us

$$\nabla V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{p} - 3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{r^3} + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}} - 3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}})}{c^2 r^2} - \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{p}})}{c^2 r} \right]_{\text{ret}} \quad (\text{S.47})$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -\nabla V(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{p} - 3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{r^3} + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}} - 3\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}})}{c^2 r^2} + \frac{\ddot{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{p}})}{c^2 r} \right]_{\text{ret}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Problem 5:

The observer at the ball's center and time  $t$ , sees the point  $\mathbf{r}'$  of the charged ball back when it was at the retarded time  $t_{\text{ret}} = t - |\mathbf{r}'|/c$ , so the ball's charge density was

$$\rho(t_{\text{ret}}) = \frac{3Q}{4\pi R^3(t_{\text{ret}})} = \frac{(3/4\pi)Q}{v^3[t - (r'/c)]^3}. \quad (\text{S.48})$$

He also sees the ball as having radius

$$a = vt_{\text{ret}} = v(t - a/c) \implies a(t) = \frac{vt}{1 + (v/c)}. \quad (\text{S.49})$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{eff}} &= \iiint_{\substack{\text{visible} \\ \text{ball}}} d^3\text{Vol}' \rho(t_{\text{ret}}(\mathbf{r}')) \\ &= \int_0^a 4\pi r'^2 dr' * \frac{(3/4\pi)Q}{v^3[t - (r'/c)]^3} \\ &\quad \ll \text{changing integration variable from } r' \text{ to } x = ct - r' \gg \\ &= \frac{3Q}{v^3} \int_{ct-a}^{ct} dx (ct - x)^2 \frac{c^3}{x^3} \\ &= \frac{3Qc^3}{v^3} \left[ -\frac{(ct)^2}{2x^2} + \frac{2ct}{x} + \ln(x) \right]_{x=(ct-a)}^{a=ct} \\ &= \frac{3Qc^3}{v^3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(ct)^2}{(ct-a)^2} - 1 \right) - 2 \left( \frac{ct}{ct-a} - 1 \right) + \ln \frac{ct}{ct-a} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.50})$$

In this formula,

$$ct - a = ct - \frac{vt}{1 + (v/c)} = \frac{ct}{1 + (v/c)} \implies \frac{ct}{ct - a} = 1 + (v/c), \quad (\text{S.51})$$

hence the expression inside  $[\dots]$  on the bottom line of eq. (S.50) evaluates to

$$[\dots] = \frac{1}{2}(v/c)^2 + (v/c) - 2(v/c) + \ln(1 + (v/c)), \quad (\text{S.52})$$

therefore

$$\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{Q} = \frac{3}{(v/c)^3} \left[ \ln(1 + (v/c)) - (v/c) + \frac{1}{2}(v/c)^2 \right]. \quad (\text{S.53})$$

Eq. (S.53) gives the general formula for any expansion speed, slow or fast. For slow expansion (compared to the speed of light), we approximate

$$\ln(1 + (v/c)) \approx (v/c) - \frac{1}{2}(v/c)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(v/c)^3 - \frac{1}{4}(v/c)^4 + \dots, \quad (\text{S.54})$$

hence

$$\ln(1 + (v/c)) - (v/c) + \frac{1}{2}(v/c)^2 = \frac{1}{3}(v/c)^3 - \frac{1}{4}(v/c)^4 + \dots, \quad (\text{S.55})$$

and therefore

$$\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{Q} = 1 - \frac{3}{4}(v/c) + \dots \quad (\text{S.56})$$

On the other hand, for the expansion almost at the speed of light,  $v \approx c$ , we have

$$\ln(1 + (v/c)) - (v/c) + \frac{1}{2}(v/c)^2 \approx \ln(2) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \approx 0.193, \quad (\text{S.57})$$

hence

$$\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{Q} \approx 3(\ln(2) - \frac{1}{2}) \approx 0.58. \quad (\text{S.58})$$

**Problem 6:**

(a) For the tail end of the rod, the retarded time obtains from

$$ct - ct_{\text{ret}} = x_1(t_{\text{ret}}) = vt_{\text{ret}}, \quad (\text{S.59})$$

thus

$$t_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}} = \frac{ct}{c+v} \implies x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_1(t_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}}) = \frac{vct}{c+v}. \quad (\text{S.60})$$

Likewise, for the head of the rod, we have

$$ct - ct_{\text{ret}} = x_2(t_{\text{ret}}) = vt_{\text{ret}} + L, \quad (\text{S.61})$$

hence

$$t_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}} = \frac{ct - L}{c + v} \implies x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_2(t_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}}) = v \times \frac{ct - L}{c + v} + L = \frac{c(vt + L)}{c + v}. \quad (\text{S.62})$$

(b) At the coordinate origin  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ , the scalar potential is

$$V(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{|\mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int dx' \frac{\lambda(x', t_{\text{ret}})}{|x'|} \quad (\text{S.63})$$

where

$$\lambda(x', t_{\text{ret}}) = \begin{cases} (Q/L) & \text{when } x_1(t_{\text{ret}}) < x' < x_2(t_{\text{ret}}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S.64})$$

Or in other words,

$$\lambda(x', t_{\text{ret}}) = \begin{cases} (Q/L) & \text{for } x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}} < x' < x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S.65})$$

hence

$$V(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{Q/L}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}}}^{x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}}} \frac{dx'}{x'} = \frac{Q/L}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \ln \frac{x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{head}}}{x_{\text{ret}}^{\text{tail}}} = \frac{Q/L}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \ln \frac{vt + L}{vt}. \quad (\text{S.66})$$

Now consider the vector potential at the coordinate origin,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{|\mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (\text{S.67})$$

Since the rod is moving at uniform velocity  $\mathbf{v} = v\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}) = \mathbf{v}\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}}), \quad (\text{S.68})$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \iiint d^3\text{Vol}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_{\text{ret}})}{|\mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c^2} V(\mathbf{0}, t). \quad (\text{S.69})$$

Thus, in light of eq. (S.66),

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) = \frac{(Q/L)v\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \ln \frac{vt + L}{vt}. \quad (\text{S.70})$$

(c) In the short rod limit (compared to the distance to the rod),  $L \ll vt_i$  we have

$$\ln \frac{vt + L}{vt} \approx \frac{L}{vt}, \quad (\text{S.71})$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{vt}, \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Qv\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{4\mu_0} \frac{1}{vt}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.72})$$

By comparison, the Liénard–Wiechert potentials for a point charge  $Q$  moving at the same uniform velocity are

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}(1 + v/c)}, \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Qv\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{4\mu_0} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}(1 + v/c)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.73})$$

where  $(1 + v/c)$  factor is the  $(1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}/c)$  for the charge moving directly away from the observer. Also, in the Liénard–Wiechert potentials (S.73), the distance  $\mathcal{R}$  is evaluated at the retarded time, thus for the observer at the origin,

$$\mathcal{R} = vt_{\text{ret}} = \frac{vt}{1 + (v/c)}. \quad (\text{S.74})$$

Consequently, the two non-quasi-static factors of the Liénard–Wiechert potentials — the  $1/(1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}/c)$  factor, and the distance calculated at the retarded time — happen to precisely

cancel each other since

$$\mathcal{R} \times (1 + (v/c)) = vt = r. \quad (\text{S.75})$$

Thus, for the case at hand, the Liénard–Wiechert potentials (S.73) become simply

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{vt}, \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, t) &\approx \frac{Qv\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{4\mu_0} \frac{1}{vt}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S.76})$$

in perfect agreement with the  $L \rightarrow 0$  limit (S.72) of the potentials we got in part (b).

Problem 7:

The Liénard–Wiechert potentials for a point charge  $Q$  moving at constant velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  we work out in class in some detail, *cf.* [my notes on EM potentials](#), eqs. (110) on page 21:

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{c}{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, t)}}, \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c} \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, t)}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c^2} V(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (\text{S.77})$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (c^2 t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2 + (c^2 - v^2)(r^2 - c^2 t^2). \quad (\text{S.78})$$

To verify the Landau gauge condition

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (\text{S.79})$$

we evaluate

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c} \frac{-1/2}{\mathcal{D}^{3/2}} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{S.80})$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c} \frac{-1/2}{\mathcal{D}^{3/2}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{S.81})$$

so the Landau gauge condition (S.79) amounts to

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c} \frac{-1/2}{\mathcal{D}^{3/2}} \left( (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \quad (\text{S.82})$$

and hence to

$$\left( (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) = 0. \quad (\text{S.83})$$

And indeed, for the  $\mathcal{D}$  as in eq. (S.78),

$$\nabla \mathcal{D} = -2(c^2 t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v} + 2(c^2 - v^2) \mathbf{r}, \quad (\text{S.84})$$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{D} = -2v^2(c^2 t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + 2(c^2 - v^2)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r})$$

$$= 2c^2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r} - v^2 t), (\text{S.85})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \square} = +2c^2(c^2 t - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + (c^2 - v^2)(-2c^2 t)$$

$$= -2c^2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r} - v^2 t), (\text{S.86})$$

hence

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{D} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \square} = 0 \quad (\text{S.87})$$

exactly as required in eq. (S.83). And as we saw a minute earlier, eq. (S.83) immediately leads to the Landau gauge condition (S.79) for the potentials (S.77).